Extending the Potential of Evaporative Cooling for Heat-Stress Relief

A. Berman

Department of Animal Science, Hebrew University, Rehovot 76100, Israel

ABSTRACT

Factors were analyzed that limit the range of environmental conditions in which stress from heat may be relieved by evaporative cooling in shaded animals. Evaporative cooling reduces air temperature (T_a), but increases humidity. Equations were developed to predict T_a reduction as a function of ambient temperature and humidity and of humidity in cooled air. Predictions indicated that a reduction of T_a becomes marginal at humidities beyond 45%. A reduction of T_a lessens with rising ambient T_a . The impact of increasing humidity on respiratory heat loss (Hre) was estimated from existing data published on Holstein cattle. Respiratory heat loss is reduced by increased humidity up to 45%, but is not affected by higher humidity. Skin evaporative and sensible heat losses are determined not only by the humidity and temperature gradient, but also by air velocity close to the body surface. At higher T_a , the reduction in sensible heat loss is compensated for by an increased demand for Hre. High Hre may become a stressor when panting interferes with resting and rumination. Effects of temperature, humidity, air velocity, and body surface exposure to free air on Hre were estimated by a thermal balance model for lactating Holstein cows yielding 35 kg/d. The predictions of the simulations were supported by respiratory rate observations. The Hre was assumed to act as a stressor when exceeding 50% of the maximal capacity. When the full body surface was exposed to a 1.5 m/s air velocity, humidity (15 to 75%) had no significant predicted effect on Hre. For an air velocity of 0.3 m/s, Hre at 50% of the maximum rate was predicted at 34, 32.5, and 31.5°C for relative humidities of 55, 65, and 75%, respectively. Similar results were predicted for an animal with two-thirds of its body surface exposed to 1.5 m/s air velocity. If air velocity was reduced for such animals to 0.3 m/s, the rise in Hre was expected to occur at approximately 25°C and 50% relative humidity. Maximal rates of Hre were estimated at 27 to 30°C when ambient humidity was 55% relative humidity and higher. High humidity

may stress animals in evaporative cooling systems. Humidity stress may be prevented by a higher air velocity on the body surface of the animal, particularly in sheltered areas in which the exposed body surface is reduced, such as mangers and stalls. This may extend the use of evaporative cooling to less dry environments. **Key words:** heat stress, evaporative cooling, respiratory stress

INTRODUCTION

The alleviation of heat stress in cattle by evaporation of water enhanced by forced-air movement was examined 60 yr ago (Seath and Miller, 1948), but the technologies required for its implementation in dairy systems were not available at that time. Subsequent attempts to reduce heat stress targeted shelter design to reduce the radiant heat load (Kelly et al., 1950), followed by use of forced ventilation (Ittner et al., 1957). Evaporative cooling was later suggested (Wiersma and Stott, 1966), and its use as forced-ventilated wet pads was subsequently examined, but results were inconsistent (Brown et al., 1974). Later, systems were developed that sprayed small water droplets into the air to evaporate and reduce air temperature (T_a). These systems were initially introduced in closed environments of glass houses (Landsberg et al., 1979), subsequently in poultry enclosures (Timmons and Baughman, 1983; Wilson et al., 1983), and only later in cattle housing (Ryan et al., 1992).

Analyses of evaporative cooling in enclosed environments were available in the late 1970s (Landsberg et al., 1979). Numerical optimization of mist-fog closed systems for livestock was carried out later, and its efficiency was estimated in terms of a temperature humidity index (THI; Huhnke et al., 2004). The THI assigns equal weights to temperature and humidity, but does not reflect their relative effects on cattle. The THI does not account for the effects of air velocity on heat loss (Berman, 2004, 2005).

The range of conditions in which evaporative cooling is effective and the mode of operation of evaporative cooling in dairy systems are not well defined. This report presents an approach to identify conditions in which evaporative cooling may be efficiently used for the relief of heat stress in dairy cattle.

Received March 16, 2006.

Accepted May 2, 2006.

E-mail: berman@agri.huji.ac.il

METHODS

Study Layout

The environmental conditions in which evaporative cooling may relieve heat stress in shaded cattle were examined in several steps: 1) Changes in ambient conditions produced by evaporative cooling are presently estimated for individual cases by using a psychrometric chart. Knowing the relationships between ambient conditions and the conditions created by evaporative cooling would make it possible to estimate the potential for heat stress relief by evaporative cooling in different environments. Deriving the relationships from repeated solutions with the psychrometric chart is time consuming. Enthalpy calculations were used to produce numerical solutions to the relations between ambient temperature and humidity and between cooled air temperature (**Tc**) and humidity. These may be used to predict the impact of evaporative cooling in various shaded environments. 2) The reduction of **Ta** by evaporative cooling is associated with a rise in **Tc** humidity. A high humidity in **Tc** may reduce the capacity for evaporative heat loss via respiratory water loss and lessen the potential improvement in thermal balance by evaporative cooling. The impact of ambient humidity on respiratory water loss was estimated from published data, to examine whether a reduction in respiratory water loss with high humidity may set limits on evaporative cooling. 3) The lower temperature but higher humidity in evaporatively cooled air has complex effects. The higher humidity in **Tc** reduces skin evaporative heat loss. A reduction in skin heat loss (**Hsk**) because of high humidity may produce a greater demand for evaporative cooling from the respiratory tract and induce panting to the extent of stressing the animals. The lower **Ta** produced by evaporative cooling enhances skin convective heat loss. The impact of the latter on thermal balance depends on the velocity of air surrounding the animal and its exposed body surface. The combined effects of exposed body surface, air humidity, temperature, and velocity on the thermal state of the animal were solved using thermal balance simulations. 4) The thermal balance is highly sensitive to air velocity on the surface of the body. Presently, a wealth of information exists on air velocity undisturbed by the presence of obstructing bodies, that is, at a distance from the animals. This is a rather unlikely method for representing the velocity of air effective in convective heat loss from the body of animals. To clarify this matter, omnidirectional air velocity was measured close to the surface of the body of cows and was related to the undisturbed air velocity. 5) The predictive value of thermal balance simulations was examined by correlating the respiratory rates of cows in moderate to warm conditions with the respira-

tory heat loss (**Hre**) predicted for the same conditions by the thermal balance model. Combining these results led to solutions that integrated the effects of **Ta**, humidity, and air velocity.

Enthalpy Calculations

A general solution to the relation between temperature and humidity in air may be attained by enthalpy calculations. The heat required for the transition of water from liquid to gas is derived from the surrounding air. The evaporation of water into the air (i.e., an increase in water enthalpy) is thus accompanied by a reduction in **Ta** (i.e., a decrease in air enthalpy). In evaporative cooling, the increase in water vapor enthalpy equals the decrease in air enthalpy. The conditions in which these changes are equal may be estimated by the equations for dry air and water vapor enthalpies (Monteith, 1973; Campbell, 1977):

$$h_a = T_a \times 1.01 \times \rho_a \quad [1]$$

and

$$h_v = T_a \times 1.88 \times \rho_v + 2,502 \times \rho_v, \quad [2]$$

where **Ta** is the temperature of ambient air; h_a and h_v are dry air and water vapor enthalpies (kJ/kg), respectively; ρ_a and ρ_v are dry air and water vapor densities (kg/m³), respectively; 1.01 and 1.88 are the specific heat of dry air and water vapor (kJ/kg), respectively; and 2,502 is the latent heat of water (kJ/kg).

The conditions in which the increase in water vapor enthalpy equals the reduction in dry air enthalpy may be equated by combining Equations 1 and 2:

$$1.01 \times (\rho_a \times T_a - \rho_{ac} \times T_c) = 1.88 \times (\rho_{vc} \times T_c - \rho_{va} \times T_a) + 2,502 \times (\rho_{vc} - \rho_{va}) \quad [3]$$

where **Ta** and **Tc** are the temperature of ambient and cooled air, respectively; ρ_{va} and ρ_{vc} are the water vapor density in ambient and cooled air (kg/kg of dry air), respectively; and ρ_a and ρ_{ac} are the dry air density in ambient and cooled air (kg/kg of dry air), respectively.

The equations presented in Table 1 produce sea-level values for ρ_a , ρ_{ac} , ρ_{va} , and ρ_{vc} at different temperatures. The latent heat of evaporation is affected little by temperature (0.0024 MJ/kg per °C) and elevation (0.65 MJ/kg per 100 m of altitude; Monteith, 1973). In calculations of evaporative cooling of air, an ideal situation was assumed, namely, that all latent heat of evaporation was derived from the air.

It is difficult to provide a general solution to Equation 3, because the temperatures of unmodified ambient **Ta**

Table 1. Coefficients and equations used in the calculations of heat exchange between air and moisture

Item	Symbol	Value	Unit
Air density ¹	ρ_a	$P \times M/R \times T$ (from gas laws)	kg/m ³
Specific heat of air ²	c_a	1.01	kJ/kg per °C
Saturation water vapor pressure ³	p_v	$\exp(52.57 - (6790/K) - 5.028 \times \ln K)$	kPa
Saturation water vapor density ⁴	ρ_v	$217 \times p_v/K$ (from gas laws)	g/m ³
Specific heat of water vapor ²	c_v	1.88	kJ/kg per °C
Latent heat of vaporization	γ	2,502	kJ/kg
Enthalpy of the air:vapor mixture	h_{av}	$(1.01 \times \rho_a + 1.88 \times \rho_v) \times T_a + 2502 \times \rho_v$	kJ/kg

¹P = 101.3 kPa; M = 29.0 g; R = 8.31 J/mol × K; T = temperature in K.

²Page 220 in Monteith (1973).

³Page 22 in Campbell (1977).

⁴Page 6 in Monteith (1973).

and of Tc may vary independently of each other. An indirect solution was therefore adopted. The difference in dry air enthalpy (kJ/kg) between Ta and Tc (h_a and h_{ac} , respectively) was computed for a range of Ta (28 to 45°C in 1°C increments), where each Ta was associated with a range of Tc values (lower than Ta by 4 to 14°C in 1°C increments). The difference in water vapor enthalpy between Ta and Tc (h_v and h_{vc} , respectively) for each such combination was computed for a range of relative humidity values for Ta (10 to 70% in 5% increments) and for Tc (40 to 80% in 10% increments). This generated a total of approximately 18,000 sets of Ta, Tc, h_a , h_{ac} , h_v , and h_{vc} data. The differences in dry air and water vapor enthalpy between the Ta and Tc sets were calculated. In 95 cases the differences between dry air enthalpy and water vapor enthalpy was within $\pm 2.5\%$ of the water vapor enthalpy. In these cases Tc approximated the wet bulb temperature. These 95 cases represented pairs of situations of temperature and humidity in ambient air and in cooled air [Ta and relative humidity (RH) in ambient air, and Tc and RH in cooled air (RHc), respectively] in which the reduction in dry air enthalpy during the evaporative cooling of air was close to the associated increase in water vapor enthalpy. The relations between these parameters were analyzed to provide numerical solutions for evaporative cooling.

Impact of Humidity on Heat Loss

The maintenance of body temperature stability demands that the difference between heat produced in the body and that dissipated by sensible and latent heat losses from the skin must be dissipated via the respiratory tract (Berman, 2005). This implies that rising Ta increase the demand for water evaporation from the respiratory tract. A depressive effect of RH on Hsk would increase the demand for Hre. Ambient humidity may thus have both direct and indirect effects on Hre.

Impact of Humidity on Hre

Respiratory response data for Holstein cows in climate chamber studies were used to predict the respiration rate (RR), tidal volume (Vt), and expired Ta as a function of the Ta and RH (Stevens, 1981) for cows in first-phase panting. In this phase, the increase in Hre is attained by a rise in RR coupled with a decline in Vt. The equations produced in that study were

$$RR = e^{(2.966 + 0.0218 \times Ta + 0.00069 \times Ta^2)} \quad [4]$$

$$Vt = 0.0189 \times RR^{-0.463} \quad [5]$$

$$Tex = 17.0 + 0.3 \times Ta + e^{0.01611 \times RH + 0.0387 \times Ta} \quad [6]$$

where RR is the respiration rate (breaths/min), Vt is the tidal volume (m³/breath), and Tex is the temperature of expired air (°C).

Equations 4 to 6 were used to estimate the hourly pulmonary ventilation rate (m³/h) and expired Ta. Respiratory water loss at different Ta and RH was computed, using Table 1 equations, for Ta ranging from 25 to 40°C, in 2°C steps, and for RH ranging from 15 to 80%, in 10% steps. This produced a data set that was used to analyze the effect of RH on Hre.

Thermal Balance Simulations

Increases in Ta reduce skin convective and radiant heat loss and increase the demand for skin evaporative heat loss. These constitute total Hsk. Evaporative cooling reduces the Tc but increases its RHc. Skin evaporative heat loss is affected by the surrounding RH. The Hsk is relative to the body surface exposed to air and to the velocity of air movement on the exposed body surface. Cattle spend a large part of their day recumbent and also huddle, which reduces the exposed body surface by 20 to 50% and consequently reduces Hsk. A

smaller Hsk increases the demand for Hre. Air temperature and humidity in the respiratory tract are determined not only by the Ta and RH of incoming air but also by the breathing rate and Vt. The latter are modulated by the thermal state. Evaporative cooling increases the moisture content of cooled air, which reduces the capacity for skin and respiratory evaporative heat loss. The interactions between RH, Ta, and air-velocity-exposed body surface effects on respiratory and Hsk are complex, but may be estimated by thermal balance simulations.

A cattle thermal balance simulation model, modified to suit the summer-adapted Holstein cow (McGovern and Bruce, 2000; Berman, 2005), was used to estimate Hre and Hsk for a cow of 600 kg of BW and 35 kg/d of milk yield, values that represent cows in the higher producing herds. The thermal balance model modifies Vt, RR, and sensible and latent Hsk for the effects of ambient conditions (Ta, velocity, and RH; McGovern and Bruce, 2000). Metabolic heat production was estimated at 931 W, hair coat depth at 3 mm, radiant temperature at 3°C above Ta, and skin moisture loss at 220 g/m² per h. These values were chosen because they represent Holstein cows kept in loose housing systems in summer and because the effects of different metabolic heat production and hair-coat-depth values on responses to environmental heat were estimated previously (Berman, 2004; Berman, 2005).

Simulations were run to estimate Hre and Hsk for Ta ranging from 25 to 40°C (in 1.25°C increments), and RH ranging from 15 to 75% (in 10% increments) when the full body surface or two-thirds of it was exposed to air velocities of 0.3 or 1.5 m/s. The reduced body surface simulates the effects of huddling or of lying, in which the body surface exposed to moving air is reduced to about 66%. The Hre and Hsk were expressed in heat loss per cow units (W/cow). In total, 350 simulations were carried out. The data set produced by these simulations was used to analyze the effects of Ta, RH, air velocity, and exposed body surface on Hre.

Air Velocity Close to the Body Surface

Air velocity has marked effects on Hsk and is an important component of thermal balance. The velocity of wind, measured in the free air above the cow's back, is not likely to represent the velocity effective in animal heat loss. The latter is determined by the velocity in proximity to the body surface. Air velocity was measured at 1 m above the back and at 10 cm above the body surface at sites located at 45° around the midbody of 15 standing cows. It was measured by a sensitive omnidirectional thermocouple anemometer (± 0.05 m/s, model B-27; Hastings-Raydist Inc., Hampton VA).

Measurements were carried out while the cows were standing with their heads in stanchions distanced 85 cm apart. Attempts to carry out such measurements in cows free to move were unsuccessful, because the cows moved when approached.

RR in Cows

The predictive value of the model was further examined by comparing the respiratory responses of Holstein cows to given ambient conditions with responses predicted by the model for the same conditions. These data are part of a larger study of the respiratory and behavioral responses of dairy cows. The cows were milked 3 times daily, with a daily milk yield of 34.8 ± 2.2 (SD) kg and at 204 ± 22 (SD) DIM. The cows ($n = 73$) were kept on deep straw bedding, in the shade of an open, loose housing system providing 14 m²/cow, in which radiant temperature was about 3°C above Ta. The cows were offered a fresh TMR twice daily. Air movement was maintained by fans located 4 m apart along the feeding line. During the higher Ta period, a regular breeze, 1.5 to 2 m/s air velocity in free air, prevailed from 1000 to 1600 h. During this period, the cows were cooled by wetting and forced ventilation for 0.5 h before each milking. Respiratory rate was determined by flank movements over 30-s periods in 10 standing and 10 resting randomly selected cows, at 2-h intervals between 0900 and 1300 h on 16 d between the spring and fall of 1 yr. Ambient conditions were determined in free air using a multifunction instrument (model 451; Testo AG, Lenzkirch, Germany). Air temperature ($\pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$), air velocity by miniature vane anemometer (± 0.4 m/s), and RH ($\pm 2\%$) were measured at the start and end of each RR measurement. The RR observed were correlated with the Hre predicted for these environmental conditions by the thermal balance simulation model.

RESULTS

Enthalpy Calculations

The 91 sets of Ta, Tc, RH, and RHc data associated with changes in enthalpies of dry air and water vapor that were within 2.5% of each other served to approximate the relations between Ta, Tc, RH, and RHc over the Ta range of 28 to 45°C and RH range of 10 to 70%. The Tc was approximated by the following regression equation:

$$T_c = 1.9 + 0.76 \times T_a + 0.30 \times RH - 0.18 \quad [7] \\ \times RH_c (R^2 = 0.985)$$

The reduction in T_a expected at given RH and RHc conditions was approximated by the following regression equation:

$$dT_a = -1.9 + 0.24 \times T_a - 0.30 \times RH + 0.18 \times RH_c \quad (R^2 = 0.971) \quad [8]$$

where

$$dT_a = T_a - T_c \text{ (}^\circ\text{C)}.$$

The R^2 values of the two regressions (Equations 7 and 8) are high enough to give them a satisfactorily reliable predictive potential. The regressions estimate the effects of RH and of RHc on T_c and dT_a . A 10-percentage-unit increase in RH lessens the reduction in T_c by about 3°C. This might be counteracted by increasing humidity in the cooled air. But such an attempt would compensate for only about 60% of the effect of RH, as indicated by the ratio of the coefficients of RHc and RH (i.e., 0.18:0.30). A 1°C increase in T_a is accompanied by an increase of only 0.24°C in the reduction of T_a attained by evaporative cooling. This indicates a reduction in the heat stress relief potential of evaporative cooling with rising T_a . At a RH of 15%, the reduction in T_a by evaporative cooling is in the range of 13 to 15°C, a significant improvement in potential convective heat loss (Table 2). The reduction in T_a declines steeply with rising RH. In the 32 to 42°C T_a range at 45% RH, the reduction in T_a becomes 30 to 40% of that at 15% RH. The decline in T_a by evaporative cooling therefore becomes questionable at RH over 45%, even at high T_a . This reduction in the impact of evaporative cooling may be counteracted by increasing air velocity, a convective heat loss factor not accounted for by enthalpy calculations.

Hre

The data set produced from respiratory functions at different T_a and RH were used to estimate the effects of ambient conditions on respiratory moisture loss. These effects were approximated by the following equation:

$$Rwl = 0.41 - 0.02 \times T_a + 0.0005 \times T_a^2 - 0.004 \times RH + 0.00004 \times RH^2 \quad (R^2 = 0.979) \quad [9]$$

where **Rwl** is respiratory water loss (g h^{-1}) and RH is ambient relative humidity (%).

Respiratory water loss increased with rising T_a and declined with rising RH, with no interaction between the effects of T_a and RH (Figure 1). At 40°C at a RH of 15%, Rwl was 33% larger than at 45% RH. The maximal impact of RH was reached at about 40% RH, at which the Rwl was indistinguishable from those at 45, 50, and 60% RH. This implies not only a depressive effect of RH on Rwl, but also that increasing the RH beyond 40% would not further affect the Rwl.

Thermal Balance

When the body temperature is stable and Hsk is near maximal, Hre reflects the additional Hre required to maintain the stability of body temperature. The higher the T_a , the more likely it is that a moderate T_c is attained at the cost of a high RH. Stress is expected to appear when the RH reduces the evaporative component of Hsk to the extent that it elicits a marked demand for Hre. The interactions between effects of T_a , RH, air velocity, and the proportion of exposed body surface on Hre were estimated from the outputs of the thermal balance simulations.

The estimates of the thermal balance simulations suggest that when the full body surface is exposed to a 1.5 m/s air velocity, RH (15 to 75%) has no significant effect on Hre (data not shown). A low air velocity reduces the convective and evaporative heat loss from the body surface and increases the impact of the effects of RH on Hsk. At an air velocity of 0.3 m/s the T_a at which the Hre starts to rise above its basal values is reduced by 1.2 to 1.5°C for increments of 10% units in RH (Figure 2A). At an ambient 55% RH, a rise in Hre to 50% of the maximum rate is estimated to occur at 34°C. At 65 and 75% RH, similar rates of Hre are expected to appear at 32.5 and 31°C, respectively. These set tentative limits on the extent to which RH may be increased to reduce T_c by evaporative cooling for a standing animal exposed to 0.3 m/s air velocity.

A reduction in exposed body surface reduces the total Hsk, and therefore increases the demand for Hre as well as its sensitivity to RH and the velocity effects on Hsk. For an animal in which only 66% of its body surface is exposed to T_a at a 1.5 m/s velocity, increases in Hre to 50% of its maximum are estimated to occur at 31.5°C if the RH is 75%, at 33°C if the RH is 65%, and at 34°C if the RH is 55% (Figure 2B). If a similar animal is exposed to a 0.3 m/s velocity (Figure 2C), the increase

Table 2. Predicted reduction of air temperature by evaporative cooling¹ as function of outdoor temperature and humidity, when cooled air is at 65% relative humidity

Outdoor air temperature (°C)	Outdoor relative humidity (%)				
	15	25	35	45	55
34	13.3	10.1	6.9	3.8	0.6
38	14.3	11.1	7.9	4.8	1.6
42	15.2	12.1	8.9	5.7	2.6

¹From Equation 8.

Figure 1. Water loss from the respiratory tract (kg/h, as predicted by Equations 4 to 6 and the equations in Table 1) as a function of ambient temperature and relative humidity ($\square$, 15%; $\triangle$, 25%; $\circ$, 35%; $\blacksquare$, 45%; $\blacktriangle$, 55%) when cooled air is at 65% relative humidity.

in Hre is expected to occur at about 25°C and 50% RH, and the maximal rates of Hre are estimated to occur at 27 to 30°C when the RH is 55% and higher. The simulations also predicted a moderate effect of RH on maximal Hre. Increasing the RH from 55 to 75% reduced the maximal Hre by 15 to 20%.

Air Velocity Proximal to the Body Surface

The cows were of a similar age and BW, in their second to fourth lactation, and in an average body condition for lactating cows. The cows were standing with their heads in stanchions and were perpendicularly oriented toward the wind. Mean ($\pm$ SEM) air velocity at 1 m above the back of the cows was 0.9 ± 0.24 m/s, ranging from 0.5 to 1.4 m/s. This variation in wind velocity is typical for most wind conditions. Mean air velocity at 10 cm above the body surface was 0.40 ± 0.02 m/s. The data of practically concomitant air velocities above the cows and between the cows were used to estimate the relationship between air velocity in free air and that prevailing in proximity of the body surface. This relationship is represented by the regression

$$V_s = 0.113 + 0.3 \times V_a \quad (R^2 = 0.847),$$

where V_s is air velocity in proximity of the body surface and V_a is air velocity at 1 m above the back.

The residuals of the regression were randomly distributed, with no indication of a nonlinear relation between V_a and V_s . The V_s was in the 0.3 to 0.4 m/s range, with the exception of the higher V_s on the upper body side facing the wind (Figure 3). The distribution of air velocity around the body was almost symmetrical,

Figure 2. Heat loss from the respiratory tract (W/cow), as predicted from the thermal balance model, as a function of ambient temperature and relative humidity ($\triangle$, 30%; $\blacktriangle$, 55%; $\square$, 65%; $\blacksquare$, 75%): A) for a cow standing with the full body surface exposed to 0.3 m/s air velocity; B) for a recumbent cow with two-thirds of the body surface exposed to 1.5 m/s air velocity; and C) for a recumbent cow with two-thirds of the body surface exposed to 0.3 m/s air velocity.

with a slight distortion at 45°, the side of the body facing the wind. An effect of wind was not observed at greater angles.

RR

Mean ambient conditions during RR measurements ($\pm$ SEM) were $27.6 \pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$, 52 ± 0.4 RH, and 0.8 ± 0.02 m/s. The ranges in ambient conditions were 15 to 34.9°C , 20 to 67% RH, and 0.3 to 2.3 m/s. These reflect

Figure 3. Distribution of mean omnidirectional air velocity at 10 cm above the body surface at different angles around the body of 15 standing cows, when the mean air velocity ($\pm$ SEM) at 1 m above the back of the cow is 0.9 ± 0.07 m/s.

ambient conditions in the shade at 1.5 m above ground in the free air outside the housing. In total, 390 RR measurements were taken on 16 observation days. The mean RR was 63.7 ± 1.0 , ranging from 24 to 132 breaths/min.

The relationship between RR and ambient conditions was best described by the following regression:

$$\text{RR} = 351.5 - 8.86 \times \text{Ta} + 0.053 \times \text{Ta}^2 - 9.36 \times \text{RH} + 0.054 \times \text{RH}^2 + 0.17 \times \text{Ta} \times \text{RH} \quad (R^2 = 0.571).$$

Ambient air velocity, as measured at a height of 1.5 m above ground in the free air, did not have significant effects on RR.

Predicted and Observed Respiratory Values

Correlations between RR observed and Hre predicted by the thermal balance model may provide an additional validation of the thermal balance model. Respiratory rates were in the range within which RR is linearly related to Hre (McArthur, 1987). Thermal balance simulations were carried out for full and reduced body surface exposed (representing standing and recumbent cows) at the average Ta and RH during the RR measurements. As previously indicated, air velocities measured in free air are not likely to represent the Vs of the cows. The thermal balance simulations were thus carried out for air velocities of 0.2 to 1.2 m/s. Correlations were calculated between Hre predicted by the thermal balance model at the different Va and RH observed on

these days. It was presumed that if the thermal balance model predictions were close to real-life situations, the correlations would be the greatest at Vs.

The correlations between predicted Hre and observed RR declined at air velocities higher than 0.3 m/s. The correlations were higher when a reduced body surface was assumed. The correlations between predicted Hre and RR were the highest at Va of 0.2 m/s for the full body surface ($r = 0.75$, $P < 0.05$), and at Va of 0.3 m/s for the reduced body surface ($r = 0.97$, $P < 0.01$).

DISCUSSION

The changes in Ta that occur during evaporative cooling are usually obtained from a psychrometric chart. If a large bulk of data must be obtained, the time required for its acquisition becomes prohibitive. A mathematical model of the psychrometric chart had been presented in the past (Brooker, 1967). The model produced 17 equations for psychrometric variables (in BTU units), and if any 2 of these variables were provided, the others could be calculated. The approach presented here was to directly target combinations of ambient conditions in which a change in the enthalpy of water vapor is close to or equals that in the dry air. The approach was based on equations derived from gas laws and on the selection of cases in which changes in dry air and water vapor enthalpy were equal or close to equal. The latter data set was used to produce 2 equations, neither of which was previously available, to our knowledge. These equations (Equations 7 and 8) estimate Tc and the reduction in Ta attainable by evaporative cooling as a function of Ta, RH, and RHc. In ideal conditions of adiabatic changes, an increase of 10 percentage units in RH lessens by 3.2°C the reduction in Ta attainable by evaporative cooling. Only approximately 50% of this effect may be compensated for by a corresponding increase in RHc. Equations 7 and 8 represent ideal physical changes, and may serve to evaluate evaporative cooling systems (Bottcher et al., 1991). According to these equations, the reduction in Ta by evaporative cooling at RH over 45% (with RHc maintained at 65%) becomes 30 to 40% of that in dry environments, even at high Ta. Such equations indicate the expected changes in conditions created by evaporative cooling but do not estimate the responses of animals. The latter are determined by the interactions among animals and the components of the environment.

For instance, greater reductions in Ta might be attained by allowing a higher RHc. However, a high RH might impair the Hre and Hsk and reduce the capacity to maintain thermal stability. The effect of RH on Rwl was estimated by empirical equations derived from a data set on Holstein cattle in controlled conditions (Ste-

vens, 1981). Respiration rates in the latter study were highly correlated ($r = 0.99$) with the RR observed in this study. The increase in Rwl with Ta was reduced by the rising RH, and a maximal impact of RH was reached at about 40% RH. Higher RH did not further reduce the respiratory response to Ta. These predictions imply that RH of 70 to 80% RHc prevailing in environments cooled by evaporative cooling (Hahn and Osburn, 1970; Frazzi et al., 2002) are not likely to be a factor markedly affecting the Rwl. This does not account for effects of RH on skin evaporative loss or for effects of exposed body surface and air velocity on heat exchange.

The body surface exposed to moving air is reduced when cows are huddling, as well as when cows adopt a recumbent posture during resting or rumination. Mature Holstein cows in stall housing systems spend about 15 h/d lying, and the duration and frequency of lying probably are indicators of cow comfort (Haley et al., 2000). A smaller body surface reduces both the convective and the evaporative components of Hsk. The time spent lying was reduced in cows exposed to heat stress (Frazzi et al., 2000). Ambient temperature had a negative impact on the percentage of cows lying (Shultz, 1984; Overton et al., 2002). Higher standing values were associated with higher RR and body temperatures (Frazzi et al., 2000). Spray cooling of lying cows increased their lying time (Hillman et al., 2005). These support the contention, brought here, that a lying cow is more sensitive to heat stress than a standing cow. Respiratory heat loss is recruited when the Hsk is insufficient to maintain thermal stability. A high demand for Hre may, by itself, be a stressing factor because it reduces the time spent lying.

These generalizations are supported by thermal balance predictions, namely, that RH up to 75% have no estimable effect on Hre when the full body surface is exposed to a 1.5 m/s air velocity. In contrast, when the full body surface is exposed to a 0.3 m/s air velocity, the Hre is recruited to more than 50% of its maximal capacity, and its recruitment is earlier and steeper at 45% RH and beyond. If 66% of the body surface is exposed, at a 1.5 m/s air velocity the Hre becomes similar to that when the full body surface is exposed to a 0.3 m/s air velocity. Responses are further aggravated when the exposed body surface is limited to 66% and air velocity is low. If the RH is low, the Hre rises continuously with rising Ta above 25°C. If the RH is 55% and above, the Hre reaches a plateau at Ta below 30°C, and the plateau is reduced by rising RH. These indicate that the predicted response to RH depends not only on Ta, but also on Vs and Va. The simulations suggest that evaporative cooling may be effective in relieving heat stress at RH beyond those predicted by enthalpy

calculations if high air velocity is used to enhance skin evaporative and convective heat loss. These results are consistent with Hsk conceived as a simultaneous transfer of heat and mass, in which both dry and latent heat loss are similarly modified by air velocity (Arkin et al., 1991; Kimmel et al., 1991).

The velocity of air relevant to heat exchange is Vs. Airflow at 10 cm above the body surface was approximately 0.3 to 0.4 m/s, one-third of that observed in free air above the animals. The correlations between predicted Hre and RR were the highest at Vs. These support the relevance of thermal balance outputs to real-life responses. The higher correlation in recumbent animals is probably due to a smaller variability between animals in airflow over the exposed body surface.

In conclusion, the results suggest that the range of environmental conditions within which evaporative cooling is efficient may be markedly extended if air velocity in the proximity of the animals is in the 1 to 1.5 m/s range. Also, the evaporative cooling system should not target uniform conditions in the housing space. A higher benefit may be expected from systems in which lower Ta and higher air velocity prevail in the resting area. Standing animals may attain comfort at high RH, provided air velocity is high. These may be attained by controlling the distribution of water, droplet dimensions, their path in the housing space, and air velocity (Singletary et al., 1996). Optimizing evaporative cooling requires a more complex, but attainable, control system. Such a system may extend the feasibility of evaporative cooling into less dry environments.

REFERENCES

- Arkin, H., E. Kimmel, A. Berman, and D. Broday. 1991. Heat transfer properties of dry and wet furs of dairy cows. *Trans. ASAE* 34:2551–2558.
- Berman, A. 2004. Tissue and external insulation estimates and their effects on prediction of energy requirements and of heat stress. *J. Dairy Sci.* 87:1400–1412.
- Berman, A. 2005. Estimates of heat stress relief needs for Holstein dairy cows. *J. Anim. Sci.* 83:1377–1384.
- Bottcher, R. W., G. R. Baughman, R. S. Gates, and M. B. Timmons. 1991. Characterizing efficiency of misting systems for poultry. *Trans. ASAE* 34:586–590.
- Brooker, D. B. 1967. Mathematical model of the psychrometric chart. *Trans. ASAE* 10:558–560.
- Brown, W. H., J. W. Fuquay, W. H. McGee, and S. S. Iyengar. 1974. Evaporative cooling for Mississippi dairy cows. *Trans. ASAE* 17:513–515.
- Campbell, G. S. 1977. *An Introduction to Environmental Biophysics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.
- Frazzi, E., L. Calamari, and F. Calegari. 2002. Productive response of dairy cows to different cooling systems. *Trans. ASAE* 45:396–405.
- Frazzi, E., L. Calamari, F. Calegari, and L. Stefanini. 2000. Behavior of dairy cows in response to different barn cooling systems. *Trans. ASAE* 43:387–394.
- Hahn, L. R., and D. D. Osburn. 1970. Feasibility of evaporative cooling for dairy cattle based on expected production losses. *Trans. ASAE* 13:289–294.

- Haley, D. B., J. Rushen, and A. M. d. Passille. 2000. Behavioural indicators of cow comfort: Activity and resting behaviour of dairy cows in two types of housing. *Can. J. Anim. Sci.* 80:257–263.
- Hillman, P. E., C. N. Lee, and S. T. Willard. 2005. Thermoregulatory responses associated with lying and standing in heat-stressed cows. *Trans. ASAE* 48:795–801.
- Huhnke, R. L., L. C. McCowan, G. M. Meraz, S. L. Harp, and M. E. Payton. 2004. Using evaporative cooling to reduce the frequency and duration of elevated temperature–humidity indices in Oklahoma. *Appl. Eng. Agric.* 20:95–99.
- Ittner, N. R., C. F. Kelly, and T. E. Bond. 1957. Cooling cattle by mechanically increasing air movement. *J. Anim. Sci.* 16:732–738.
- Kelly, C. F., T. E. Bond, and N. R. Ittner. 1950. Thermal design of livestock shades. *Agric. Eng.* 31:601–606.
- Kimmel, E., H. Arki, D. Broday, and A. Berman. 1991. A model of evaporative cooling in a wetted hide. *J. Agric. Eng. Res.* 49:227–241.
- Landsberg, J. J., B. White, and M. R. Thorpe. 1979. Computer analysis of the efficacy of evaporative cooling for glasshouses in high energy environments. *J. Agric. Eng. Res.* 24:29–39.
- McArthur, A. J. 1987. Thermal interaction between animal and microclimate: A comprehensive model. *J. Theor. Biol.* 126:203–238.
- McGovern, R. R., and J. M. Bruce. 2000. A model of the thermal balance for cattle in hot conditions. *J. Agric. Eng. Res.* 77:91–92.
- Monteith, J. L. 1973. *Principles of Environmental Physics*. Edward Arnold, London, UK.
- Overton, M. W., W. M. Sischo, G. D. Temple, and D. A. Moore. 2002. Using time-lapse video photography to assess dairy cattle lying behavior in a free-stall barn. *J. Dairy Sci.* 85:2407–2413.
- Ryan, D. P., M. P. Boland, E. Kopel, D. Armstrong, L. Munyakazi, R. A. Godke, and R. H. Ingraham. 1992. Evaluating two different evaporative cooling management systems for dairy cows in a hot, dry climate. *J. Dairy Sci.* 75:1052–1059.
- Seath, D. M., and G. D. Miller. 1948. Effect of water sprinkling with and without air movement on cooling dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.* 31:361–366.
- Shultz, T. A. 1984. Weather and shade effects on corral cow activities. *J. Dairy Sci.* 67:868–873.
- Singletary, I. B., R. W. Bottcher, and G. R. Baughman. 1996. Characterizing effects of temperature and humidity on misting evaporative efficiency. *Trans. ASAE* 39:1801–1809.
- Stevens, D. G. 1981. A model of respiratory vapor loss in Holstein dairy cattle. *Trans. ASAE* 24:151–153.
- Timmons, M. B., and G. R. Baughman. 1983. Experimental evaluation of poultry mist-fogging systems. *Trans. ASAE* 26:207–210.
- Wiersma, F., and G. H. Stott. 1966. Microclimate modifications for hot weather stress relief of dairy cattle. *Trans. ASAE* 9:309–311.
- Wilson, J. L., H. A. Hughes, and W. D. Weaver. 1983. Evaporative cooling with fogging nozzles in broiler houses. *Trans. ASAE* 26:557–561.