J. Dairy Sci. 94:1640–1640

doi:10.3168/jds.2011-94-3-1640

© American Dairy Science Association®, 2011.

Erratum to “Direct-fed microbial supplementation on the performance of dairy cattle during the transition period” (J. Dairy Sci. 86:331–335)

J. E. Nocek, W. P. Kautz, J. A. Z. Leedle, and E. Block

In several locations in the paper, the direct-fed microbial supplement was incorrectly described with respect to the number of viable yeast cells: in the abstract (page 331), in the second paragraph of Materials and Methods (page 331–332), and in the footnotes to Tables 1 and 2 (page 332). The correct description is as follows: The direct-fed microbial (DFM) supplement contained 2×10^9 viable yeast cells and 5×10^9 cfu of bacteria (*Enterococcus faecium*) per cow per day, top dressed in a 90-g supplement.

In the Materials and Methods section (page 331), the second sentence of the second paragraph should read “The DFM consisted of a yeast and two *Enterococcus faecium* strains of bacteria, (Chr. Hansen, Milwaukee, WI) selected for their ability to provide the desired lactic acid concentration under ruminal conditions.” The fourth sentence should read “The 90-g supplement containing the DFM provided 2×10^9 viable yeast cells and 5×10^9 cfu of bacteria.”

The authors regret the error.

Nocek, J. E., W. P. Kautz, J. A. Z. Leedle, and E. Block. 2003. Direct-fed microbial supplementation on the performance of dairy cattle during the transition period. J. Dairy Sci. 86(1):331–335.