Pregnancy Rates After Timed AI of Heifers Following Removal of Intravaginal Progesterone Inserts
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ABSTRACT

Reproductive performance of dairy heifers was compared for each of 2 synchronization protocols: The first group of 54 heifers was synchronized using intravaginal progesterone inserts (CIDR) plus estradiol cypionate (ECP) on d 0, PGF2α on d 7, and ECP again on d 8 (CIDR-ECP); a second group of 56 heifers was synchronized using CIDR and ECP on d 0, PGF2α on d 7, and GnRH on d 9 (CIDR-GnRH). All heifers received timed artificial insemination (TAI) at 48, 56, or 72 h after CIDR removal on d 7. Pregnancy diagnosis was conducted by ultrasonography 32 ± 1 d post AI to confirm pregnancy and at 60 ± 1 d post AI to determine embryo survival. Ovaries were monitored by ultrasonography daily from d 0 to 7 and twice daily from d 8 to ovulation to examine emergence of a new wave of follicles, size of the ovulatory follicle, and timing of ovulation on 15 heifers per protocol. New follicular development was detected 3.7 ± 0.2 d after CIDR insertion. Heifers receiving CIDR-ECP had a shorter interval from CIDR removal to ovulation than heifers receiving CIDR-GnRH (63.8 ± 3.0 vs. 71.6 ± 2.3 h, respectively); however, ovulation occurred 39.8 ± 3.0 h after ECP or 23.6 ± 2.3 h after GnRH. Diameters of ovulatory follicles did not differ between treatments. Overall pregnancy rate for synchronized heifers was 60.1%, and embryo survival was 98%. Pregnancy rate for heifers synchronized with CIDR-ECP was 63.0% and similar to that in heifers synchronized with CIDR-GnRH (57.1%). Pregnancy rate was affected by time of AI for heifers synchronized using CIDR-ECP but not for those synchronized with CIDR-GnRH. Heifers in the CIDR-ECP group that were inseminated 56 h after CIDR removal had a higher pregnancy rate (81.0%) compared with heifers inseminated 48 (66.7%) or 72 h (50.0%) after CIDR removal. Either ECP or GnRH used in a CIDR-based TAI program in dairy heifers can achieve acceptable reproductive performance.
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INTRODUCTION

On many dairy farms, heifers are not inseminated using AI due to their location on remote pastures and the extra effort that must be made for detection of estrus and AI by farm personnel. This has limited the use of AI in dairy heifers. Synchronization protocols that include timed artificial insemination (TAI) and achieve acceptable pregnancy rates (PR) could allow for increased use of AI in heifers. Using the Ovsynch protocol for TAI in dairy heifers decreased PR by 39 percentage units compared with AI following observed estrus (Pursley et al., 1995, 1997). Decreased PR of virgin heifers on Ovsynch protocols could be due to the number of follicular waves (Pursley et al., 1997) or inconsistent follicular wave emergence (Nebel and Jobst, 1998). Although the Ovsynch protocol has not produced acceptable PR in heifers, little research has been conducted to evaluate other methods of synchronization for TAI in dairy heifers.

Initial research was conducted using dairy heifers to evaluate the effectiveness of intravaginal progesterone (P4) inserts also known as controlled internal drug release devices (CIDR) and reported an overall synchronization rate of 84% and a PR of 45% for the first 3 d of the AI period after CIDR removal and detected estrus (Lucy et al., 2001). Synchronization rate is enhanced when estrogen (E2) is administered during P4 period (Hanlon et al., 1996, 1997). Many studies (Hanlon et al., 1996, 1997; Colazo et al., 2003, 2004) have demonstrated that exogenous E2 is effective when used at the
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Figure 1. Experimental protocol used for dairy heifers synchronized with intravaginal progesterone inserts (CIDR) combined with estradiol cypionate (ECP) at 24 h or GnRH at 48 h after CIDR removal, followed by AI at 48, 56, or 72 h after CIDR removal. Following timed AI (TAI), pregnancy exams (PE) were performed at 32 (±1) d and 60 (±1) d post AI to confirm pregnancy and determine embryo survival rate.

beginning or end of a CIDR synchronization protocol. Although abundant research has been published on the subject of synchronization of estrus using CIDR inserts, little research has been conducted using the CIDR insert with TAI in dairy heifers.

The objectives of this study were to determine follicular wave emergence following an estradiol cypionate (ECP) injection at the time of CIDR insertion and to compare the response of ECP given 24 h or GnRH given 48 h after CIDR removal on ovulation rate, time of ovulation, diameter of the ovulatory follicle, and PR after TAI following CIDR removal in dairy heifers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Heifers and Treatment Protocols

The trial was conducted from August 2002 to March 2004 using heifers (n = 110) from the Virginia Tech Dairy Center. Heifers were between 14 and 19 mo of age at the start of the synchronization protocols. Jersey (n = 39) and Holstein (n = 71) heifers were managed together on pastures of orchardgrass and fescue. Heifers were fed corn silage, concentrate, 2:1 mineral, and mixed orchardgrass hay during the winter.

Heifers were randomly assigned to a synchronization protocol without regard to the stage of the estrous cycle at initiation of synchronization (Figure 1). Within the 2 synchronization protocols, heifers were randomly assigned to 48 or 72 h TAI; however, a subset of heifers was assigned randomly to a synchronization protocol but inseminated 56 h after CIDR removal. All heifers (n = 110) received a CIDR containing 1.38 g of P₄ (Eazi-Breed CIDR, Pfizer, Inc., New York, NY) and a 1-mg i.m. injection of ECP (ECP, Pfizer, Inc.) at time of device insertion (d 0). On d 7, the CIDR device was removed and a 25-mg i.m. injection of PGF₂α (Lutalyse, Pfizer, Inc.) was administered. One group of 54 heifers was assigned to receive a 0.5-mg i.m. injection of ECP 24 h after CIDR removal, and this synchrony group was labeled CIDR-ECP. A second group of 56 heifers was assigned to receive a 0.1 mg i.m. injection of GnRH (Cystorelin, Merial Ltd., Iselin, NJ) 48 h after CIDR device removal and was labeled the CIDR-GnRH group. Heifers were not observed for estrus at any time during the study. Proven sires of known fertility were obtained from a single AI organization; inseminations were performed by experienced AI technicians.
In a subset of heifers (n = 30), ovarian ultrasonographic examinations were performed daily from d 0 to 7 and twice daily from d 8 to ovulation to monitor follicular development, emergence of a new wave of follicular development, the size of the ovulatory follicle, and ovulation.

Pregnancy diagnosis was performed by ultrasonography with a 7.4-MHz broadband curved-array transducer (Sonosite 180PLUS, SonoSite, Inc., Bothell, WA) at 32 ± 1 d following AI. A second pregnancy diagnosis was performed 60 ± 1 d post AI to confirm pregnancy and determine embryo survival rate. The PR was defined as the number of heifers pregnant divided by the number of heifers synchronized. The embryo survival rate was the number of heifers diagnosed open 60 ± 1 d post AI divided by the total number of heifers diagnosed pregnant 32 ± 1 d post AI.

Data and Statistical Analysis

Interval from CIDR removal to ovulation and diameter of the largest or dominant follicle were measured on the initial 30 heifers. One-way ANOVA was used to determine differences in the means for heifers treated with CIDR-ECP (n = 15) and CIDR-GnRH (n = 15).

First-service PR was analyzed using logistic regression (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC) in a model that included month by year insemination, AI technician, breed, and AI time. Months were combined to avoid extremely small categories. Time of AI subclasses were evaluated using linear contrasts to test treatment, insemination at 56 h vs. the average of 48 and 72 h insemination times within each treatment, and 48 vs. 72 h within treatment. Results of the logistic analyses were presented as odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals. Odds ratios, which are a measure of the strength of association between explanatory and response variables, were interpreted as odds of pregnancy occurring for a particular explanatory variable category relative to the baseline category for that variable when the other explanatory variables were controlled in the model: 1, no effect on pregnancy; >1, increased probability of pregnancy; and <1, decreased probability of pregnancy compared with the baseline category. The 95% confidence intervals show the precision of the odds ratio estimates. A confidence interval that contained the value of 1 suggested no significant difference between the category and the baseline category for that variable. Tests were considered different at the P ≤ 0.05 level and tendencies at the P ≤ 0.1 level.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Follicular Development and Ovulation

A new wave of follicular development was detected on average 3.7 ± 0.2 d after ECP injection at CIDR insertion. No difference in follicular development between the 2 synchronization protocols was detected, or expected, because treatments were identical up to that point (Figure 2). Heifers given ECP 24 h after CIDR removal had a shorter (P ≤ 0.05) interval from CIDR removal to ovulation than heifers given GnRH (63.8 ± 3.0 and 71.6 ± 2.3 h, respectively); however, relative to
the hormone injection after CIDR removal, ovulation occurred after 39.8 ± 3.0 h in heifers given ECP and after 23.6 ± 2.3 h for heifers given GnRH. Ovulation rate (100%) and diameter of ovulatory follicle (13.0 ± 0.5 and 14.0 ± 0.4, respectively) did not differ (P > 0.05) between CIDR-ECP and CIDR-GnRH treatment groups, respectively (Table 1).

Regardless of treatment with CIDR-ECP or CIDR-GnRH, all heifers (30/30) in the subset undergoing daily ultrasonography ovulated. Treatment with GnRH induces acute release of LH and FSH; if the dominant follicle present at the time of GnRH injection has expressed LH receptors, it will ovulate (Colazo et al., 2004); ovulation occurred at 23.6 ± 2.3 h for heifers receiving the CIDR-GnRH treatment. In contrast, administration of exogenous E2 has been shown to synchronize follicular wave emergence regardless of stage of the dominant follicle when treatment was initiated (Bø et al., 1994; Adams et al., 1995). Mechanisms responsible for E2-induced synchronization of follicular growth appear to involve suppression of plasma FSH concentrations by inhibition from the dominant follicle, followed by synchronous resurgence of FSH after atresia or removal of the dominant follicle (Bø et al., 1994, 2000), and this is presumably the mechanism employed by the initial ECP injection at CIDR insertion. However, the 0.5 mg ECP treatment 24 h after CIDR removal assisted in follicular growth and maturation without directly inducing an LH surge. Therefore, the time from ECP injection to ovulation was much longer (39.8 ± 3.0 h) in heifers given CIDR-ECP and was the reason for administration of ECP 24 h before GnRH in the protocol design.

**Pregnancy Rate at First Insemination**

The effects of synchronization treatment, breed, or AI technician did not significantly influence first-service PR (Table 2). The first-service PR for Jersey heifers (64.1%) tended (P < 0.1) to be higher than that of Holstein heifers (57.7%). Generally, first service PR did not differ (P > 0.1) across months of insemination, but numbers of observations for those subgroups were only 10 to 18 inseminations (Table 2). However, heifers in-

### Table 1. Mean (± SE) follicular activity for dairy heifers synchronized with intravaginal progesterone inserts (CIDR) combined with estradiol cypionate (ECP) at 24 h or GnRH at 48 h after CIDR removal followed by AI at 48, 56, or 72 h after CIDR removal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>ECP treatment</th>
<th>GnRH treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n = 15)</td>
<td>(n = 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days to new follicular wave</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>3.6 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval from CIDR device removal to ovulation1 (h)</td>
<td>63.8 ± 3.0</td>
<td>71.6 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of dominant follicle (mm)</td>
<td>13.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>14.1 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ovulation rate (%)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Means are statistically different (P < 0.05).

### Table 2. Logistic binomial regression for effects of month and year of AI, breed, and AI technician on pregnancy rate (PR) of dairy heifers synchronized with intravaginal progesterone inserts (CIDR) combined with estradiol cypionate (ECP) at 24 h or GnRH at 48 h after CIDR removal followed by AI at 48, 56, or 72 h after CIDR removal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>AI (no.)</th>
<th>PR (%)</th>
<th>Odds ratio1</th>
<th>95% Confidence interval2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Month and year of AI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August-September 2002</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>....</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October-November 2002</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>73.3</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>(0.07, 4.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 2003</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>(0.01, 0.69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2003</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>(0.25, 17.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May 2003</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>(0.14, 3.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August-September 2003</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>(0.06, 1.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 2003</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>(0.08, 2.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2004</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>(0.02, 1.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jersey</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>....</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holstein</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>57.7</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>(0.56, 2.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI technician</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>....</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>70.3</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>(0.67, 6.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>(0.04, 10.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Odds ratio is the estimated odds of becoming pregnant for a heifer AI in a particular category relative to the baseline category for that variable for the effects of the other explanatory variables shown. Odds ratio = 1: no effect of pregnancy; >1: increased probability of pregnancy; and <1: decreased probability of pregnancy compared with the baseline category.

2 When CI encompasses 1, the odds ratio is not significant.

3 P < 0.05.
First-service pregnancy rate for dairy heifers synchronized with intravaginal progesterone inserts (CIDR) combined with estradiol cypionate (ECP) \((H17040)\) at 24 h or GnRH \((H17039)\) at 48 h after CIDR removal, followed by AI at 48, 56, or 72 h after CIDR removal.

Heifers inseminated in February 2003 did have a lower probability of pregnancy (odds ratio = 0.07; \(P < 0.05\)) compared with heifers inseminated during August and September 2002, the baseline comparison group. The low PR for heifers inseminated during February 2003 was most likely due to extremely cold and wet environmental conditions during that period. All heifers were maintained on a dry lot without housing throughout the study. The overall PR for synchronized heifers was 60.0%. The first-service PR for heifers in the CIDR-ECP group (63.0%) tended \((P < 0.1)\) to be higher than the PR of heifers in the CIDR-GnRH group (57.1%).

The first-service PR differed \((P < 0.05)\) by AI time for heifers in the CIDR-ECP synchronized group. Heifers inseminated 56 h following CIDR removal had a higher PR (81.8%) than heifers inseminated 48 or 72 h (66.7 vs. 50.0%, respectively) after CIDR removal; however, differences in PR for heifers inseminated at 48 or 72 h following CIDR removal were not significantly different. The first-service PR did not differ among AI times in the CIDR-GnRH group (Figure 3). The embryo survival rate for CIDR-ECP-treated heifers was 98%, whereas all CIDR-GnRH-treated heifers pregnant at d 32 \((\pm 1)\) were pregnant at d 60 \((\pm 1)\).

In a recent study (Lucy et al., 2001), the synchronization rate measured in a 3-d period of detecting estrus was higher \((P < 0.05)\) in dairy heifers that received a combination of CIDR insert and PGF\(_2\alpha\) (84%) compared with heifers receiving only PGF\(_2\alpha\) (57%). The conception rate was higher in heifers receiving only PGF\(_2\alpha\) (65%) vs. heifers receiving CIDR inserts and PGF\(_2\alpha\) (54%). The PR, however, was highest for heifers receiving CIDR inserts and PGF\(_2\alpha\) (45%) compared with heifers inseminated following PGF\(_2\alpha\) treatment and visual detection of estrus (37%). Another study (Macmillan et al., 1993) observed that 49% of heifers treated with a CIDR-based synchronization protocol combined with TAI were visually detected in estrus within 48 h after CIDR removal, and another 41% were visually detected in estrus 49 to 72 h following CIDR removal.

**Summary**

Timing of insemination markedly influenced \((P < 0.05)\) PR for heifers in the CIDR-ECP treatment group. Heifers in the CIDR-ECP treatment group inseminated 56 h after CIDR removal had a higher PR (81.8%) compared with heifers inseminated 48 (66.7%) or 72 h (50.0%) after CIDR removal. Timing of insemination did not influence \((P > 0.1)\) PR for heifers in the CIDR-GnRH treatment group. The interval from CIDR removal to ovulation was approximately 8 h shorter for heifers receiving ECP (63.8 vs. 71.6 h). Therefore, using the difference in ovulation time after CIDR removal as the reference for TAI, the ideal AI time of ECP-treated heifers would be 52 h after CIDR removal compared with 60 h for heifers receiving GnRH 48 h following CIDR removal. In conclusion, administration of ECP 24 h after CIDR removal resulted in PR comparable to that obtained in heifers administered GnRH 48 h after CIDR removal. Therefore, either CIDR-ECP or CIDR-GnRH may be used to synchronize ovulation in a CIDR-based TAI program in dairy heifers. To obtain the highest PR with TAI in heifers, the use of CIDR inserts and...
ECP 24 h after CIDR removal is recommended, with AI occurring approximately 52 h after CIDR removal or 32 h after ECP administration. In contrast, because of the later injection of GnRH and associated later ovulation relative to CIDR removal, AI is recommended at approximately 60 h after CIDR removal or 12 h after GnRH administration.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was made possible by the partial financial assistance from the Virginia Agriculture Council, Project 406, (Richmond, VA), donation of semen by Select Sire, Inc. (Plain City, OH), and the donation of Lutalyse and ECP by Pfizer, Inc. (New York, NY). The authors gratefully thank Ramanathan Kasimanickam and Bennet Cassell for all their technical contributions and are grateful to the employees at the Virginia Tech Dairy Center for their help and patience during the study. Assistance provided by Amy Dorente, Jeff Cornwell, Rebecca Cornman-Daubert, Beau Knight, Matt Mink, Chase Scott, Aaron Tompkins, and Alex Welsh during the collection period is sincerely appreciated.

REFERENCES


