Fecal Prevalence and Diversity of *Salmonella* Species in Lactating Dairy Cattle in Four States*
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**ABSTRACT**

*Salmonella* is one of the most serious foodborne pathogenic bacteria in the United States, causing an estimated 1.3 million human illnesses each year. Dairy cows can be reservoirs of foodborne pathogenic bacteria, including *Salmonella* spp.; it is estimated that from 27 to 31% of dairy herds across the United States are colonized by *Salmonella*. The present study was designed to examine the occurrence of *Salmonella* spp. on dairies and to examine the serotypic diversity of *Salmonella* isolates on sampled dairies from across the United States. Fecal samples (n = 60 per dairy) were collected from 4 dairies in each of 4 states for a total of 960 fecal samples representing a total population of 13,200 dairy cattle. In the present study, 93 of 960 samples (9.96%) collected were culture-positive for *Salmonella enterica*. At least one *Salmonella* fecal-shedding cow was found in 9 of the 16 herds (56%) and the within-herd prevalence varied in our study from 0% in 7 herds to a maximum of 37% in 2 herds, with a mean prevalence among *Salmonella*-positive herds of 17%. Seventeen different serotypes were isolated, representing 7 different *Salmonella* serogroups. There were 2 or more different serogroups and serotypes present on 7 of the 9 *Salmonella*-positive farms. Serotypes Montevideo and Muenster were the most frequent and widespread.

From our data, it appears that subclinical colonization with *Salmonella enterica* is relatively common on dairy farms and is represented by diverse serotypes on US dairy farms. *(Key words: *Salmonella*, food safety, fecal prevalence)*

**Abbreviation key:** CI = confidence interval, NAHMS = National Animal Health Monitoring System.

**INTRODUCTION**

One of the most serious foodborne pathogenic bacteria in the United States is *Salmonella enterica* (Mead et al., 1999). Human salmonellosis occurs in an estimated 1.3 million people, causes >500 deaths, and is estimated to cost the US economy more than $2.4 billion each year (Mead et al., 1999; ERS/USDA, 2001). *Salmonella* spp. are estimated to cause more than 30% of all bacterial foodborne deaths (Mead et al., 1999). Many of these human illnesses can be linked to the consumption of bacterially contaminated ground beef, milk, or other dairy products (Holmberg et al., 1984; Hedberg et al., 1992).

Although *Salmonella* can cause illness in adult cattle, bovine salmonellosis is predominantly seen in young calves. *Salmonella* species have been isolated from the feces of healthy dairy cattle, where it may exist as a normal member of the gastrointestinal population, or as a transient member of the gastrointestinal microbial population (Roy et al., 2001; Wells et al., 2001; Edrington et al., 2004a). The most recently reported national dairy surveys (USDA National Animal Health Monitoring System (NAHMS) Dairy 1996 and 2002) indicated that 27 to 31% of US dairy herds contained cows that shed *Salmonella* (Wells et al., 2001; USDA/APHIS, 2003a).
Salmonella enterica is a diverse bacterial species comprised of over 2500 serotypes (Popoff et al., 2004). In the USDA NAHMS (1996) survey, 25 different Salmonella serotypes were isolated from lactating dairy cows on-farm, and another 24 serotypes were isolated from dairy cows being culled from the herd (Wells et al., 2001). The present study was designed to provide an on-farm view of the prevalence of Salmonella spp. shedding by healthy lactating dairy cows at a single time point, and to determine the serotypic diversity of Salmonella isolates from cooperating dairies across the United States.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Design and Dairy Participation

Fecal samples (n = 60 per farm) were collected from 4 dairies per state for a total of n = 240 fecal samples/state. Participating dairy farms were a convenience sample of typical commercial dairies that had a working relationship with our collaborating in-state researchers. Farm identity was blinded from the researchers to ensure study participation and confidentiality. Samples were collected from dairies in Arizona, California, Illinois, and New York (n = 4 states; total n = 960 fecal samples). States and farms were chosen to represent a spectrum of dairy operations and conditions (environmental and managerial) across the United States, and samples represented 13,200 adult lactating dairy cattle. Samples were collected randomly from lactating dairy cows as they entered the milking parlor. All samples were collected between June 15 and September 24, 2002.

Fecal Sample Collection

Fresh fecal samples (n = 60 per farm) were collected directly from healthy lactating dairy cows by rectal grab. Samples were collected using a new palpation sleeve for each sample. Sleeves were inverted upon collection, and samples were individually bagged in sealed plastic bags immediately after collection and kept on ice for 24 h during transport.

Salmonella spp. Enrichments

For qualitative enrichment of Salmonella, 3 g of feces was added to tubes containing 27 mL of tetrahionate broth (Difco Laboratories, Sparks, MD) and incubated at 37°C for 24 h (Difco Laboratories, 1998). After this incubation, 200 µL of the tetrahionate enrichment were added to 5 mL of Rappaport-Vassiliadis R10 broth (Difco Laboratories) and incubated an additional 24 h at 42°C before being streak-plated onto brilliant green agar (Difco Laboratories) supplemented with novobiocin (25 µg/mL; Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO). The BGAnov plates were incubated for 24 h at 37°C; colonies that exhibited typical Salmonella morphology were individually picked for further physiological characterization. Picked putative Salmonella colonies were inoculated onto triple sugar iron and lysine iron agar slants (Difco Laboratories). Each slant was incubated at 35°C for 24 h. Putative Salmonella-positive samples were initially confirmed by slide agglutination using SM-O antiserum poly A-I and Vi, and group C1 factors (Difco Laboratories). Putative Salmonella isolates were stored in glycerol and tryptic soy broth at −80°C until confirmatory serotyping was performed by the National Veterinary Services Laboratory in Ames, IA. It is important to note that the limit of detection for this enrichment methodology is approximately 1 cfu/g of feces; therefore, a negative result does not necessarily indicate the animal is negative, merely that the Salmonella population is present at less than 1 cfu/g of feces.

Statistical Analyses

Point prevalence of Salmonella shedding in each of the 16 sampled dairy herds was calculated individually by dividing the number of Salmonella culture-positive fecal samples by the total of samples collected per herd (n = 60). The 95% exact binomial confidence intervals (CI) around each point estimate were calculated using Epi Info 6.0 (Centers for Disease Control, Atlanta, GA). Because the 60 fecal samples collected per herd represented a relatively large proportion (from <5 to 40%) of each dairy population (the specific herd lactating cow population size), the finite population correction factor was applied to adjust the exact binomial 95% CI.

Overall dairy cattle Salmonella prevalence with exact binomial 95% CI were calculated by pooling Salmonella results across all 16 herds. To further refine this Salmonella fecal shedding estimate, a population-weighted, cluster-adjusted estimate of prevalence was made using clusDATA, a software package designed for prevalence estimation under cluster sampling (http://city.vetmed.fu-berlin.de/~mgreiner/clusDATA/clusdata.htm; Greiner, 1997). Population weighting adjusted for variable milking population size, whereas cluster adjustment accounted for the fact that data were collected from herds (i.e., in clusters), and not from randomly selected, individual, statistically independent cattle.

Simpson’s diversity index (D) was calculated across all 16 herds using the serotype and serogroup information for each of the Salmonella isolates (Hunter and Gaston, 1988; Grundmann et al., 2001). Simpson’s D is an index, ranging from 0 to 1, where higher values
represent higher strain diversity. In this case, Simpson's D was the probability that any 2 randomly selected Salmonella isolated from US dairy cattle belong to different Salmonella serotypes or serogroups.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Humans can be infected with Salmonella from animal sources by many routes (Holmberg et al., 1984). Improper milk pasteurization can lead to the inclusion of Salmonella in milk or dairy products, which can cause human illness, spread across many states (Hedberg et al., 1992). Approximately 50% of all ground beef in the United States is produced from culled dairy cows, and Salmonella can be transmitted to humans through improperly cooked ground beef (Mead et al., 1999). Consuming contaminated water and direct animal or fecal contact can result in human salmonellosis outbreaks (Pritchard et al., 2000; Enriquez et al., 2001). Because Salmonella can be spread from dairy cows via many routes, knowing the prevalence of Salmonella on dairy farms is crucial to devising strategies to interrupt pathogen transmission and recolonization cycles.

The Salmonella prevalence level in milking dairy cows was reported in the 1996 USDA NAHMS Dairy survey to be 5.4% (Wells et al., 2001); in the 2002 NAHMS dairy survey, the prevalence was found to be 7.3% (USDA/APHIS, 2003a). In the present study, 93 of 960 samples (9.96%) collected from a total population of 13,200 dairy cattle were culture-positive for Salmonella enterica (Table 1). Salmonella fecal prevalence in the present study was used to calculate an exact binomial 95% CI with and without finite population correction. As expected, the finite population correction narrowed the 95% CI compared with the uncorrected estimates. Because this study represented prevalence estimation under cluster sampling, it was desirable to adjust the point prevalence and 95% CI for cluster effects. In general, cluster sampling will inflate the variance and widen the CI, and this, in fact, is what occurred. The unadjusted naive Salmonella prevalence estimate was 9.69% (7.89, 11.74 95% CI). The population-weighted, cluster-adjusted Salmonella prevalence was 9.07% (2.96, 16.41 95% CI).

At least one Salmonella fecal-shedding cow was found in 9 of the 16 herds (56%) in our study, compared with 27.5 and 31% in the 1996 and 2002 NAHMS dairy surveys, respectively. The within-herd prevalence varied in our study from 0% in 7 herds, to a maximum of 37% in 2 herds, with an average among Salmonella-positive herds of 17% prevalence. The differences between our estimates and the NAHMS estimates are possibly due to the smaller relative sample size of our study compared with the much larger and comprehen-
Table 1. *Salmonella enterica* prevalence, serogroup, and serotype by dairy cattle herd.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Herd size, no.</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>E1</th>
<th>E2</th>
<th>G2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AZ</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NY</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12,200</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of farms with each serotype: 4, 6, 1, 2, 6, 1, 1

1Herd size = milking population.  
2Positive = *Salmonella* positive.
farms in our study self-reported occurrences of clinical salmonellosis before sample collection. Based upon our reported data as well as those of other researchers examining Salmonella shedding and prevalence in other regions of the United States, it appears that subclinical colonization with the diverse serotypes and serogroups of Salmonella enterica is relatively common across the US dairy industry. The specific serotype of Salmonella to be found on dairy farms is impossible to predict, and by definition, all Salmonella are pathogens of humans or animals. Therefore, steps to safeguard our food supply and consumers from Salmonella, as well as persons directly contacting animals on farms (e.g., open farm visits), need to be devised and implemented to further reduce human illnesses. The implementation of stringent biosecurity measures on dairies could help reduce transmission of Salmonella between cows and to humans via animal contact (i.e., open farm visits) and through the food chain.

CONCLUSIONS

Salmonella are important foodborne pathogenic bacteria that can be harbored subclinically in the intestinal tract of cattle. As shown in our data, Salmonella enterica is a very diverse species that is found on many dairy operations across the United States. Dairy producers need to be aware that Salmonella can be found on their farms within apparently healthy cows, and appropriate biosecurity and pathogen-control procedures should be implemented on dairies to reduce horizontal and vertical transmission of Salmonella between cows and to humans via animal contact (i.e., open farm visits) and through the food chain.
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