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ABSTRACT

Ejaculates were collected by artificial vagina from 3 Holstein sires and sorted to 90% purity for X-chromosome-bearing spermatozoa (range 88 to 93%) using flow cytometry. Sorted sperm were diluted to 2.1, 3.5, or 5.0 \( \times 10^6 \) sperm per dose in an egg yolk (20%), Tris, glycerol (7%) extender. Collections were repeated until \( >600 \) straws per sperm dose per sire were obtained. Each sperm dose was loaded into color-coded 0.25-mL French straws, with alternate colors used to define treatments across sires. Within sires, straws were packaged at 9 per cane (3 of each color) and strategically allocated to 75 Holstein herds with targets for 50% use in heifers and 50% in lactating cows. Straw color was recorded in the on-farm record-keeping system at the time of insemination. Data were analyzed separately for cows and heifers. Among heifers, a total of 2,125 usable records were retrieved from 51 herds (238 ± 5.5 services/sperm dose per sire, range: 218 to 263). Conception rates in heifers were influenced by the sire \( \times \) sperm dosage interaction. Within sire A, conception rates of heifers were greater for the 5 \( \times 10^6 \) (59.5%) than for the 2.1 \( \times 10^6 \) (46.4%) sperm dose and intermediate for the 3.5 \( \times 10^6 \) sperm dose (52.2%). However, across sires, sperm dosage had no effect on heifer conception rates (46.7, 51.2, and 52.5% for the 2.1, 3.5, and 5.0 \( \times 10^6 \) sperm dosages, respectively). Among cows, a total of 2,369 services were retrieved from 56 herds (263 ± 8.8 services/sperm dose per sire, range: 233 to 303). Conception rates of cows (29.4%) were not affected by sire or sperm dosage (27.0, 29.1, and 30.3% for the 2.1, 3.5, and 5.0 \( \times 10^6 \) sperm dosages, respectively). In conclusion, these data indicate that an increased sperm dosage may enhance virgin heifer conception rates for some (but not all) sires, whereas neither sire nor sexed-sperm dosage affected conception rates of lactating cows. Additional studies of sexed-sperm dosage across a larger
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INTRODUCTION

Gender preselection has been one of the most sought-after reproductive biotechnologies of all time. Although numerous theoretical methods for sex-sorting spermatozoa have been studied, only the flow cytometric procedure reported by Garner et al. (1983) has proven to be a reliable and repeatable method of distinguishing X- and Y-chromosome-bearing sperm populations. Johnson et al. (1989) were the first to validate this technology with the birth of live offspring of the predicted sex. Numerous studies conducted in the 1990s focused on refinement of this technology for adaptation to commercial application in the bovine (Schenk et al., 1999; Seidel et al., 1999) and, in the process, researchers documented that this sorting procedure can consistently produce -90% gender bias among resulting offspring. However, these and other studies (Seidel and Garner, 2002; Seidel, 2007) have also revealed the highly invasive nature of the procedure, which appears to have detrimental effects on sperm viability and quality. Furthermore, the equipment expense, speed, and sorting efficiency economically dictate that commercial application is presently possible only with extremely low (~2 million) sperm numbers per insemination dose (Amann, 1999). For these reasons, commercial application and most research conducted to date have focused on the use of sex-sorted semen in virgin heifers to capitalize on the greater opportunity for conception (compared with lactating cows), and thereby the greater opportunity for return on investment for the producer adopting this novel and expensive technology.

Recent reports have indicated that commercial application in the United States is largely considered successful although, as expected, conception rates in virgin heifers are approximately 70 to 80% of those obtained by using conventional semen (DeJarnette et al., 2007).
What is not clear from the limited studies available to date is what portion of this compromised conception potential with sex-sorted semen is due to the sexing process per se vs. simply an effect of subthreshold sperm numbers per dose (Salisbury and Van Demark, 1961; den Daas et al., 1998; Seidel and Schenk, 2007). Furthermore, although it has been generally accepted that use of sexed semen in lactating dairy cows would not be economically advisable because of the inherently lower conception potential, trials documenting the actual conception rates achieved are limited (Schenk and Everett, 2007). Considering the prospects for development of more efficient sperm-sorting procedures (Seidel, 2007), the objective of this study was to determine the effect of sperm dosage on conception rate of Holstein virgin heifers and lactating cows inseminated with sex-sorted semen.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Three Holstein sires with August 2006 USDA genetic evaluations were selected for this study. To be eligible for the study, each sire was required to 1) have PTA for calving ease that were below breed average, 2) have a history of producing semen with >80% normal sperm morphology, and 3) have a documented fertility potential of breed average or better as assessed by both in-house and industry evaluation systems (estimated relative conception rate, Dairy Records Management Services, Raleigh, NC). Ejaculates were collected via artificial vagina and screened for normal sperm morphology at 600× magnification by using differential interference contrast optics after fixing a 10-μL sample of neat semen in 0.5 mL of Tyrode’s albumin lactate pyruvate buffer containing 0.2% formalin. Abnormalities related to sperm head defects were classified as primary defects, and defects involving the sperm tail were classified as secondary abnormalities (Barth and Oko, 1989). Samples with <80% normal sperm morphology were rejected. Samples with ≥80% normal sperm morphology were sex-sorted for X-chromosome-bearing sperm according to procedures described previously (Garnier and Seidel, 2002; Seidel, 2007). A split ejaculate technique was not practical for this study because of the inefficient nature of the sorting process, which would have yielded too few doses per treatment within ejaculate (~25) to provide meaningful statistical power. Individual ejaculates were extended to 8.4, 14.0, or 20 × 10⁶ sperm/mL in a Tris, egg yolk, 7% glycerol extender and packaged in 0.25-mL French straws to provide AI dosages of 2.1, 3.5, or 5.0 × 10⁶ sperm, respectively. Within sire, dosages were identified by color-coded straws; however, color codes were alternated across sires to avoid the potential for perception bias during field use. A total of >600 straws/dosage per sire were processed for field use. Straws were frozen in liquid nitrogen vapor at rates within documented tolerance limits (Robbins et al., 1976) before plunging them in liquid nitrogen. Sample straws were thawed in 37°C water for 1 min before evaluation for progressive sperm motility. Sperm motility and acrosome integrity were also evaluated in sample straws after 3 h of incubation at 37°C. Motility evaluations were subjective visual estimates of 5 or more random fields of view (avoiding slide perimeter) at 200× magnification by using phase-contrast optics and were rounded to the nearest 5%. Acrosomal integrity evaluations were conducted at 400× magnification by using differential interference contrast optics (Saacke and Marshall, 1968). The results of postthaw semen quality evaluations by treatment within bull are presented in Table 1. The postsort purity of X-chromosome-bearing spermatozoa (Welch and Johnson, 1999) averaged 91 ± 0.15% (range: 88 to 93%).

Straws were packaged 9 per cane (3 straws of each color/treatment) to facilitate balanced and random field use. Within sire, half the semen (300 straws/sperm dosage per sire) was designated for use in virgin heifers and the other half for use in lactating cows. Research herds were selected based on evidence from on-farm records that the herd had the potential to achieve conception rates ≥60% in virgin heifers, ≥30% in lactating cows, or both. Each herd used a minimum of 27 total doses (9/treatment of any combination of sires) for each parity group selected. Fifty-one herds used sexed semen in heifers, and 56 herds used sexed semen in lactating cows. Herds were required to use semen in a random fashion within 2 mo of delivery. At the time of AI, straw color was recorded in the on-farm computer records as an attachment to the sire identification. Pregnancy was diagnosed at 30 to 50 d after AI according to each farm’s standard operating procedures (rectal palpation, ultrasound, or both). On completion of the study, an electronic backup of on-farm records was used to retrieve insemination information for both the experimental sexed semen and for any conventional semen that was used concurrently.

Recommendations for semen use were as follows: synchronization of estrus was acceptable, but semen should be used only in females displaying standing estrus. The use of experimental semen at fixed-time AI or in the absence of observed standing estrus was discouraged. Inseminations should occur 8 to 12 h after initial detection of estrus. Cows should be 60 and 150 d postcalving at the time of AI, with no evidence of calving problems, metritis, or early postpartum metabolic disorders.

Heifer and lactating-cow data were analyzed separately by least squares means ANOVA and χ² statistics. All models included the effects of sperm dosage, herd,
sire, and all 2-way interactions of these main effects. Models used for analysis of lactating cow data also included the effects of parity (1, 2, or ≥3) and DIM at AI. Any comparison of conventional and sexed semen conception rates were performed as discussion points only and were not statistically analyzed because of the inconsistencies in use and application of these products. The mean and range in conception rates achieved with both sexed-sorted semen and conventional semen were estimated in subsets of data containing only those herds that used ≥50 doses of either respective semen source (sex or conventional) in heifers or cows, respectively. The 50-service minimum was established for these comparisons to partly diminish the impact of binomial variation on estimates of herd conception potential. All analyses were performed by using SAS JMP Statistical Discovery Software (version 6.0.3; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

Virgin Heifers

A total of 2,125 services were recovered from use in virgin heifers, which provided a mean of 238 ± 5.5 services per sperm dosage within sire (range: 218 to 263) and approximately 708 services for each respective sire or sperm dosage. The average age at AI for heifers bred to sexed semen (432 ± 1.11 d for 1,239 heifers with available birth dates) was not different (P > 0.10) within and across sires and sperm dosages. Conception rates in virgin heifers were influenced (P < 0.001) by herd and tended (P = 0.11) to be influenced by the sire × sperm dosage interaction (P = 0.11; Table 2 and Figure 1). A significant (P < 0.05) response in conception rate to increasing sperm dosages was observed within sire A. Conception rates within sire B were numerically greater (P = 0.06) for the 3.5 and 5.0 × 10^6 sperm dosages than for the 2.1 × 10^6 sperm dosage, whereas sperm dosage had no effect on conception rates within sire C. Across sires, the main effect of sperm dosage was not significant (P > 0.05; 46.7, 51.2, and 52.5% for the 2.1, 3.5, and 5.0 × 10^6 sperm dosages, respectively). Across sperm dosages, the main effect of sire was significant (P < 0.05), with sire C achieving greater conception rates (53%) than sire B (41%) and with sire A being intermediate (46%). Among herds (n = 14) that used ≥50 doses of sexed semen, conception rates averaged 47 ± 3.2% (range: 33 to 68%, n/herd = 75 ± 4.7). Among herds (n = 10) that reported use of ≥50 doses of conventional semen during the course of the study, conception rates averaged 43 ± 3.6% (range: 29 to 61%, n/herd = 236 ± 43). The average age at AI for heifers bred to conventional semen (n = 2,241 with available birth dates) was 473 ± 1.17 d.

| Table 1. Descriptive statistics of semen production and quality characteristics1 of sex-sorted semen of 3 Holstein sires used in this study |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Sire | Sperm dose, Ejaculates, Motility, Motility, Acrosome | Abnormalities |
|      | × 10^6 | n | 0 h | 3 h | integrity 3 h | Primary | Secondary |
| A    | 2.1 | 3 | 60 ± 1.4 | 51 ± 1.9 | 73 ± 0.7 | 3.3 ± 1.2 | 6.3 ± 0.9 |
|      | 3.5 | 3 | 62 ± 4.9 | 57 ± 5.7 | 75 ± 2.8 | 3.8 ± 1.1 | 4.8 ± 1.1 |
|      | 5.0 | 4 | 63 ± 1.8 | 57 ± 0.8 | 79 ± 1.1 | 3.7 ± 1.3 | 7.1 ± 1.2 |
| B    | 2.1 | 5 | 53 ± 5.2 | 47 ± 6.6 | 72 ± 3.9 | 6.0 ± 1.7 | 7.7 ± 2.9 |
|      | 3.5 | 4 | 50 ± 2.4 | 40 ± 2.8 | 66 ± 2.8 | 6.2 ± 1.8 | 6.6 ± 1.0 |
|      | 5.0 | 2 | 52 ± 1.2 | 48 ± 1.6 | 71 ± 1.7 | 7.1 ± 1.1 | 8.0 ± 1.0 |
| C    | 2.1 | 3 | 60 ± 0.0 | 51 ± 1.4 | 73 ± 1.9 | 4.7 ± 0.9 | 4.3 ± 0.3 |
|      | 3.5 | 5 | 67 ± 1.2 | 64 ± 2.3 | 79 ± 3.0 | 3.0 ± 0.7 | 4.0 ± 1.0 |
|      | 5.0 | 6 | 64 ± 1.7 | 57 ± 2.6 | 80 ± 1.4 | 4.8 ± 0.7 | 4.7 ± 1.3 |

1Sperm motility evaluations were performed at 200× magnification using phase contrast optics after thawing in a 37°C water bath and incubating for 0 or 3 h. Acrosome integrity evaluations were performed at 400× magnification by using differential interference contrast optics after thawing and incubating sample straws in a 37°C water bath for 3 h. Sperm morphology of each ejaculate was screened before sorting and was performed at 600× magnification by using differential interference contrast optics after fixing in a Tyrode’s albumin lactate pyruvate buffer containing 0.2% formalin. Abnormalities of the sperm head were classified as primary abnormalities and defects of the sperm tail were classified as secondary. Ejaculates with >20% total sperm abnormalities were discarded before sorting.

| Table 2. Analysis of variance table of model effects included in analysis of heifer data |
|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Source          | df  | Sum of squares | F-ratio | Probability |
| Herd            | 50  | 21.15          | 1.79   | <0.001       |
| Dose            | 2   | 0.91           | 1.93   | 0.15         |
| Sire ID         | 2   | 1.51           | 3.20   | 0.04         |
| Herd × dose     | 100 | 22.12          | 0.94   | 0.66         |
| Dose × sire ID  | 4   | 1.79           | 1.89   | 0.11         |
**Lactating Cows**

A total of 2,369 services were recovered from the use of experimental semen in lactating cows, which provided a mean of 263 ± 8.8 services per sperm dosage within sire (range: 233 to 303) and approximately 790 services for each respective sire or sperm dosage. The random assignment of straws to cows of various parities (1.9 ± 1.2) and days postpartum (86 ± 0.90 d) was not different (P > 0.10) within and across sires and sperm dosages. Conception rates tended (P = 0.12) to be influenced by parity but were not affected by any other main effect or interaction (Table 3). First- and second-parity cows achieved numerically greater conception rates (30.4, 368/1,212 and 31.1, 185/595, respectively) than did cows of third or greater parity (25.6, 144/562). These data provided little evidence that conception rates of lactating Holstein cows were influenced by sex-sperm dosage either within (Figure 2) or across sires (P > 0.05; 27.0, 29.1, and 30.3% for the 2.1, 3.5, and 5.0 × 10^6 sperm dosages, respectively). Across sperm dosages, the main effect of sire was not significant (P > 0.05; 25.7, 25.4, and 30.1% for sires A, B, and C, respectively).

Because of recommendations for selective use of this experimental semen in the early postpartum period, there was little variation in DIM at AI (80 ± 1.6 d) and thereby, not surprisingly, no effect (P = 0.92) on conception rates. Although herd had no effect on conception in the full model (Table 3), the herd became significant (P < 0.01) after removing other nonsignificant main effects and interactions. Among herds (n = 13) that used ≥50 doses of sexed semen, conception rates averaged 30 ± 2.4% (range: 15 to 41%, n/herd = 89 ± 7.1). Among herds (n = 26) that reported use of ≥50 doses of conventional semen during the course of the study, conception rates averaged 30 ± 1.0% (range: 21 to 39%, n/herd = 642 ± 103).

**DISCUSSION**

Appropriate interpretation of the present data necessitates a thorough understanding of the principles for semen dilution, as first proposed by Salisbury and Van Demark (1961). These principles predict that bulls will respond to increasing sperm dosages in an asymptotic fashion but that both the rate and the asymptote will

---

**Table 3.** Analysis of variance table of model effects included in analysis of lactating cow data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>F-ratio</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herd</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sperm dose</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sire ID</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herd × dose</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>21.06</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dose × sire ID</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days postpartum</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Effects of sire and sexed-sperm dosage on conception rates in lactating Holstein cows. Sire effect, $P = 0.47$. Sperm dosage effect, $P = 0.49$. Sire $\times$ sperm dosage interaction, $P = 0.23$.

vary greatly among bulls because of intrinsic semen quality factors inherent to each sire (ejaculate). The rate at which bulls respond to increased sperm dosage is a function of the level of compensable sperm defects in the sample, which are largely believed to be related to measures of sperm viability (Saacke, 1998). Thereby, if a sample contains a poor percentage of viable sperm, this can often be compensated for by simply adding more sperm to each dose. In contrast, the maximum fertility potential that an individual sire may achieve is dictated by uncompensable semen quality factors, the fertility potential of the female population, or both. Uncompensable traits are largely associated with abnormal sperm morphology, DNA integrity, or both and an inability of sperm to maintain embryonic development postfertilization (Saacke, 1998). The concept that the female population places a biological limit on fertility responses to sperm dosage and semen quality has been emphasized by both Salisbury and Van Demark (1961) and Saacke (1998), although examples of such effects in practice are limited.

In the present study, the heifer data presented in Figure 1 illustrate the classic variation in fertility response to sperm dosage that Salisbury and Van Demark would predict. Sire A evidently possessed few uncompensable sperm defects and exhibited a nearly linear response to increasing sperm dosage while achieving the greatest overall conception of the 3 sires compared. Although sire B appeared to have slightly more compensable sperm defects (i.e., numerically lower conception at $2.1 \times 10^6$ sperm dosage), both sires B and C appeared to possess a comparable level of uncompensable defects, as evidenced by the comparable level of fertility at dosages $\geq 3.5 \times 10^6$. Interestingly, although sire A appeared to be more responsive to increasing sperm dosages, least squares means analysis actually indicated that sire C tended to have the greatest overall conception rate, presumably because of the lack of sensitivity to sperm dosage and thereby the relative absence of decreased conception caused by lower sperm dosages.

The observation that each sire appeared to reach a fertility plateau within the range of $2.1$ to $5.0 \times 10^6$ sperm per dose is consistent with other dose titration studies using conventional semen in lactating cows (van Giessen et al., 1992; den Daas et al., 1998). Because a nonsorted control was not used in the current study, it is not clear from these data whether the maximum fertility potential of each sire (i.e., uncompensable semen quality traits) was influenced by the sorting procedure. The maximum fertility levels achieved by sires B and C at the highest sperm dosage were considerably lower than that for sire A and were lower than that obtained with conventional semen used in these same herds ($\sim$58 to 60%). Sartori et al. (2004) observed an increased incidence of unfertilized and degenerate embryos after superovulation and insemination with sex-sorted semen, suggesting that the sorting procedure compromised both compensable and uncompensable se-
men quality traits. Thus, it is possible that the different
dose-response curves for sire A vs. sires B and C may
reflect the sensitivity of the respective sires to degrada-
tion in semen quality in response to sorting and may
not be consistent with dose-response curves that would
be produced by unsorted semen.

In a series of 6 trials, Seidel and Schenk (2007) evalu-
ated the effects of sexed-sperm dosage over a range of
1.5 to 6 × 10^6 sperm per dose when used in beef heifers,
dairy heifers, or beef cows. Although they found limited
evidence to support a conception response to increasing
sperm dosages, many trials were of small sample size
(n ≤ 125 sperm dosage/sire within trial) and lacked
statistical power to confirm numeric trends that occa-
sionally appear to exist. Specifically, in a comparison
of 1.5 vs. 6.0 × 10^6 sexed-sperm dosages across 4 Hol-
stein sires after use in virgin Holstein heifers, a single
sire achieved conception rates (48 vs. 57%, respectively)
that were nearly identical to the 2.1 and 5.0 × 10^6 sperm
dosages of sire A in the present study. Perhaps the
sample size in the previous study (n = 101 and 75,
respectively) diminished the statistical power to detect
this as a meaningful difference. These authors also
identified both sire and herd effects that were similar
to those noted in the present trial.

Even though the same ejaculates from the same sires
were used in lactating cows as were used in heifers,
the dose-response curve was not evident in lactating
cow data (Figure 2). This does not necessarily imply
that lactating cows respond differently to sperm dosage
compared with heifers. To the contrary, this observa-
tion may be interpreted as confirming Salisbury and
Van Demark’s (1961) hypothesis that the fertility po-
tential of the female population under study places bi-
ological limits on the ability of semen quality characteris-
tics to affect the observed fertility response. Semen
quality and sperm numbers are likely equally relevant
in cows and in heifers; however, other extrinsic or envi-
ronmental factors are more limiting to conception po-
tential, which thereby diminishes our ability to detect
conception rate differences associated with the in-
seminate.

Across sperm dosages, conception rates of lactating
cows were comparable to those previously reported with
2.1 × 10^6 dosages of sex-sorted sperm (Schenk and Ever-
ett, 2007) and, as a percentage of that achieved with
conventional semen, were similar to those reported for
use in virgin heifers (i.e., ~75 to 80% of that achieved
with conventional semen, Garner and Seidel, 2002; De-
Jarnette et al., 2007; Seidel and Schenk, 2007). Thus,
the most enlightening implication of this study may be
that the creation of a separate sperm dosage for use of
sex-sorted semen in lactating cows vs. virgin heifers
may not be necessary. However, this should not be in-
terpreted as implying that the economic returns from
use of sexed semen in lactating cows are similar to those
in virgin heifers. To the contrary, the opportunity for
a return on investment from sex-sorted semen is highly
dependent on the actual conception rate achieved in
addition to other factors, including the differential
value of calf sex, semen price, and the genetic merit of
the female being inseminated (Seidel, 2003; Olynk and
Wolf, 2006; Fetrow et al., 2007). In addition, with con-
sideration for efficient industry use of a limited supply
resource, a given amount of sex-sorted semen used in
cows will result in a number of heifer calves that are
approximately 64% (~29% conception × 90% heifers =
0.26 heifers per dose of semen) of the amount that would
be produced if that same volume of semen were used in
virgin heifers (45% conception × 90% heifers = 0.405
heifers per dose of semen).

Similarly, although conception in virgin heifers ap-
ppears to be increased in some sires with greater sperm
number dosages, the current status of sex-sorting effi-
ciency would likely dictate a production and retail cost
that would more than offset any improvement in repro-
ductive efficiency. The commercial availability of sex-
sorted sperm is presently limited by the number of sort-
ing machines in production facilities and the amount
of sorting time required to process each dose. The pro-
duction cost of sexed semen is in turn dictated by the
high initial investment cost (~$400,000/sorter), the
fixed cost of labor and overhead, and the number of
doses that can be produced in a given period of sorting
time. Thus, for example, if an increase in sex-sorted
sperm numbers from 2.1 to 3.5 × 10^6 sperm per dose
were actually to yield a 5% increase in conception rate
among virgin heifers, this would require a 67% increase
in machine processing time per dose of semen and a
resultant 40% reduction in the total number of doses
processed during a given period of time. Assuming 90% 
heifers, 100 doses at 2.1 × 10^6 per dose (210 × 10^6 total
sorted sperm) would provide the industry with 40.5
heifer calves (100 doses × 45% conception rate × 90%
heifers), whereas this same volume of time (semen) at
3.5 × 10^6 sperm per dose would provide the industry
with only 27 heifers (210 × 10^6 total sorted sperm/3.5
× 10^6 sperm per dose = 60 doses × 50% conception rate
× 90% heifers). This translates into a 33% reduction in
the total number of heifer calves in exchange for a
significant increase in the total cost of production. The
repeatability of these results across a wider range of
sires should be extensively studied from both a physio-
logical and economic perspective before any changes to
the current processing procedures can be recom-
manded.

In the present study, no attempt was made to statisti-
cally compare conception rates of sex-sorted semen with
those of conventional semen from other sires because of the known bias toward preferential use of sex-sorted semen in females that should have a greater potential for conception. This bias was particularly evident in the heifers in the present study, in which those bred to conventional semen averaged 40 d older at AI and achieved only 46% conception despite preliminary herd selection for the ability to achieve 60% conception by using conventional semen. This observational anomaly, wherein conception rates to conventional semen appear to decline upon introduction of sex-sorted semen to the herd, has been alluded to in previous reports (DeJarnette et al., 2007) and is likely an artifact of fertility bias created in selecting which heifers should receive conventional semen (a disproportionate number of second and greater services, questionable signs of estrus, or both) vs. sex-sorted semen (a disproportionate number of first services, conclusive estrus diagnoses, or both). A decisive comparison of sexed and conventional semen in an on-farm setting would require a nonsorted conventional treatment that would be blind to the end user, which was beyond the scope of the objectives for the present trial.

The variation in conception rates achieved among both cow (15 to 41%) and heifer (33 to 68%) herds in the present study, despite the use of sex-sorted semen from a common sample of sires and experimental pool, may indicate that considerable opportunities exist to enhance the efficiency of commercial application of sex-sorted semen through identification of on-farm management techniques and procedures that are conducive to more optimal fertility potential in the female population. This is further supported by the observation of very similar ranges in conception rates (21 to 39% and 29 to 61%) for cows and heifers, respectively with the use of conventional semen at presumably much higher sperm dosages (15 to 20 × 10^6; Vishwanath, 2003).

CONCLUSIONS

Conception rates among virgin heifers were influenced by the sire × sperm dosage interaction. Although the conception rates of one sire responded positively to an increasing sperm dose, those of the other 2 sires were not affected. Neither sire nor sperm dosage affected conception rates of lactating cows inseminated with sex-sorted semen, which indicates that separate insemination dosages for the use of sex-sorted semen in lactating cows (as opposed to virgin heifers) is not necessary. This observation does not imply that comparable economic returns are available from using sex-sorted semen in both cows and heifers. Although a greater sperm dosage may slightly improve heifer conception rates for some sires, the efficiency of sex-sorting technology at present is likely cost prohibitive to capitalizing on this opportunity. Perhaps advances in sorting efficiency may eventually lend themselves to the more cost-effective production of higher sperm number dosages, whereas additional semen processing and cryopreservation research may allow for more optimal conception at low sperm number dosages.
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