Short communication: Effect of grazing on the concentrations of total sialic acid and hexose in bovine milk
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ABSTRACT

Sialic acid, which is located at the terminal end of glycoconjugates, is believed to have important biological functions. Its concentration in bovine milk varies depending on lactation stage and season. However, it remains unclear whether dietary factors, especially fresh forage, affect the total sialic acid concentration in milk. The purpose of the present study was to investigate the effect of grazing on the concentrations of total sialic acid and hexose in bovine milk. Six healthy dairy cows were used in a crossover design (3 cows fed fresh forage and 3 cows fed grass silage) for 2 wk. Individual milk samples were collected at 2 consecutive milkings (morning and evening) at 0, 1, 3, 5, 8, 11, and 14 d of the experimental period, and 2 consecutive samples in each cow were combined on each sampling day in proportion of the morning and evening milk yields. No differences in body weight, milk yield, or milk composition were observed between the 2 groups during the experimental period. The hexose concentration in milk did not differ between these groups during the experimental period. Conversely, the total sialic acid concentration in the milk of each grazing cow significantly increased at 11 and 14 d of the experimental period compared with that at 0 d. In the grass silage group, the total sialic acid concentration at the end of the experimental period tended to be lower than that at 0 d, but the decrease was not significant. These results indicate that grazing management could have increased the concentration of sialoglycoconjugates in milk. This suggests that grazing may increase the biological function of milk because it is thought that sialic acid is significant in many ways.
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Sialic acid in bovine milk is generally located at the terminal position of various glycoconjugates, which include glycoproteins, glycolipids, and free oligosaccharides (Martín et al., 2001). Free sialic acid and sialyl glycoconjugates are believed to contribute to the defense mechanisms against infection in mammalian neonates (Idota and Kawakami, 1995; Useh et al., 2008) and to the development of the brain and central nervous system (Morgan and Winick, 1980; Duncan et al., 2009). Because of these significant effects, it is useful for the infant formula industry to investigate the concentration of sialic acid in bovine milk, which is an available resource for the separation of sialyl glycoconjugates. The composition of milk varies as a function of several factors, including cow breed, lactation stage, climate, and season of the year, as well as food source (Gacula et al., 1968; Sharma et al., 1983). This variation is also applicable for sialic acid concentration in bovine milk. The concentrations of total sialic acid and free sialylated oligosaccharide are markedly higher in bovine colostrum than in mature milk (Nakamura et al., 2003). Moreover, the chemical structures of sialyl glycoconjugates in bovine κ-CN vary during lactation (Saito and Itoh, 1992). Bovine, caprine, and ovine milks contain higher concentrations of gangliosides, which are sialic acid-containing glycolipids, in the fall compared with in other seasons, although there are no significant differences in the total sialic acid concentration in milk collected in different seasons (Puente et al., 1992, 1996). Much of the data on the concentrations of sialic acid in milk have been obtained with respect to lactation and seasonal variation. However, it remains unclear whether dietary factors affect the total sialic acid concentration in milk. The purpose of the present study was to investigate the effect of grazing on the concentrations of total sialic acid and hexose in bovine milk.

The experiment was conducted at a mixed sown pasture at the National Agriculture Research Center for...
Hokkaido region (42°59′N, 141°24′E; Sapporo, Hokkaido, Japan) from June 29 to July 30, 2008. The average temperature was 19.6°C (SD = 1.5), and the average humidity was 81.5% (SD = 4.5) during this period. Six healthy lactating Holstein cows, approximately 100 d after calving, were assigned to 2 treatments (fresh forage and grass silage) in a crossover experiment with 2 periods of 14 d. Two groups of 3 cows each either grazed on pasture or were fed grass silage in a free stall during the experimental periods. Two weeks before the start of this experiment, the grass silage group had been allowed to graze on pasture in a manner similar to the fresh forage group.

In the fresh forage group, cows were allowed to graze in a paddock for 24 h, except for milking twice daily at 0830 and 1830 h, and rested in the free stall before each milking. Cows were fed supplements in the free stall before each milking according to the Japanese Feeding Standard for Dairy Cattle (NARO, 2006) as recommended for milk-yielding dairy cows (Table 1). Water and mineralized salt were available at all times. After milking every morning, cows were moved to a fresh paddock. In the grass silage group, cows were housed in the free stall and were fed mainly with grass silage twice daily. The milking time and supplement calculations were performed in a manner similar to that for the fresh forage group.

The pasture comprised a flat section (2.7 ha) dominated by perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) and subdominated by white clover (Trifolium repens L.). The pasture was divided into 14 paddocks (25 m × 45 m, 11.25 area for 3 cows) using a combination of movable electric wire and permanent fencing. The forage height in each paddock was maintained at 28.6 cm (SD = 2.5).

A double sampling technique was used to quantify pre- and postgrazing forage mass. On each day of the experimental period, 100 measurements of compressed pasture height in the pre- and postgrazing paddocks were taken using a rising plate meter. Pregrazing measurements were recorded in a paddock to be grazed the following day, and postgrazing measurements were recorded 1 d after 3 cows had been grazed in the paddock. Consumption of DM in each paddock was calculated using the difference between pre- and postpasture yield. Pasture yield (kg of DM/ha) was calculated as 143.84 × compressed pasture height − 735.83 (P < 0.0001; r² = 0.74).

Samples from all paddocks and supplements were collected every week and were then analyzed for DM, CP, ether extract, and ash according to AOAC (1990). Neutral detergent fiber and ADF in these samples were determined by detergent methods (Van Soest et al., 1991).

Cows were weighed for 2 consecutive days at the initiation of the experiment and at the end of each period. Body weights were recorded after milking in the morning and after the supplements were fed to the cows. Milk yields were recorded at the morning and evening milkings. Individual milk samples were collected at 2 consecutive milkings (morning and evening) at 0, 1, 3, 5, 8, 11, and 14 d of the experimental period. All milk in the teats was collected at milking. All the samples (approximately 500 mL) were mixed well and were composed in proportion of the morning and evening milk yield in each cow on each sampling day. Subsamples (50 mL) from each combined sample were used for determination of fat, protein, lactose, SNF, (using a MilkoScan FT 120, Foss, Hillerød, Denmark), and SCC (using a Fossomatic 90, Foss) in the final 4 d of each experimental period. These samples were frozen immediately at −30°C until analysis. All treatments involving animals were preapproved by National Agriculture Research Center for Hokkaido Care and Use Committee.

Following appropriate dilution (1:300) of the milk samples with distilled water, the hexose concentration was determined by the phenol sulfuric acid method (490 nm) using lactose as the standard (Nakamura et al.,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Ingredient composition (%) of dietary DM</th>
<th>Chemical composition (%) of DM unless noted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh forage</td>
<td>70.9</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass silage</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beet pulp pellets</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrate²</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grass hay</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹EE = ether extract.
²Contains compound feed, 39%; oats, 18%; flaked wheat, 16%; flaked corn, 14%; soybean meal, 10%; flaked barley, 2%; CaCO₃, 1%.
After dilution (1:20) of the samples with water, the sialic acid concentration was determined by the colorimetric resorcinol–hydrochloric acid method (630 nm) using \(N\)-acetylneuraminic acid as the standard (Svennerholm, 1957). All milk samples were weighed for concentration correction. Data were analyzed by mixed-model analysis using JMP software (8.01, SAS Institute, Cary, NC) for a completely randomized design. The model included the fixed effect of dietary treatment and milk sampling day, the random effect of cow nested within treatment, and the residual error. Data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean. The statistical difference was determined by Tukey-Kramer test in each dietary treatment and by Student’s \(t\)-test on each milk sampling day. Difference with \(P < 0.05\) was considered significant.

In the present experiment, there were no differences in BW, milk yield, and milk composition between the fresh forage and grass silage groups (Table 2). There was also no difference in hexose concentrations in milk between these groups during the experimental period (Figure 1). Hexose in the milk must have been mainly composed of lactose. Many reports show that bovine milk lactose concentrations are not affected by grazing or nongrazing management (Lee et al., 2008; McEvoy et al., 2008). Our results are consistent with previous observations of lactose concentrations in the milk of grazing cows.

The total sialic acid concentration in the milk of each grazing cow significantly increased \((P = 0.038)\) at 11 and 14 d of the experimental period compared with that at 0 d. In the grass silage group, the total sialic acid concentration at the end of the experimental period was lower than that at 0 d, but the decrease was not significant \((P = 0.09)\). There was also a significant difference between dietary treatments \((P = 0.007)\) at 0, 5, 11, and 14 d. Sialic acid is always present in mammalian milk; however, its concentrations vary among mammalian species (Morrissey, 1973). Sialic acid is present mainly as \(N\)-acetylneuraminic acid in bovine milk. Usually, sialic acid in milk is present mainly in the sialylglycoconjugated form; this conjugates with free oligosaccharides, glycoproteins, or glycolipids (gangliosides) at the terminals. These results indicate that grazing management could have increased the concentration of sialylglycoconjugates in milk.

### Table 2. Body weight, milk yield, and treatment composition of the experimental period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Significance(^1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fresh forage</td>
<td>Grass silage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BW (kg)</td>
<td>568.3</td>
<td>564.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk yield (kg/d)</td>
<td>30.55</td>
<td>32.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk fat (%)</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>3.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk protein (%)</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk lactose (%)</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk SNF (%)</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk SCC ((\times 10^3 \text{ cells/mL}))</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)There were no significant differences between fresh forage and grass silage in each parameter.
Sutton (1989) had reported that the concentration of fat in milk can be widely altered by nutritional means; however, the concentrations of protein and lactose in milk can be only slightly altered. There is strong evidence that milk fat concentration, especially of conjugated linoleic acid, increases in the milk of grazing cows (Stockdale et al., 2003). Thus, milk glycolipid levels may also be influenced by grazing of dairy cows. Indeed, Puente et al. (1996) found that the concentration of disialoganglioside (GD3) in milk has seasonal variation, which may contribute to total sialic acid concentration.

Several studies provide evidence that certain milk proteins have immunomodulatory properties. Some milk proteins are glycoproteins that contain sialic acid (Vreeman et al., 1977; Mikkelsen et al., 2005). The sialylated glycoproteins, including κ-CN and lactoferrin (Lf), have been shown to have immunomodulatory activity. κ-Casein is a phosphorylated protein with a molecular weight of approximately 19 kDa. The glycosylated forms of κ-CN contain 0 to 5 mol of sialic acid/mol of protein, and the carbohydrate moiety accounts for approximately 40% of the total κ-CN molecule (Vreeman et al., 1986). Saito and Itoh (1992) suggested that κ-CN sugar chains, which contain sialic acid, include at least 3 different structures. Interestingly, they reported that the most prominent sugar chains were tetrasaccharides, which constituted 56% in milk, collected 3 mo postpartum; our samples were taken in a similar lactation period. This tetrasaccharide contains 2 molecules of sialic acid. Accordingly, the results of our study suggest that the sialylglycoconjugate of κ-CN may increase in the milk of grazing cows. Lactoferrin, which contains approximately 2 mol of sialic acid/mol of protein, has been extensively studied for its immunostimulating properties (Steijns and van Hooijdonk, 2000). Lactoferrin concentrations in bovine milk depend on the lactation period (Law and Reiter, 1977). Recent reports have shown that Lf remained intact throughout ripening in raw milk cheese but not in cheeses made with pasteurized milk (Dupont et al., 2006). Moreover, Lf from human and bovine milk could be growth promoters for some bifidus flora (Petschow and Talbott, 1991; Petschow et al., 1999). If the increase in the total sialic acid concentration in this experiment was related to Lf concentration, milk provided from grazing may contribute to human health by supplying this function to dairy products.

The concentration of free oligosaccharides is lower than that of glycoproteins in mature milk (Martín et al., 2001; Martín-Sosa et al., 2003). In addition, bovine milk oligosaccharides are mainly sialylated, and their concentration is rather high in colostrum (Nakamura et al., 2003). However, this concentration is very low in transitional or mature milk (Martín-Sosa et al., 2003). The concentrations of free sialyl oligosaccharides in bovine milk also vary by season in New Zealand, where milk production occurs primarily on pasture (McJarrow and van Amelsfort-Schoonbeek, 2004). Therefore, pasture feeding of cows may increase the concentration of free sialyl oligosaccharides in milk, and this concentration varies by season.

In conclusion, we found that the total sialic acid concentration in bovine milk is affected by grazing management. Variations of sialyl conjugate concentrations in bovine milk may have been caused by feed composition. Further study is needed of the mechanism of the increase in the total sialic acid concentration in milk by grazing management.
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