Short communication: Feed iodine concentrations on farms with contrasting levels of iodine in milk
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Short communication

In a previous study, milk iodine concentration from 501 farms across Canada was found to vary considerably and appeared to be influenced by feeding practices. Farms with contrasting levels of milk iodine from a subset of 200 participating farms were used to determine the relationship between milk iodine concentration and the concentration of this mineral in different feeds and complete diets given to lactating dairy cows. The 30 farms with the lowest levels of iodine in milk (low group) and the 30 farms with the highest levels (high group) were selected. Samples of bulk tank milk, all feed ingredients, and water were collected. Additionally, each farmer completed a questionnaire providing information on feeding management. The iodine offered on each of the farms was estimated from the amount of the feed in the diet recommended by the Ration’L software (Valacta, Ste-Anne-de-Bellevue, QC, Canada) and the iodine concentration in the feed sampled and analyzed using inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. The dietary concentration of iodine offered daily was 33% lower for the low group compared with the high group; that is, 1.20 ± 0.099 versus 1.81 ± 0.195 mg/kg of dry matter (DM), respectively. Milk iodine concentrations averaged 146 ± 13.9 μg/kg for the low group and 487 ± 44.6 μg/kg for the high group. A linear relationship was found between dietary iodine concentration and milk iodine level, as follows: milk iodine (μg/kg) = 145 (±66.9) + 113 (±39.4) dietary iodine concentration (mg/kg DM). However, the low R² value (0.15) indicates that other factors, such as milking management and the presence of goitrogens, may have affected the concentrations of iodine in milk. Forages supplied approximately 17% of iodine requirements in the average lactating cow diet. Therefore, variations in the iodine content of forages are unlikely to cause iodine overfeeding. In contrast, 27% of the mineral mix samples presented iodine concentrations >100,000 μg/kg of DM (and up to 322,000 μg/kg of DM). More than 85% of the farms tested were feeding iodine levels higher than the dietary iodine recommendations (0.5 mg of iodine/kg of DM). Iodine supplements should be used with caution in lactating cow diets.
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Cow milk has become one of the most important sources of iodine for human nutrition in several developed countries (Dahl et al., 2003; Li et al., 2006; Schöne et al., 2009). However, the tolerable iodine intake level could easily be exceeded with high milk iodine concentrations (Scientific Committee on Food, 2002; Flachowsky, 2007; Flachowsky et al., 2007). In humans, a rapid increase in iodine intakes increases the risk of iodine toxicity in susceptible individuals and young children (Roti and Uberti, 2001). Therefore, the European Food Safety Authority (2005) suggested that the maximum iodine content in dairy cattle rations be set at 4,000 μg/kg of feed (as fed, 88% DM). The European Union Regulation EC 1459/2005 (European Union, 2005) followed the proposal and lowered the maximum iodine concentration in dairy feedstuffs from 10,000 to 5,000 μg/kg (as fed, 88% DM). A Health Canada study on retail milk found that the average iodine content of Canadian milk is high: 393 ± 150 μg/kg (A. Robichaud, unpublished results). These levels of iodine are in the same range as those found in 2007 in 500 samples of bulk tank milk from farms across Canada (304 ± 188 μg/kg; Borucki Castro et al., 2010). These findings indicate that most of the iodine in the milk delivered to the consumer is already present at the farm gate. In our previous study, one of the factors associated with farms presenting high milk iodine levels was the type of ration used, together with other factors of milking management. The transfer of iodine from feeds to milk ranges from 7 to 27%, depending on the amount fed to the animal (Kaufmann et al., 1998; Norouzian et al., 2009; Moschini et al., 2010). Special caution is required in diet formulation of lactating dairy cows because feeding iodine in excess will immediately result in higher concentrations of iodine in
For each of the farms based on (1) the amount of feed
consumed by the cow, are assumed in diet formulation
databases (e.g., NRC, 2001) to contain no iodine. The
objective of this study was to investigate the iodine
content in forages and other dairy cow feedstuffs, and
to determine their contribution to the total iodine
consumed by the animal. Furthermore, based on the
analysis of the levels of iodine in bulk-tank milk and on
the different feeds sampled, the relationship between
the total iodine offered and the levels of iodine in milk
was determined.

The farms for this study were selected based on milk
iodine concentrations recorded in a previous study
(Borucki Castro et al., 2010). Of the 200 dairy farms
that had been sampled in the province of Quebec
(Canada), 30 farms with the highest iodine levels (high
group) and 30 farms with lowest iodine levels (low
group) were chosen. Samples of the feed ingredients
and TMR fed to lactating cows were collected, together
with water and milk samples from the bulk tank. In
addition, farmers were asked to complete a question-
naire to characterize their farms’ feeding programs and
milking management. Of the 60 farms targeted, 54 were
sampled and 52 completed the questionnaire. All of the
selected farms were clients of the dairy herd improve-
ment program run by Valacta Dairy Production Centre
of Expertise (Ste-Anne-de-Bellevue, QC, Canada), and
the samples were collected by Valacta representatives
during regular visits between April and June 2008.

Milk samples were analyzed at Health Canada (Lon-
gueuil, QC, Canada) in a laboratory accredited by the
Standards Council of Canada. Total iodine concentra-
tion (organic and inorganic) was determined using the
method of Benkhedda et al. (2009) by inductively
coupled plasma mass spectrometry (7500 series model,
Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) optimized for
raw milk samples. Prior to analysis, samples were di-
gested in a closed microwave system with a mixture
of perchloric and nitric acids. The detection limit was
12 ng/g for a 0.5-g sample, with precisions of 4.0 and
2.2% obtained for 10 replicate measurements of 50 and
1,000 ng/g standards, respectively.

Descriptive statistics, regression analysis, and group
differences (low vs. high) were determined using t-tests
and ANOVA with the Data Analysis Toolpak of Mi-
crosoft Office Excel (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA).
Feed ingredients are presented in this paper using
descriptive statistics and graphical distribution. Feeds
were classified according to the way they were reported
in the questionnaires (i.e., as registered supplements,
custom-made concentrates, or mineral mixes). Bulk-
tank milk iodine was related to dietary iodine concen-
tration in the lactating cow group, which was calculated
for each of the farms based on (1) the amount of feed
offered (Valacta Ration’L formulation software) and (2)
the iodine concentration of the different feeds sampled
and analyzed by Health Canada’s laboratory. Farms
were grouped according to iodine concentration in the
diet (mg/kg of DM) compared with the level recom-
manded by the NRC (2001; 0.5 mg/kg of DM). Five
groups were formed: (1) 100% of the recommended
value (0.30 to 0.74 mg/kg of DM); (2) twice the recom-
manded value (0.75 to 1.24 mg/kg of DM); (3) 3 times
the recommended value (1.25 to 1.74 mg/kg of DM);
(4) 4 times the recommended value (1.75 to 2.24 mg/
kg of DM); and (5) 5 times the recommended value or
more (>2.25 mg/kg of DM).

In total, 96% of the samples received were success-
fully analyzed; 38% were forages (hay, silage, and hay-
lage), 22% were supplements, 20% mineral mixes, 13%
grain samples, 4% soybean products, and 3% samples
of TMR. Iodine levels in forages, grains, and soy prod-
ucts are presented in Table 1. Iodine levels in plant
sources are highly variable; they depend on proximity
to the sea, plant species and strain, and climatic and
seasonal conditions (Underwood and Suttle, 1999).
As an example, the variation between cyanogenic and
noncyanogenic strains of white clover grown in the
same area of New Zealand varied from 200 to 40 μg/
kg of iodine, respectively. The iodine in the plant ap-
ppears to be linked to the plant’s capacity to absorb
and retain the iodine from the atmosphere (stomata)
and from the soils. Iodine in grasses ranged from 80
to 690 μg/kg, with marked species and seasonal differ-
ences in iodine content (Alderman and Jones, 1967).
Attempts to correlate soil with plant iodine levels have
not been successful; iodine’s availability from the soil to
the plant is generally low (Fuge, 2005). In the present
study, the iodine concentrations found in forages fell
within the range of values reported in previous studies
for pastures (Alderman and Jones, 1967) and agreed
with iodine levels found in conserved forages in other
countries (112 μg/kg of DM for hay, 213 μg/kg of DM
for grass silage, and 110 μg/kg of DM for maize silage;
Trávníček et al., 2004).

Based on the mean iodine levels observed in forages,
as well as on calculations for a typical lactating cow
diet, these feedstuffs (Table 1) would supply approxi-
mately 17% of the iodine required by the cow (NRC,
2001). Note that using the mean could give a biased
estimation because the range of values found was broad
for most of the feeds presented. Cereals and oilseed
meals are considered poor sources of iodine (Under-
wood and Suttle, 1999). The average values found in
this study for grains and soy products (Table 1) were
similar to the values published in nutrient composition
tables in France (Sauvant et al., 2004). The ranges re-
ported by McDowell (2003) and Underwood and Suttle
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100 to 200 μg/kg of DM for oilseed meals and 40 to 100 μg/kg of DM for cereal grains. The feeds classified as “supplements” included protein and energy supplements, registered supplements, and custom-made concentrates. Supplements with a standard name and registration number were classified in this study as “registered supplements,” whereas those without a name or description were classified as “custom-made concentrates.” In total, 50% of the supplements sampled contained between 1,001 and 10,000 μg of iodine/kg of DM, 31% contained <1,000 μg of iodine/kg of DM, and 19% contained >10,000 μg of iodine/kg of DM.

Feeding dairy cows iodine in excess increases concentrations of iodine in milk (Swanson et al., 1990; Kaufmann et al., 1998; Flachowsky et al., 2007). Therefore, iodine concentrations in complete feeds and supplements should not be overlooked. In the present study, most of the supplements sampled (50%) contained iodine between 1,001 and 10,000 μg of iodine/kg of DM, 19% of the supplements sampled contained more than 10,000 μg of iodine/kg of DM and required registration in Canada. The use of commercial supplements and premixes in dairy rations should follow the specific label directions to avoid iodine overfeeding.

Mineral mixes with iodine values exceeding 100,000 μg/kg accounted for 27% of those sampled. These premixes should be included in very low quantities per cow per day. Some mineral mixes with very high iodine contents are fed to improve reproductive performance. Iodine influences reproductive functions because of its vital role in thyroid function (Hidiroglou, 1979; Dunn and Delange, 2001). Reproductive failure in cases of iodine deficiency is likely to be a secondary manifestation of thyroid dysfunctions resulting in anestrus, irregular estrus, retained placenta, abortion, and stillbirth. Positive influences of iodine supplementation were found in cases of iodine deficiency. However, no reports have shown beneficial effects of iodine overfeeding on reproduction, animal growth, or fattening (Wichtel et al., 1996; Meyer et al., 2008). The use of premixes with very high iodine contents to improve reproduction in early lactation is likely to result in iodine overfeeding, which is unnecessary and should be avoided to preserve milk quality.

Milk iodine levels for the farms in the low and high groups were compared with the same farms’ values reported in the previous study (Table 3 in Borucki Castro et al., 2010). For the high group, milk iodine concentration did not differ significantly between the 2 years (2007 and 2008), showing that the elevated iodine content in the milk on some farms was not circumstantial, as the same farms still produced milk with elevated iodine content a year later. For the low group, the differences between 2007 and 2008 were expected, given that the farms selected in the previous study were those with extreme values of milk iodine.

To calculate the 500 μg of iodine/kg of DM required for lactating dairy cows, the NRC (2001) adopted a physiological approach, in which iodine needs are estimated from the amount of iodine required to sustain the thyroid hormone (thyroxine) secretion rate, assuming certain capture efficiency and recycling rate. The basal requirement of 330 μg/kg of DM daily for nonlactating dairy cows (600 kg of BW) is increased to 500 μg/kg of DM daily, because thyroxine production increases with lactation and because, under normal conditions, approximately 10% of dietary iodine is secreted in milk. The dietary concentration of iodine offered daily (mg/kg of feed DM) was higher for the high group (1.81 mg/kg) than for the low group (1.20 mg/kg).

### Table 1. Iodine concentrations in different feed samples collected from farms with high and low milk iodine levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iodine (μg/kg of DM)</th>
<th>Forage</th>
<th>Supplements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hay</td>
<td>Mixed silage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samples (n)</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outliers2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not included3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sampled</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Grass and legume silage.
2Values higher than 5 × standard deviation from the mean; not included in descriptive statistics.
3Samples not included: byproducts with only one sample or samples of sodium bicarbonate; not considered in descriptive statistics.
kg; Table 2). Farms in both groups were feeding 2 and 3 times more dietary iodine than recommended by NRC (0.5 mg/kg), respectively. Furthermore, the frequency distribution of dietary iodine concentrations (Figure 1) shows that 86% of the farms sampled were supplying diets with iodine concentrations exceeding recommendations. Feeding more than 70,000 μg of iodine per cow per day for longer than 3 wk has been reported to produce intoxication symptoms (Hillman and Curtis, 1980; Olson et al., 1984). Clinical signs of intoxication include persistent cough, hyperthermia, naso-ocular discharge, inappetance, depression, dermatitis, and alopecia (Paulíková et al., 2002).

A significant linear relationship was found between dietary iodine concentration and milk iodine level. The response can be described by the following equation:

\[ y = 145 \pm 66.9 + 113 \pm 39.4x, \]

where \( y \) is milk iodine (μg/kg) and \( x \) is dietary iodine concentration (mg/kg).

The relationship described above means that for every milligram of iodine per kilogram of feed DM, milk iodine would increase by 113 μg/kg of milk (Figure 2). Previous studies (Swanson et al., 1990) found similar relationships in controlled dose-response experiments (milk iodine = 98.6 + 155.9 iodine intake; \( R^2 = 0.52 \)). However, the low \( R^2 \) value suggests that other factors influenced the concentrations of iodine in milk. In a previous study, feeding and milking management practices were shown to have a significant associa-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th></th>
<th>High</th>
<th></th>
<th>Difference(^2) in years</th>
<th></th>
<th>Difference(^2) in groups 2008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milk iodine (μg/kg)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>0.01**</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.52**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>485</td>
<td>469</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>394</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>1,419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samples (n)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.01**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dietary iodine (mg/kg)</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SE</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samples (n)</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{1}\)The low and high groups refer to the 30 farms with the lowest and highest milk iodine levels, respectively, of 200 dairy farms in Quebec, Canada, in the study of Borucki Castro et al. (2010).

\(^{2}\)Significance based on \( t \)-test \( (T \leq t) \) two-tailed, unequal variance Student distribution.

\(^{*}\)Year in which bulk-tank milk samples were taken.

**\( P < 0.01 \).
tion with iodine in milk (Borucki Castro et al., 2010). The form of feeding (in components vs. TMR), teat washing and dipping before milking, and the method of application of teat sanitizers all appeared to influence milk iodine concentrations. Other studies found that factors such as iodine species (iodide vs. iodate) and dietary compounds called goitrogens significantly affected the amount of iodine in milk (Franke et al., 2009). Goitrogens interfere with the normal uptake of iodine; these compounds are present in members of the cruciferous family, including rape and kale (progoitrins and goitrins), as well as in raw soybean, beet pulp, millet, and sweet potato (cyanogenic glycosides). The NRC (2001) suggests the addition of a safety margin above the requirements (+100 μg of iodine/kg of DM) to cover the possible effects of goitrogens. The presence of goitrogens could not be determined with certainty in this study because of the presence of commercial protein supplements that may have contained canola meal and other goitrogens. Nevertheless, 2 of the feeds sampled were roasted soybeans and beet pulp. The data from the farms that declared the use of roasted soybeans and beet pulp were clearly out of the trend line in the regression (Figure 2). Further research is required to quantify the effects of goitrogens on milk iodine concentrations. Milking management practices such as the effects of predipping, postdipping, and the use of iodized sanitizers on milk iodine concentrations also require further investigation.

In this study, 86% of the farms were feeding iodine in excess of requirements. Based on the iodine concentrations found in forages, grains, and soy products, it is unlikely that those feedstuffs are the cause of iodine overfeeding. Mineral mixes, however, supply 83% of iodine requirements. Attention should therefore be focused on the correct use of mineral supplements in diet formulation to avoid overfeeding. The low $R^2$ value in the linear regression between milk and iodine intakes reflects the fact that other factors do affect iodine concentrations in milk. Milking management practices and the presence of goitrogens in the diet require further investigation.
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