ABSTRACT

Lying time is an important measure of cow comfort, and the lying behavior of dairy cattle can now be recorded automatically with the use of accelerometers. To assess the effect that stall stocking density and the time that cows spend away from the home pen being milked has on the lying behavior of Holstein cattle, a total of 111 commercial freestall dairy farms were visited in Canada. Accelerometers were used to automatically record the lying behavior of 40 focal cows per farm. Total duration of lying, lying bout frequency, and the mean duration of lying bouts were calculated. Pen population was the total number of cows in the pen. To calculate stall stocking density (%) the number of cows in the pen and the number of useable stalls were counted and multiplied by 100, and the length × width of the pen was divided by the number of cows in the pen to calculate area/cow (m²). Time away from the pen per day was recorded from when the first cow in each pen was taken out of the home pen for milking until the last cow returned to the home pen after milking, and this time was multiplied by daily milking frequency. The median value for lying duration at the farm level was 10.6 h/d, with 10.5 lying bouts/d, and a median lying bout duration of 1.2 h. Stall stocking density ranged from 52.2 to 160.0%, with very few farms (7%) stocking at greater than 120%. Although stall stocking density was not significantly correlated with lying behavior, the results showed that no farm with stocking density greater that 100% achieved an average herd lying duration of 12 h/d or higher, whereas 21.6% of farms with a stocking density of 100% or less did achieve the target lying time of ≥12 h/d, as recommended by the Canadian Code of Practice (χ² = 4.86, degrees of freedom = 1). Area/cow (m²) was not correlated with any aspect of lying behavior, but regardless of space per cow, pen population was correlated with daily frequency and duration of lying bouts. As the number of cows in the pen increased, lying daily bout frequency increased (correlation coefficient = 0.24) and lying bout duration decreased (correlation coefficient = −0.30). Lying behavior was affected by the time the cows were away from the pen being milked. When cows were away from the pen for longer than 3.7 h/d, no farm achieved the recommended herd median lying time of 12 h/d or longer. These results suggest that providing 1 stall for each cow in the pen and minimizing time away from the pen are important factors if cattle are to achieve the recommended daily lying duration of 12 h/d.
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INTRODUCTION

The time cows spend lying down is an important measure of cow comfort (EFSA, 2009b; von Keyserlingk et al., 2009). Reducing the time that cattle can lie down results in both behavioral and physiological evidence of stress (Munksgaard and Simonsen, 1996) and short lying times are a risk factor for lameness (Cook et al., 2004; Bell et al., 2009; Chapinal et al., 2009; Proudfoot et al., 2010). Research into the motivation of cows to lie down show that this is a high-priority behavior (Metz, 1985; Munksgaard et al., 2005) and that cows are motivated to spend around 13 h/d lying down (Jensen et al., 2005). Small-scale experimental studies find that cows in freestalls spend an average of around 12 h/d lying down (EFSA, 2009b). Cattle welfare standards are now beginning to address the issue of lying time in dairy cows. The Canadian Code of Practice (Dairy Farmers of Canada, 2009) is a set of guidelines for the welfare of dairy cattle in Canada, which includes requirements and recommended best practices for the care and handling of dairy cattle that are expected to be implemented by all Canadian dairy farmers. This Code recommends that stalls be designed so that cows can lie down for 12 h/d.

The time cattle spend lying down can be measured automatically using accelerometers (Ledgerwood et al., 2010; Rushen et al., 2012) in a time-efficient manner...
(Ito et al., 2009; von Keyserlingk et al., 2012; Deming et al., 2013) and with high validity (Ledgerwood et al., 2010). Large-scale studies using this technology on commercial freestall farms in North America report large differences between farms in herd lying time, with most farms having a herd mean lying time less than 12 h/d (Ito et al., 2009; USDA, 2010; von Keyserlingk et al., 2012), although Gomez and Cook (2010) reported herd mean lying times closer to 12 h/d.

Although most dairy farm animal welfare standards are still reliant on resource-based criteria, such as recommended stall stocking densities, there is increased interest in being able to assess the outcomes or the consequences of these for the animals (Rushen et al., 2011). Both the stall stocking density (defined as the number of cows per 100 lying stalls) and the time away from the home for milking are likely to affect the time cows lie down and may be responsible for some of the variation between farms in mean herd lying time. The European Food Safety Authority (Parma, Italy) recently concluded that overstocking was one of the most serious risks to the welfare of cattle in freestall housing (EFSA, 2009a). Small-scale, experimental studies have shown that the time cows lie down is reduced by high stocking density (Fregonesi et al., 2007; Krawczel et al., 2012; Telezhenko et al., 2012) and time spent away from the home pen for milking (Botheras, 2006; Gomez and Cook, 2010), but only a few studies have examined these relationships on commercial farms. Bach et al. (2008) found lower milk production on farms with fewer stalls per cow, but Chapinal et al. (2013) did not find that overstocking was a risk factor for lameness. It seems likely that stocking density and time for milking would interact, as competition for lying stalls would be higher if fewer stalls were available and if less time was available to lie down, but this interaction has not been studied in detail. Although dairy producers are often advised to keep stocking density below 120% (e.g., Dairy Farmers of Canada, 2009), overstocking is commonly found on commercial dairy farms in the United States (USDA, 2010; von Keyserlingk et al., 2012).

Our objectives were to describe the lying times of cows, and the stall stocking density (%), pen population size (number of cows in the pen), area/cow (m²), and time away from the home pen for milking (h/d) on commercial freestall farms in Canada and to investigate how these variables might correlate with the lying behavior of dairy cattle. We hypothesized that time cows spent lying down would be lower with higher stall stocking densities and longer times away from the pen and that an interaction would exist between stocking density and milking time.

**Materials and Methods**

**Farm Selection and Description**

Between April 2011 and May 2012, commercial freestall dairy farms in Alberta (n = 51), Ontario (n = 40), and Quebec (n = 20) were visited (Table 1). Farms had to be enrolled in a milk-recording scheme and have mostly Holstein cows, mean milk production above 7,000 L/cow per year, a herd size of at least 40 lactating cows, and a milking system that had been in use for a minimum of 1 yr. For purposes of another study, the herds were selected to have variation in average cow longevity as measured by the percentage of cows in third lactation or higher and the annual farm percentage turnover rate (Table 1). Letters were sent to farms meeting these criteria, inviting them to participate in the study. The number of letters sent out was based on an expected positive response rate of 20%. Those interested and willing to participate in the study were then contacted by telephone and interviewed. Farms were excluded from the study if they had a management system that allowed lactating cows access to pasture.

**Data Collection**

Each farm was visited twice, with an interval of 5 to 10 d, by 2 or more trained team members. Data were collected on 40 lactating Holstein dairy cows from each herd [DIM (mean ± SD) = 156.0 ± 95.7; range = 4 to 735; parity range = 1 to 12, with 36% primiparous]. The cows chosen were those earliest in lactation and that an interaction would exist between stocking density and milking time.

Our objectives were to describe the lying times of cows, and the stall stocking density (%), pen population size (number of cows in the pen), area/cow (m²), and time away from the home pen for milking (h/d) on commercial freestall farms in Canada and to investigate how these variables might correlate with the lying behavior of dairy cattle. We hypothesized that time cows spent lying down would be lower with higher stall stocking densities and longer times away from the pen and that an interaction would exist between stocking density and milking time.
in each pen containing focal cows was counted (pen population), and these numbers were confirmed with the herd manager during a questionnaire. To determine area/cow (m²), the total length × the width of the pen was divided by the pen population. The time the cows spent away from their home pen being milked (h/d) was recorded from when the first cow in each pen was taken out of the home pen for milking until the last cow returned to the home pen after milking. Time away from the pen was recorded for the morning milking, and was multiplied by daily milking frequency, which was obtained during a questionnaire with the herd manager.

To record the time the cows spent lying down, accelerometers (HOBO Pendant G Acceleration Data Loggers; Onset Computer Corp., Pocasset, MA) were attached to the hind leg of each cow using Vet-Wrap (CoFlex; Andover Coated products Inc., Salisbury, MA). They were programmed to record the position of the cow (lying or standing) at 1-min intervals for 4 consecutive 24-h periods (Vasseur et al., 2012). Recording was set to begin at 2350 h on the day of the first farm visit. During the second farm visit, all of the data loggers were removed and the data were downloaded.

Data Analysis

From the accelerometer data, we calculated the total duration of lying time, lying bout frequency (/24 h), and the mean duration of lying bouts for each focal cow, and we averaged these over the 4-d period. From this, we calculated the mean daily duration of lying down (h/d), daily frequency of lying bouts, and mean duration of lying bouts for each farm. Spearman rank coefficients of correlation were used to test the correlations between the pen measures (stall stocking density, pen population, area per cow, and time away from the pen) and the measures of time spent lying down were done using R i386 software (package version 2.15.1; R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). One farm had an extreme stall stocking density of 160%, so this farm was also removed from the analysis. In some cases, the 40 focal cows were split across multiple pens. To calculate the correlations, we excluded farms for which we were not certain if both sets of measures were taken from the same pens. For correlations with time away for milking, we used only 65 farms for which we had complete data from a single pen. For the correlations involving stocking density, pen population and area per cow, we calculated the mean value for the farm by combining the values for the pens that contained the focal cows, but only if the stocking density in the pens differed by less than 5% (which could differ greatly from pen to pen). The final correlations involving the pen density measures were based on 73 farms.

To test whether a difference existed in lying time between farms with the shortest (below the median; <3.3 h/d; n = 32) and longest (above the median; ≥3.3 h/d; n = 33) milking durations, and to test farms stocking ≤100% (n = 54) or >100% (n = 19) of the recommended stocking rate by the Code of Practice, a chi-squared test was used.

To examine whether the effect of milking time was most obvious on farms with a high stocking density, we divided the farms according to whether they were above or below the median for stocking density and recalculated the correlations between the lying time variables and milking time separately for each group. We also recalculated the correlations between stocking density and lying times after classifying the farms as being above or below the median for milking times.

RESULTS

All of the facility measures varied greatly between farms (Table 2). Of the 111 farms, a majority (60%) had a stall stocking density ≤100% (i.e., more stalls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>25th percentile</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>75th percentile</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Herd size (no. of cows)</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>160.0</td>
<td>470.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cows in ≥third lactation (%)</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual turnover (%)</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>59.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cows culled at &lt;60 DIM (%)</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>73.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average DIM</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>125.6</td>
<td>249.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average lactation</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primiparous cows (%)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Based on 2010 data.
3 At time of visit.
than cows). One-third of the farms (33%) had a stall density between 100 and 120%, and 7% of farms had a stall stocking density >120%. Overstocking tended to be more common on farms with more than 1 pen: only 16% of farms with 1 pen for lactating cows were stocked more than 100%, whereas 58% of farms with more than 1 pen of cows was stocked >100%. Time away from the home pen for milking was correlated with the number of cows in the pen \( r_s = 0.45; P < 0.01 \) but not with stall stocking density \( r_s = 0.15; P > 0.10 \).

Table 2 shows the distribution of the measures of lying time, which also varied greatly across farms. Almost 90% of the farms had a mean duration of lying time less than 12 h/d. Table 3 shows the correlations between the measures of lying time and the facility measures. When all pens were considered, stall stocking density did not correlate with any measures of time spent lying down. No correlations were observed between lying time and stocking density when the farms were split into 2 groups as being above or below the median for time away for milking \( P > 0.10 \). However, the mean lying time on a farm was not completely independent of the stall stocking density. No farm with stocking density greater than 100% achieved a mean herd lying duration of 12 h/d or higher, whereas 21.6% of farms with a stocking density of 100% or less did achieve or exceed that target \( (x^2 = 4.86, df = 1; P < 0.03) \).

Pen population was positively correlated with the frequency of lying bouts and negatively correlated with the mean duration of lying bouts, irrespective of stocking density \( (P < 0.03) \). The area per cow tended to be negatively correlated with the daily frequency of lying bouts and positively correlated with bout duration \( (P = 0.01) \).

Overall, the more time cows spent away from their home pen while being milked, the shorter the mean duration of their lying bouts \( (P = 0.01) \). Some evidence existed that milking time had a larger effect when stocking density was high: the correlation between time away for milking and mean duration of lying bouts was only significant on the farms above the median stocking density \( r = -0.40; P = 0.04 \) and not for farms below the median stocking density \( r = -0.18; P > 0.10 \). The time away was not correlated with the daily duration of lying \( (P > 0.10) \). However, the relationship between time away from the pen and lying time was not linear. Of the 50% of farms that were below the median of time away \( (0.8 \text{ to } 3.2 \text{ h/d}) \), 26.5% had a mean daily duration of lying time ≥12 h/d, whereas only 6.9% of the farms that were above the median for time away from the pen \( (3.3 \text{ to } 8.0 \text{ h/d}) \) did so \( (x^2 = 4.16, df = 1; P = 0.04) \). In Figure 2, it is apparent that no farm that had time away from the pen longer than 3.7 h/d achieved a mean daily duration of lying time ≥12 h/d. Furthermore, among the 50% of farms above the median for longest time away from the pen, time away was negatively correlated with daily duration of lying time \( r = -0.52; P < 0.01 \), whereas no correlation \( r = 0.16; P > 0.10 \) existed among the 50% of farms below the median for time away.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>10th percentile</th>
<th>25th percentile</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>75th percentile</th>
<th>90th percentile</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stall stocking density (%1)</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>96.2</td>
<td>104.0</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>160.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pen population (no. of cows)</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>93.5</td>
<td>117.7</td>
<td>300.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pen area ( (\text{m}^2) )</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>253.3</td>
<td>350.1</td>
<td>479.6</td>
<td>694.4</td>
<td>963.8</td>
<td>2,885.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area/cow ( (\text{m}^2) )</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milking duration ( (\text{h/d}) )</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily duration of lying down ( (\text{h/d}) )</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily frequency of lying bouts</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average duration of lying bouts ( (h) )</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Number of cows/number of useable lying stalls × 100.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Stall stocking density (%1)</th>
<th>Pen population</th>
<th>Area/cow ( (\text{m}^2) )</th>
<th>Time away from pen ( (\text{h/d}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily duration of lying ( (\text{h/d}) )</td>
<td>(-0.15) ( P = 0.20 )</td>
<td>(-0.17) ( P = 0.15 )</td>
<td>(0.10) ( P = 0.39 )</td>
<td>(-0.14) ( P = 0.24 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily frequency of lying bouts</td>
<td>(0.13) ( P = 0.27 )</td>
<td>(0.24) ( P = 0.04 )</td>
<td>(-0.21) ( P = 0.08 )</td>
<td>(0.17) ( P = 0.16 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of lying bouts ( (h) )</td>
<td>(-0.18) ( P = 0.12 )</td>
<td>(-0.30) ( P = 0.01 )</td>
<td>(0.20) ( P = 0.09 )</td>
<td>(-0.27) ( P = 0.02 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1The averages of lying behavior were used for farms with more than 1 pen where the difference in stocking was <5%.
DISCUSSION

Although the effects were not large, we found some evidence that stall stocking density and time away from the pen for milking account for some of the variation between farms in herd mean lying time. A stocking density greater than 1 cow per lying stall and time away from the pen longer than 3.7 h/d consistently resulted in herd mean lying times below 12 h/d, whereas increasing milking duration above 3.3 h/d reduced herd mean lying time. We found some evidence that the effects of the time away from the pen for milking were most apparent on farms that were above the median for stocking density.

The herd mean lying time varied considerably from farm to farm, and was similar to that reported previously (Ito et al., 2009; von Keyserlingk et al., 2012; Deming et al., 2013; i.e., a median of between 10 and
11 h/d and a range of 9 to 14 h/d). Thus, the majority of freestall dairy farms in North America do not meet the recommended lying time of 12 h/d of the Canadian Code of Practice (Dairy Farmers of Canada, 2009). In the current study, on average, cows lay down 10.5 times per day, which is similar to that found by Gomez and Cook (2010; 11.0 times/d) and more than that found by Deming et al. (2013; 9.3 times/d). The lying bouts in the current study lasted an average of 72 min, very similar to the duration reported previously (Gomez and Cook, 2010; Deming et al., 2013). However, we found variation between farms in both the frequency of lying bouts and the mean bout duration.

The absence of a strong effect of stocking density on lying time was surprising, given that experimental studies have consistently shown that increases in stocking density reduce the time that cows spend lying down (Fregonesi et al., 2007; Krawczel et al., 2012; Telezhenko et al., 2012). This lack of an effect may reflect the fact that the majority of farms in our study were stocked at less than 1 cow per lying stall and only 7% were stocked at more than 120 cows per 100 lying stalls. The proportion of farms that had stocking densities greater than 100% (40%) was smaller than those reported in the United States and in British Columbia (60%) by von Keyserlingk et al. (2012), and the great majority of farms (93%) met or bettered the requirement of the Code of Practice (Dairy Farmers of Canada, 2009). The discrepancy may also reflect that the lying times of the control cows in the small-scale studies were generally higher than the average lying times for the cows in our study (e.g., Fregonesi et al. 2007) and that the experimental studies likely avoided cows with health issues, whereas such cows would have been included in our sample. However, mean farm lying time was not independent of stocking density; it was apparent that no farm stocked at greater than 1 cow per stall was able to achieve the recommended herd mean lying time of 12/d (Dairy Farmers of Canada, 2009).

Consistent with von Keyserlingk et al. (2012), we found that the area available to each cow within the pen varied greatly from farm to farm, from less than 5 to 15 m²/cow. Farms with more area per cow tended to have fewer and slightly longer bouts of lying but the effects were not statistically significant. The actual number of cows within the pen (irrespective of the area) was more highly correlated with measures of lying time than was the area per cow, with fewer, longer lying bouts occurring where there was a larger number of cows, although the daily lying time was not related to the pen population. The lying time on a farm can also be affected by space at the feed bunk (Deming et al., 2013). Thus, the relationships between pen area, population and the lying time of the cows are complex, with the space allowance per cow, group size, feed bunk space, and ratio of cows to lying stalls all potential factors affecting lying time.

Dairy producers are often advised to minimize the time that cows are out of the pen for milking, with the Canadian Code of Practice recommending a maximum milking time of 1 h. We measured time away from the pen for milking by the time that elapsed from when the first cow left the pen to when the last cow returned. This varied from 0.7 to 8.0 h/d, consistent with what was found by von Keyserlingk et al. (2012). We found that the time cows were out of the pen for milking had a larger effect on herd mean lying time than any measure of density (number of stalls or overall space), with a critical time being somewhere in the range of 3.3 to 3.7 h/d. When this measure of time away from the pen for milking was below 3.3 h/d, no relationship was observed with the herd mean lying time. However, above 3.3 h/d, an increase in time away from the pen was associated with a reduction in herd mean lying time, with 27% of the variation between farms in lying time being associated with variance in time away from the pen. Over all farms, an increase in time away was associated with a reduction in the mean bout duration of lying down. Finally, no farm with time away from the pen longer than 3.7 h/d achieved the recommended herd mean lying time of 12 h/d or longer.

CONCLUSIONS

Very few Canadian farms stocked at greater than 120%. Stocking density was not significantly related to lying behavior, but farms that stocked at greater than 100% did not achieve the recommended lying time of 12 h/d. The time the cows spent away from the home pen being milked also influenced cow comfort, with lying bout duration decreasing with increased time away from the pen. Also, no farms achieved a mean daily duration of lying ≥12 h/d when cows were kept away from the home pen for 3.7 h/d or longer. To increase the chance of cattle achieving the recommended daily lying time of 12 h/d, the stall stocking density, pen population, and time away from the home pen for milking should be considered.
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