Suitability of capillary blood obtained by a minimally invasive lancet technique to detect subclinical ketosis in dairy cows by using 3 different electronic hand-held devices
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ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to evaluate the suitability of capillary blood obtained by a minimally invasive lancet technique to detect subclinical ketosis in 49 prepartum and 191 postpartum Holstein-Friesian cows using 3 different electronic hand-held devices [FreeStyle Precision (FSP, Abbott), GlucoMen LX Plus (GLX, A. Menarini), NovaVet (NOV, Nova Biomedical)]. The β-hydroxybutyrate (BHBA) concentration in serum harvested from coccygeal blood samples was analyzed in a laboratory and used as a reference value. Capillary samples were obtained from the skin of the exterior vulva by using 1 of 3 different lancets. In all samples, the concentration of BHBA was immediately analyzed with all 3 hand-held devices used in random order. All lancets used in the study were eligible for capillary blood collection but differed in the total number of incisions needed. Spearman correlation coefficients between the BHBA concentrations in capillary blood and the reference test were highly significant with 83% for the FSP, 73% for the NOV, and 63% for the GLX. Using capillary blood, the FSP overestimated the mean BHBA concentration compared with the reference test (+0.08 mmol/L), whereas the GLX and NOV underestimated the mean concentration (−0.07 and −0.01 mmol/L). When a BHBA concentration of 1.2 mmol/L in serum was used to define subclinical ketosis, the corresponding analyses of receiver operating characteristics resulted in optimized cut-offs of 1.4 mmol/L for the FSP (Se 100%, Sp 92%), 1.3 mmol/L for the NOV (Se 80%, Sp 95%), and 1.1 mmol/L (Se 90%, Sp 85%) for the GLX. Using these optimized thresholds for the specific hand-held meters, no significant differences between the devices in Se and Sp to detect subclinical ketosis in coccygeal blood were observed. Calculated test characteristics for analyzing capillary blood using the hand-held devices were numerically smaller compared with blood obtained from a coccygeal vessel, but overlapping confidence intervals indicate no statistical difference between the origin of the sample. Hence, this procedure seems to be suitable for ketosis monitoring in dairy cows, but further validation with more data from different farms is recommended.
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INTRODUCTION

Subclinical ketosis (SCK) is defined as a metabolic disorder with an increased ketone body concentration in the absence of clinical symptoms of ketosis (Andersson, 1988; Duffield et al., 1998; Rollin et al., 2010). Commonly used thresholds to define SCK are BHBA concentrations in blood of 1.2 and 1.4 mmol/L (Geishauser et al., 1998; Duffield et al., 2009). At herd level, a target incidence of SCK below 20% (using a cut-off of the BHBA concentration in serum of 1.4 mmol/L) was recommended by Cook et al. (2006). The occurrence of SCK in dairy herds in the periparturient period, caused by a negative energy balance, represents an important challenge for dairy farmers. Several studies have shown that SCK is associated with an increased risk for the occurrence of secondary diseases such as clinical ketosis, displaced abomasum, me-
tritis, mastitis, and lameness (Geishauser et al., 1998; Duffield et al., 2009; Suthar et al., 2013). Additionally, decreased milk yield (Dohoo and Martin, 1984; Duffield et al., 1997) and impaired reproductive performance (Walsh et al., 2007a,b; Chapinal et al., 2012) are associated with the occurrence of SCK. Based on BHBA concentrations in blood of ≥1.2 mmol/L, Suthar et al. (2013) reported an overall prevalence of SCK for 10 European countries of 21.8%, ranging from 11.2 to 36.6%, within 2 wk after calving. Reported prevalence for North American dairy herds ranged from 8.9 to 43.2% within the first 2 mo of lactation (Dohoo and Martin, 1984; Geishauser et al., 1998; McArt et al., 2012). Recently, an increased BHBA concentration before calving has been associated with a detrimental effect on milk yield and animal health (Chapinal et al., 2011, 2012). Animals showing a BHBA concentration in serum ≥0.7 mmol/L within the last week of gestation were at higher risk of early culling (Roberts et al., 2012).

Considering the abovementioned aspects, monitoring of dairy herds for SCK is reported to be an appropriate measure for disease prevention and improvement of stock management efficiency in dairy farming (Cook et al., 2006). In several studies, the determination of BHBA concentrations in serum or plasma with standard laboratory methods was defined as a reference test for diagnosing of SCK (Duffield et al., 1998; Geishauser et al., 2000). This method, however, is inconvenient for a broader surveillance because of its costs in terms of time and money. The possible delay in treatment of animals suffering from SCK, because of shipping and analyzing a blood sample at an external laboratory, might have a negative effect on animal welfare as well. Routine testing of animals at risk for SCK by the farmer can be used on a cow level (to guide individual treatment) as well as on a herd level (to evaluate transition management and feeding).

Within the last 2 decades, several point-of-care tests have been developed and were evaluated for dairy cows to detect ketones in urine (Carrier et al., 2004; Krogh et al., 2011), milk (Geishauser et al., 1998, 2000; Carrier et al., 2004; Krogh et al., 2011), and whole blood (Iwersen et al., 2009, 2013; Mahrt et al., 2014b). Cowside ketone tests for blood may be preferred because they are most close to the reference test for SCK based on BHBA concentrations in serum or plasma (Geishauser et al., 1998, 2000; Carrier et al., 2004; Iwersen et al., 2009, 2013). Only few studies, however, performed a direct comparison between different hand-held meters for detection of SCK (Iwersen et al., 2013).

To our knowledge, only venous or arterial blood samples or both have been evaluated for monitoring of ketosis using electronic hand-held devices. A disadvantage of this testing method is its more invasive sampling technique compared with milk- and urine-based systems. Additionally, in many countries (e.g., Germany, Switzerland, and the Netherlands), national legislation prohibits conventional blood sampling by laypersons (e.g., farmers). Capillary blood might be an alternative, as sampling is considered less invasive and easier to achieve compared with the conventional blood-sampling procedures. The permission of obtaining capillary blood by the farmer using a minimally invasive technique for diagnostic purposes is already in consideration by the authorities in Austria, for instance.

The primary objective of this study was to test whether capillary blood obtained from the skin of the exterior vulva by using a minimally invasive lancet technique is suitable to detect SCK in pre- and postpartum dairy cows. Secondary objectives were to test 3 different lancets for obtaining the capillary blood and to test 3 commercially available hand-held devices within the same experiment. The BHBA concentrations in coccygeal blood were analyzed in a laboratory to be used as reference value and assessed with the hand-held devices to distinguish between the effect of the device and the type of blood used for the cow-side testing.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Design**

The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee of the University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, and the national authority according to § 26 of the Law for Animal Experiments, Tiererversuchsge setz 2012 – TVG 2012 (GZ 68.205/0007-II/3b/2014) as well as by the Slovakian Regional Veterinary Food Administration (428/2014). The study was conducted on 4 sampling dates between March and April 2014 on a Slovakian dairy farm, keeping approximately 2,700 Holstein-Friesian cows and additional youngstock. Cows were housed in freestall barns with high bed cubicles. Rubber mats with dried slurry separator material were used as cubicle bedding. The average ECM yield (based on 4.0% butterfat and 3.4% protein) was 9,165 kg in 2013.

In total, 240 primi- and multiparous cows predominantly within the transition period were enrolled in this study. Each cow was only tested at one sampling date within the study period. At each of the 4 farm visits, samples of approximately 50 randomly selected animals in the fresh cow pen and of approximately 12 randomly selected cows in the close-up pen were taken.

The final data set used for the statistical analyses consisted of 34 (14.2%) animals in first lactation, 97 (40.4%) in second lactation, and 109 (45.4%) in third lactation.
or greater lactation. Considering the 240 enrolled animals, 49 (20.4%) were tested between 21 d and 12 h prepartum (median: 4 d prepartum) and 191 (79.6%) animals were sampled between 1 d and 29 d postpartum (median: 8 d postpartum).

Three electronic hand-held devices [FreeStyle Precision (FSP, Abbott GmbH & Co. KG, Wiesbaden, Germany), GlucoMen LX Plus (GLX, A. Menarini GmbH, Vienna, Austria), NovaVet (NOV, Nova Biomedical, Waltham, MA)] were used to analyze the BHBA concentration in capillary blood as well as in a whole-blood sample obtained from a coccygeal vessel. To obtain capillary blood, 3 different types of disposable lancets [Microtainer Contact-Activated Lancet (MT, Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ), SafetyLancets special (SL, Med Trust Handelsges.m.b.H., Marz, Austria), MiniCollect Safety Lancets (MC, Greiner Bio-One International AG, Kremsmünster, Austria)] were used. For sampling procedures, the skin of the exterior vulva was cleaned with a paper towel, disinfected, and then punctured using a minimally invasive lancet to obtain a single blood drop. The penetration depth was 2 mm for all types of lancets, with blade widths differing between 0.8 mm (SL) and 1.5 mm (MT and MC). If the obtained blood volume was insufficient for an accurate measurement with all 3 electronic hand-held devices, the bleeding was enforced by softly squeezing the skin of the exterior vulva. If this still was unsuccessful, another puncture approximately 1 cm lateral from the first incision was performed.

The BHBA concentration of the capillary blood drop was immediately analyzed using all 3 electronic devices in random order. After inserting the test strips into the hand-held devices, the front edges of the strips were dipped directly onto the drop of blood. The operating principle was similar for all 3 devices and has been described elsewhere (Iwersen et al., 2009). The amount of blood required for analyses ranges between 0.8 μL (GLX, NOV) and 1.5 μL (FSP). The coccygeal blood samples were obtained with vacuum tubes coated with a clot activator for serum collection (Vacuette, 9 mL, Greiner Bio-One GmbH). The samples were immediately tested with all 3 electronic devices in random order, too, by dipping the sensor of the strips onto the surface of the blood-filled tube. All sampling procedures per animal were completed within 5 min. After clotting, the serum tubes were centrifuged (10 min, 18°C, 2,200 × g), and serum was divided into 2 aliquots and stored at a temperature of −18°C until further analysis at the laboratory of the Central Clinical Pathology Unit (CCPU), University of Veterinary Medicine, Vienna, Austria. The concentration of BHBA in serum was analyzed in the laboratory using a colorimetric enzymatic reaction (Ranbut D-3-hydroxybutyrate; Randox Laboratories Ltd., Antrim, UK) with an automated wet chemistry analyzer (Cobas 6000/501c; Roche Diagnostics International AG, Rotkreuz, Switzerland) as described by Pichler et al. (2014). The determination of BHBA concentrations in serum at the CCPU was defined as the reference test in our study.

To evaluate the intraassay variability of the laboratory analyses, a subset of 20 aliquots of blood samples, taken from one cow, was randomly placed among the samples obtained from the study animals. The mean ± standard deviation (SD) BHBA concentration of those samples analyzed with the wet chemistry analyzer at the CCPU was 1.19 ± 0.01 mmol/L, resulting in a coefficient of variation of 1.13%.

Intra- and interassay coefficients of variations (CV) were furthermore calculated for each type of hand-held devices. For this, 3 blood samples with different BHBA concentrations based on FSP measurements with low (0.3 mmol/L), medium (1.3 mmol/L), and high (2.1 mmol/L) BHBA concentrations were tested 10 times with one device (intraassay) and additionally with 10 different devices of the same type (interassay). Analyzing low BHBA concentrations resulted in intraassay and interassay CV of >10% for all 3 devices, ranging from 12.4 to 19.7%. Analyzed medium and high BHBA concentrations revealed CV less than 10% for all devices, except interassay CV of 11.5% for medium BHBA concentrations determined by NOV. Average intraassay CV ranged between 7.9% (FSP) and 10.9% (NOV); average interassay CV ranged between 7.2% (FSP) and 13.1% (NOV).

**Statistical Analyses**

For statistical analyses SPSS Statistics for Windows (version 20.0; IBM Deutschland GmbH, Ehningen, Germany), MedCalc for Windows (version 12.4; MedCalc Software, Ostend, Belgium), and BiAS for Windows (version 10.06; Epsilon-Verlag, Darmstadt, Germany) were used. The level of significance for all statistical tests was set at P = 0.05. To compare the results of our study with others, traditional measures, Kendall’s tau (τ) and Spearman’s rho (ρ) correlation coefficients, were calculated for the BHBA concentrations analyzed in capillary or coccygeal blood for each hand-held device and their corresponding BHBA concentration.
The BHBA concentrations in serum exceeded the threshold of 0.7 mmol/L in 34.7% (n = 17) of the cows that were sampled prepartum (n = 49; median BHBA concentration: 0.66 mmol/L, minimum: 0.35 mmol/L, maximum: 1.75 mmol/L). Considering the samples taken postpartum (n = 191; median BHBA concentration: 0.66 mmol/L, minimum: 0.35 mmol/L, maximum: 1.75 mmol/L), the BHBA concentration in serum exceeded the threshold of 1.2 mmol/L in 16.2% (n = 31) and the threshold of 1.4 mmol/L in 8.9% (n = 17). Analyzing only animals within the first 2 wk of lactation (n = 149), prevalence of SCK remained at similar levels with 14.8 and 8.1%, respectively.

All lancets used in the study were eligible for capillary blood collection but differed in the total number of incisions needed (P = 0.047). Capillary blood could be obtained with first incision in 85% (n = 68) using the SL, 95% (n = 76) using the MT, and 96% (n = 77) using the MC lancet. An additional second (and third) incision had to be performed for the SL in 9 (3) cases, using the MT in 4 (0) and the MC in 2 (1) cases. No discomforting reactions of the animals could be observed related to the incision of the lancets.

In total, 480 blood samples (240 capillary and coccygeal samples, each) were analyzed with the hand-held devices. The Spearman’s correlation coefficient ρ (and Kendall’s τ) between the reference test and the BHBA concentrations analyzed in coccygeal blood using the hand-held devices were 0.95 (0.85) for the FSP, 0.85 (0.70) for the NOV, and 0.81 (0.67) for the GLX device (P < 0.01 for all devices and both parameters). Comparing the hand-held results of capillary blood with the reference test yielded in ρ (and τ) of 0.83 (0.67) for the FSP, 0.73 (0.58) for the NOV, and 0.62 (0.48) for the GLX device (P < 0.01 for all devices and both parameters). Further descriptive statistical parameters for the BHBA concentrations analyzed in coccygeal and capillary blood using the hand-held devices as well as the laboratory results are presented in Table 1. As shown in Figure 1, the median BHBA concentration of the samples was always smaller in coccygeal blood than in capillary blood, no matter which hand-held device was used.

As presented in the Bland-Altman plots (Figure 2) using coccygeal blood, the mean ± SD BHBA concentration analyzed with the reference test was overestimated (positive bias, P < 0.01) by 0.02 ± 0.12 mmol/L using the FSP, whereas NOV and GLX underestimated (P < 0.05) the mean ± SD BHBA concentration (negative bias) by 0.06 ± 0.17 and 0.10 ± 0.21 mmol/L, respectively. Using capillary blood, the FSP overestimated (P < 0.01) the mean ± SD BHBA concentration compared with the reference test by 0.08 ± 0.19, whereas NOV and GLX underestimated (P < 0.01) the mean ± SD BHBA concentration by 0.01 ± 0.43 and 0.07 ± 0.36, respectively.

Except for measurements in coccygeal blood using the NOV, the Cusum test detected no significant devia-
tion from linearity between the results of the reference test and the measurements of the hand-held devices. For measurements in capillary and coccygeal blood, Passing-Bablok regression detected significant proportional and systematic differences for the FSP and GLX devices compared with the reference method (Table 1).

### Table 1. Descriptive statistics of the BHBA concentration analyzed in coccygeal and capillary blood of 49 prepartum and 191 postpartum Holstein-Friesian cows predominately taken between 2 wk before and 4 wk after calving using 3 different hand-held devices as well as in serum analyzed at the laboratory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Serum,(^3) laboratory</th>
<th>Capillary blood(^4)</th>
<th>Coccygeal blood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of samples</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interquartile range (mmol/L)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Median: 4 d, minimum: 21 d, maximum: 12 h prepartum.

\(^2\)Median: 8 d, minimum: 1 d, maximum: 29 d postpartum.

\(^3\)Obtained from coccygeal blood (reference test).

\(^4\)FSP = FreeStyle Precision (Abbott GmbH and Co. KG, Wiesbaden, Germany); GLX = GlucoMen LX Plus (A. Menarini GmbH, Vienna, Austria); NOV = NovaVet (Nova Biomedical, Waltham, MA).

Figure 1. Differences between the BHBA concentrations in serum obtained from 49 prepartum and 191 postpartum Holstein-Friesian cows predominately taken between 2 wk before (median: 4 d, minimum: 21 d, maximum: 12 h prepartum) and 4 wk after calving (median: 8 d, minimum: 1 d, maximum: 29 d postpartum) analyzed at the laboratory (reference test) and the concentrations measured with 3 different hand-held devices either in whole blood obtained from a tail vessel or in capillary blood. The heavy black line inside each box marks the median (50th percentile); lower and upper hinges mark the 25th and 75th percentiles. Whiskers end at the smallest and largest statistical values that are not outliers; outliers and extreme values are designated by ○ and ◯. FreeStyle Precision (Abbott GmbH and Co. KG, Wiesbaden, Germany); NovaVet (Nova Biomedical, Waltham, MA); GlucoMen LX Plus (A. Menarini GmbH, Vienna, Austria).
Figure 2. Differences in BHBA concentrations measured with 3 different electronic hand-held devices in coccygeal (left) or capillary (right) blood and the reference test against their mean. Blood samples were obtained from 49 prepartum and 191 postpartum Holstein-Friesian cows and were predominately taken between 2 wk before (median: 4 d, minimum: 21 d, maximum: 12 h prepartum) and 4 wk after calving (median: 8 d, minimum: 1 d, maximum: 29 d postpartum). The solid line in the middle represents the mean; the solid upper and lower lines represent the mean ± 2 SD. FreeStyle Precision (Abbott GmbH and Co. KG, Wiesbaden, Germany); GlucoMen LX Plus (A. Menarini GmbH, Vienna, Austria); NovaVet (Nova Biomedical, Waltham, MA).
The results for the NOV for capillary blood were comparable with the reference test.

Analyses of ROC were performed using threshold BHBA concentrations (analyzed at the CCPU) of 1.2 and 1.4 mmol/L to define SCK to assess the best possible test characteristics for the various cow-side test options evaluated in this study. For GLX and NOV all optimized thresholds were lower compared with the reference value, whereas for the FSP, 3 of 4 evaluated thresholds were equal and 1 was lower (Table 3).

Except for the GLX used with capillary blood, overall accuracies of the hand-held devices were excellent (AUC-ROC ≥90%) for diagnosing SCK in capillary and coccygeal blood, compared with the reference test defining SCK at a BHBA concentration of 1.2 and 1.4 mmol/L in serum, respectively. Evaluated accuracies for the GLX used with capillary blood were classified as good (AUC-ROC between 80 and <90%). Sensitivities ranged between 80 and 100% for capillary blood and between 85 and 100% for coccygeal blood. Corresponding Sp ranged between 76 and 95% with capillary blood and between 83 and 98% when coccygeal blood was used. Results for the AUC-ROC were in all cases highly significantly different from 50% (area under the diagonal line, indicating that a test does not have the ability to distinguish between 2 diagnostic groups) and ranged between 87% (GLX) and 100% (FSP).

To our knowledge, this is the first study, evaluating the use of capillary blood for diagnosing SCK in dairy cows. Animals enrolled in this study were tested within the transition period, because of their greater risk for developing SCK compared with mid- and late-lactating cows. Further objectives were to compare different hand-held devices for analyzing BHBA concentrations in capillary as well as coccygeal blood. To evaluate whether deviations from the reference test were due to the hand-held devices or the origin of the samples, capillary as well as coccygeal blood were tested with all 3 devices and with the reference test.

The observed prevalence of SCK (BHBA ≥1.2 mmol/L) was at the lower range of previously reported prevalence of 16.1 to 55.7% (Geishauser et al., 1998; McArt et al., 2012; Suthar et al., 2013). Even considering only cows within the first 2 wk of lactation, the prevalence of SCK, based on serum BHBA concentrations of 1.2 and 1.4 mmol/L, was low with 14.8 and 8.1%, respectively. The reason for these low prevalences on this particular farm might be the farmers’ awareness for SCK, resulting in continuous improvement and implementation of good herd health-management procedures.

The differences in the total number of incisions needed with each lancet to obtain adequate amounts of

---

**Table 2.** Differences between the BHBA concentrations analyzed by 3 different hand-held devices and the laboratory results using the Bland-Altman analysis method and Passing-Bablok regression analysis in samples obtained from 49 prepartum and 191 postpartum Holstein-Friesian cows predominately taken between 2 wk before and 4 wk after calving.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>FSP</th>
<th>GLX</th>
<th>NOV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capillary blood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passing-Bablok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope (b)</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI95 for b</td>
<td>1.11 to 1.27</td>
<td>1.11 to 1.42</td>
<td>1.00 to 1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept (a)</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI95 for a</td>
<td>−0.14 to −0.01</td>
<td>−0.37 to −0.11</td>
<td>−0.18 to 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bland-Altman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias (d)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD of d</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coccygeal blood</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passing-Bablok</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope (b)</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI95 for b</td>
<td>1.18 to 1.25</td>
<td>1.03 to 1.19</td>
<td>0.98 to 1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept (a)</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI95 for a</td>
<td>−0.18 to −0.12</td>
<td>−0.22 to −0.08</td>
<td>−0.16 to −0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bland-Altman</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bias (d)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD of d</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1FSP = FreeStyle Precision (Abbott GmbH and Co. KG, Wiesbaden, Germany); GLX = GlucoMen LX Plus (A. Menarini GmbH, Vienna, Austria); NOV = NovaVet (Nova Biomedical, Waltham, MA).
2Median: 4 d, minimum: 21 d, maximum: 12 h prepartum.
3Median: 8 d, minimum: 1 d, maximum: 29 d postpartum.
4CI95 = 95% CI.
The worst result was determined for the lancet with the smallest blade width (SL); hence, sometimes no blood drop could be obtained. Because a minimal amount of incisions is striven for with regard to animal welfare, it is recommended to use lancets with blade widths of at least 1.5 mm. It should be noted that for this study, the blood drop obtained by one incision was used for all 3 hand-held meters, whereas in practice only one device will be used; that is, the amount of blood needed is smaller. Thus, squeezing may be less of a problem in practice than in our study.

All 3 electronic devices used in this study were already evaluated in previous studies (Iwersen et al., 2013; Mahrt et al., 2014b) with blood obtained from a tail vessel as test substrate. For the FSP, Iwersen et al. (2013) determined a $\rho = 0.94$ and a mean ± SD difference of +0.04 ± 0.15 mmol/L, and for the GLX device, a $\rho = 0.80$ and a mean ± SD difference of −0.12 ± 0.22, respectively, compared with the laboratory results. For the NOV, Mahrt et al. (2014b) reported a $\rho = 0.87$ and a mean ± SD difference of −0.07 ± 0.17. Considering coccygeal blood, the determined correlation coefficients and deviations were similar to those already reported. This indicates a good consistency in measurements of the electronic hand-held devices with varying farm conditions as prevalent in the different studies. The main intention of this study, however, was to test whether capillary blood was suitable for diagnosing SCK using electronic hand-held devices.

For the comparison with other studies, rank correlation coefficients were calculated. Kendall’s tau ($\tau$) is an eligible test that is less sensitive to error and discrepancies in the data sets, as well as being easier to interpret than Spearman’s rho ($\rho$). Spearman’s rho correlation coefficients are usually larger than $\tau$; hence, a direct comparison of these values is difficult, although the interpretations of both coefficients are often very similar. Because most of the published studies used $\rho$ (Voyvoda and Erdogan, 2010; Iwersen et al., 2013; Mahrt et al., 2014b), the discussion refers to this parameter.

Based on the classification by Taylor (1990), the determined $\rho$ for BHBA concentrations in coccygeal blood determined with the reference test and the hand-held devices were regarded as very strong ($\rho \geq 0.9$) for the FSP and strong ($\rho$ between 0.68 and 1.0) for the NOV and GLX. The $\rho$ for capillary blood were approximately 12 percentage points lower for the FSP and the NOV, and 18 percentage points lower for the GLX, but still indicating a strong (FSP and NOV) or moderate ($\rho$ between 0.36 to 0.67 for the GLX) association. The differences in the correlation coefficients may not only be caused by the type of blood sample but might also be influenced by the sampling procedure. According to the manufacturer’s manuals, it is important to avoid hemolysis of the blood sample because of its negative effect on the accuracy of the results. While obtaining capillary blood, it was sometimes necessary to squeeze the skin of the vulva to get an adequate amount of blood. According to the Clinical Laboratory Standards
Figure 3. Analyses of receiver operating characteristics for 3 different hand-held devices for diagnosis of ketosis in either coccygeal or capillary blood, using serum BHBA concentrations of 1.2 mmol/L (top) or 1.4 mmol/L (bottom) as the threshold for subclinical ketosis. Blood samples were obtained from 49 prepartum and 191 postpartum Holstein-Friesian cows and were predominately taken between 2 wk before and 4 wk after calving. FreeStyle Precision (Abbott GmbH and Co. KG, Wiesbaden, Germany); GlucoMen LX Plus (A. Menarini GmbH, Vienna, Austria); NovaVet (Nova Biomedical, Waltham, MA).
The BHBA concentrations in blood are influenced by several factors, such as type of fodder, feeding technique, and diurnal variation (Eicher et al., 1999; Nielsen et al., 2003; Mahrt et al., 2014a). A potential weakness of this study is the composition of the sample set, which was composed of samples collected from animals of one commercial farm with low prevalence of SCK. Further studies should include more farms with varying environmental conditions and prevalence of SCK to prove the external validity of the study results. Additionally, as on-farm tests requiring only a small amount of blood get more and more popular as diagnostic tools in veterinary practice, further knowledge is needed on the concentration of metabolites in capillary blood compared with venous or arterial blood concentrations. In this context, further studies are planned to evaluate the effect of squeezing the skin while collecting a blood drop on the analyzed BHBA concentrations and to further optimize the sampling procedures.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of this study demonstrate that capillary blood obtained by a minimally invasive technique was eligible to detect SCK using electronic hand-held devices. Even if the observed test characteristics were lower compared with coccygeal blood as test substrate, the results were still in a good range for an on-site test. Hence, this procedure could be recommended, especially for countries where farmers are not allowed to collect conventional blood samples. Based on AUC-ROC analyses, the FSP and NOV showed comparable results and were most eligible in detecting SCK in capillary blood. To avoid an unnecessary amount of punctures, lancets with blade widths of at least 1.5 mm should be used. Further studies on additional farms are needed to prove the external validity of the study results.
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