Effect of crofelemer extract on severity and consistency of experimentally induced enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli diarrhea in newborn Holstein calves
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ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of a standardized botanical extract of Croton lechleri, named crofelemer extract, on fecal dry matter and fecal scores on diarrheic newborn Holstein bull calves induced by enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli. A double-blinded randomized clinical trial was performed in which 60 newborn Holstein bull calves were clean caught and transported to an isolation facility where calves were individually housed and randomly assigned to 1 of 3 treatment groups: placebo (control), enteric-coated formulation of crofelemer extract (ECROF), and nonenteric-coated formulation of crofelemer extract (CROF). Diarrhea was induced at first feeding with an inoculum of the enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli (ATCC 31616) administered with a third of the recommended dose of a colostrum replacer. All calves enrolled in this study received treatments starting on the second feeding (diarrhea onset) and treatments were administered before feeding time (0600 and 1600 h) for 6 feedings consecutively. All calves in this study had failure of passive transfer. The only cause of death in this study was due to septicemia, accounting for 1 death out of each treatment group. All the calves were examined twice daily, within 2 h after feeding, from d 1 (prechallenge) until d 10, on d 15, and a last examination on d 25 of life. Five parameters were evaluated during each examination; rectal temperature, clinical assessment of dehydration status, fecal scores, attitude, and appetite. No differences were observed between treatment groups for rectal temperature, attitude, and appetite. Fecal dry matter was analyzed as prechallenge fecal dry matter, dry matter during treatment, and fecal dry matter after treatment cessation. No difference in prechallenge fecal dry matter was observed and prechallenge fecal dry matter was used as a covariate in the models. Fecal dry matter during treatment was significantly higher for ECROF calves when compared with control calves and CROF calves. Additionally, ECROF fecal dry matter after treatment cessation had a statistical tendency to be higher when compared with control calves. Together, these results suggest that enteric-coated formulation of the standardized crofelemer extract, a natural-product with antisecretory properties, can significantly increase fecal dry matter of neonatal calves with experimentally induced enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli diarrhea. More research is needed to test the efficacy of enteric-coated crofelemer on incidence and severity of secretory diarrhea on calves under natural challenge conditions.
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INTRODUCTION

Considerable economic losses may be incurred from neonatal diseases during calf rearing. In 2010, diarrhea (18%) and pneumonia (16%) were the most common disorders affecting preweaned heifers reported by the National Animal Health Monitoring System (USDA, 2011). Diarrhea is a multifactorial disease that can be caused by infectious and noninfectious factors (Walker et al., 1998; O’Handley et al., 1999). The risk factors known to be associated with diarrhea are management (environmental condition), nutritional state, immune status, and pathogen exposure (Klein-Jöbstl et al., 2014; Al Mawly et al., 2015). Enteropathogens, such as viruses, bacterial, and protozoa, are often identified as etiological agents in calf diarrhea. The most common enteropathogens described in the literature include Escherichia coli, Salmonella spp., Cryptosporidium, and rotaviruses (Moon et al., 1978; O’Handley et al., 1999; Gulliksen et al., 2009; da Silva Medeiros et al., 2015).

Enterotoxigenic E. coli and neonatal diarrhea have been extensively studied in the last 4 decades (Bywater and Logan, 1974; Radostits, 1975; Acres, 1985). Much has been done to prevent early life contamination with enteropathogens: minimal dam-calf contact, colostrum...
pasteurization, and disease-control practices (Moon and Bunn, 1993; Godden, 2008; Naylor, 2009). In spite of efforts controlling neonatal diarrhea, it still remains as a major concern in the beef and dairy industry, with serious effects on animal welfare and profitability (USDA, 2011; Hughes, 2013).

Many important aspects of prevention and treatment of diarrhea relate to *Escherichia coli*. Vaccination of the cows before parturition can effectively provide antibodies against strains of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC; Frantz et al., 1987); the vaccination can also prevent neonatal diarrhea (Nagy, 1980). Antibiotics have been used as treatment against diarrhea caused by *Escherichia coli* (Sunderland et al., 2003); however, the use of antibiotics is under scrutiny due to concerns of bacterial resistance that could affect human health. Alternative therapeutic treatments for diarrhea have become more common. Studies have been conducted testing the efficacy of organic and inorganic zinc, probiotics, and even bacteriophages targeting enteropathogens for treatment of diarrhea in calves (Muscato et al., 2002; Bicalho et al., 2012; Glover et al., 2013).

The Food and Drug Administration recently approved crofelem, a polyphenolic molecule isolated from the latex of the plant species *Croton lechleri* of the family Euphorbiaceae indicated for idiopathic human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-associated secretory diarrhea. Crofelem has been studied for its antisecretory actions that involve the inhibition of 2 distinct chloride channels on the luminal membrane of the intestine: chloride fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) and calcium-activated chloride channel (Tradtrantip et al., 2010). The CFTR is expressed at the apical membrane of enterocytes and plays an important role in the intestinal physiology. Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* secretes bacterial toxins that leads to the activation of CFTR and calcium-activated chloride channel, consequently leading to chloride secretion and intestinal fluid hyper secretion (Thiagarajah and Verkman, 2013).

To the best of our knowledge, the efficacy of crofelem extract as an antidiarrheal drug has not been previously evaluated in a neonatal bovine secretory diarrhea model. Here, we investigate the antisecretory potential of crofelem extract in newborn Holstein bull calves challenged with ETEC in the first day of life. The objective of our study was to evaluate the effect of 2 formulations of crofelem extract on diarrhea severity and consistency of experimentally induced diarrhea in newborn Holstein calves in the first 5 d of life. As a second objective, the overall health status and performance of the calves were evaluated until 25 d of life.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Experimental Design**

This experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Cornell University (Protocol number 2013–0075). Sample size was based on a fecal DM measured on a pilot study. Using a mean difference between groups of 1.4, a treatment group standard deviation of 1.2 and a placebo group standard deviation of 1.7, with a treatment group ratio of 1, assuming a desired type I error rate of 5%, and a power of 80%, a sample size of 18 calves per group was calculated. As 10% of mortality was anticipated, a total of 20 calves were enrolled in the study.

The study design was a double-blinded randomized clinical trial. Randomization was performed a priori to beginning of the trial using Excel (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA) random function to create a balanced number of calves per treatment group (n = 20 calves per group). Data collection blindness was simplified by treatment tablet similarities; tablets were manufactured to have same appearance (same color and size) and were inodorous. A total of 60 Holstein bull calves from one commercial dairy farm (King Ferry, NY) were enrolled in the study. Calves were clean caught at parturition (minimizing animal contact between dam and calf or calf and maternity bed) and transported within 2 h to an isolation facility for research animals at Cornell University. All the calves were enrolled in the study within 3 h after birth. Transportation and vehicle-cleaning procedure were performed according to the Animal Care and Use Procedure. Briefly, calves were transported using an adapted cargo van; calves were kept inside individual crates with proper ventilation and transported directly from the farm to the research facility. Cleaning and sanitization of the vehicle was performed 30 min before and immediately after transportation.

Calves were individually housed in 16-m² rooms with controlled temperature and humidity. Each isolation room had an individual inner-room containing all the necessary instrumentation for feeding, treatments, weighing, cleaning, and data collection. No equipment was shared between calves. Additionally, calves were unable to have any contact with other calves or outside areas. Cleaning and sanitation of bottles, nipples, and buckets used to hold milk (before and after each feeding), water (once daily), and calf starter (twice weekly) were manually performed by a 3-step cleaning procedure. The 3-step cleaning procedure consisted of rinsing all the equipment with lukewarm water, scrubbing a
mixture of hot water and alkaline detergent solution, and finally rinsing in chlorinated water.

Calves were fed antibiotic-free milk replacer (Nutrablend 22/20, Ranch-Way, Fort Collins, CO) by bottle on a 10% BW basis twice a day (0600 and 1800 h) during the first 3 d of life. Calves were gradually removed from bottles and encouraged to drink from the bucket. Water was available ad libitum from d 1 until the end of the study. All calves were kept in the study until 25 d of life with ad libitum access to calf starter (18% CP, DuMOR, Patterson, NY) starting on the seventh day of life.

**Escherichia coli Inoculum and Challenge**

All the calves were challenged using an enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) serotype O9:K35:K99 (ATCC 31616). The ETEC inoculum used in our study was prepared 2 wk previously to the beginning of the trial. Standard ETEC ATCC bacteria activation was performed using trypticase soy broth (Trypticase, Becton, Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ) to grow the bacteria for 8 h and then on Luria-Bertani agar (Difco LB Agar, Becton, Dickinson and Co.) for 18 h at 37°C. The bacteria was suspended in PBS with 10% dimethyl sulfoxide and stored in 10-mL aliquots at −70°C. The mean inoculum titer was $4 \times 10^{10}$ cfu/10 mL.

All the calves were challenged at the research facility within 6 h of life. A 1-L mixture of freshly prepared colostrum replacer containing 157 g of colostrum-replacer powder and approximately 35 g of IgG (Calf Colostrum Replacer, Land O Lakes, Arden Hills, MN) was mixed with 10 mL of thawed ETEC inoculum. The mixture was administered within 1 min after preparation for each calf via esophageal feeder. Feeders were used only once per calf and properly discarded (Oral Calf Feeder, Jorvet, Loveland, CO).

**Treatment Administration and Data Collection**

Calves were assigned into 1 of 3 treatment groups: control (CTR; n = 20), enteric-coated formulation of crofelemer extract (ECROF; n = 20), and nonenteric-coated formulation of crofelemer extract (CROF; n = 20). The treatments were administered before each meal for 3 d consecutively (total of 6 treatments per calf) starting on the first feeding after challenge.

Only 1 trained caretaker fed the animals during the whole study period, and only 1 member of the study group was responsible for the challenge, treatment administration, and data collection. Calves were weighed at birth and 10, 15, and 25 d of life using a portable scale. Blood samples were collected via jugular venipuncture using an 18-gauge by 3.8-cm needle in an 8-mL vacuum tube (Becton, Dickinson and Co.) without anticoagulant for serum. Blood samples were collected between the morning and afternoon feedings; the first blood sample (baseline) was taken immediately before challenge and 4 subsequent blood samples were taken daily.

Serum was harvested following centrifugation at $2,000 \times g$ for 15 min at 4°C. Serum IgG was measured using the second blood collection (second day of life) using a radial immunodiffusion assay according to kit instructions (Bethyl Laboratories Inc., Montgomery, TX). Total solids were evaluated in serum samples using an optical refractometer.

All the calves were examined twice daily, within 2 h after feeding, from d 1 (prechallenge) to 10, on d 15, and a last examination on d 25 of life. Five parameters were evaluated during each examination: rectal temperature, dehydration status, fecal scores, attitude, and appetite.

Dehydration status, attitude, and appetite scores were based on a numerical scale as follows. Dehydration status ranged from 0 = normal, eyes are bright and skin feels pliable; 1 = mild dehydration, slight loss of skin elasticity, skin tent <3 s, eyes not recessed into orbit; 2 = moderate dehydration, skin tent >3 s but <10 s, eyes slightly recessed into orbit; to 3 = severe dehydration, skin tent >10 s, eyes markedly recessed into orbit. Attitude ranged from 0 = alert, 1 = depressed, to 2 = non-responsive. Appetite ranged from 0 = normal, 1 = consuming less than half of the meal, 2 = consuming less than 25% of the meal, to 3 = not consuming. Fecal scores were a 5-point scale (Figure 1) based on fecal consistency. Fecal samples were also collected (20-mL plastic vials) during each clinical evaluation via digital stimulation on the calf’s rectum to evaluate percentage of DM of the feces. Fecal DM was determined as described by Bellosa et al., (2011). Briefly, 5 to 20 g of the sample was weighed on a precision digital scale (6202–1S, Sartorius, Elk Grove, IL) and then dried at 108°C for 24 h in an oven (model 10 Lab Oven, Quincy Lab, Chicago, IL) and reweighed immediately to determine the percent DM.

**Fluid Therapy**

As a rescue treatment, an oral electrolyte (Hydralyte, Lloyd Inc., Shenandoah, IA) was fed via bottle as an extra meal administered in between meal hours to calves that had a fecal score ≥3 and dehydration score ≥2. Intravenous fluid therapy using isotonic sodium bicarbonate was administered by jugular vein catheter (70 mL/kg per hour) if calves were unable to stand, presenting very weak or no suckle reflex, and dehydration score ≥2.
Statistical Analyses

A total of 24 examinations or samples were collected per calf in our study. For the continuous data collected on fecal DM and rectal temperature, calf samples were used as prechallenge (defined as the first examination or sample before the challenge), during treatment days (defined as the calf average data collected during 3 d of treatments; second to the seventh examination or sample), and after treatment cessation (defined as the calf average data collected after treatment cessation; eighth to the 24th examination or sample). Data on fecal DM and temperature was averaged within calf.

Statistical analyses were performed using JMP 10 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). An ANOVA was used to evaluate serum IgG. Blood samples from the second collection (postchallenge) were used to measure serum IgG. Intraassay and interassay coefficients of variation for IgG were 3.2 and 3.6%, respectively.

To evaluate the effect of treatment on the dichotomized clinical outcomes—diarrhea (fecal score ≥3), depression (attitude score ≥1), hypophagia (appetite score ≥1), dehydration (dehydration score ≥2), and oral electrolyte administration (yes or no)—Fisher’s exact test was used to compare the percentage of calves affected in each treatment group against control calves. Additionally, the number of events (diarrhea, depression, hypophagia, dehydration, and oral electrolyte administration) recorded during treatments days and after treatment cessation was evaluated using ANOVA comparing the treatment groups against the control group.

A mixed general linear (MGL) model was used to analyze the effect of treatment on rectal temperatures. Initial rectal temperature (prechallenge) was used as a covariate in the MGL models to evaluate rectal temperature. The MGL models were used to analyze the effect of treatment on ADG. Calves enrolled in the ECROF group had a numerically smaller average birth weight at enrollment when compare with calves on CTR and CROF groups (P = 0.14); for that reason, birth weight was used as a covariate in the MGL models to evaluate ADG. Average daily weight gain was calculated on d 10, 15, and 25 of the calves’ life by subtracting the birth weight from the weight at 10, 15, and 25 d of life; these variables were then used as the outcome variable for the MGL models.

A similar MGL model was used to analyze the effect of treatment on serum TS. The data on TS was measured at prechallenge (d 0) and d 1, 2, 3, and 4 of calves’ life. Prechallenge TS measurement was used as covariate in this model. To control for repeated measures, the animal identification number was added in the model as a random effect.

For all general linear mixed models, the assumption that the residuals were normally distributed was assessed by visually evaluating the distribution plot of the studentized residuals. Statistical significance was declared when P ≤ 0.05 and statistical tendencies were declare when 0.05 < P ≤ 0.10. Results are presented as least squares means and standard error of the mean.

RESULTS

Three calves, one in each group, were euthanized within 72 h of life due to signs of bacteremia. Euthanasia (penearting captive bolt) was carried out by trained personnel. All data collected from these calves were not
used in the analysis. Those calves were the only calves in the present study that needed i.v. fluid therapy and as a result, no i.v. data were used. All euthanized calves were submitted to the Animal Health Diagnostic Center (Cornell University) for a postmortem examination and sepsis was confirmed.

No statistical differences were observed for 24-h serum IgG (mg/mL) between treatment groups; 2.80 (±0.29), 3.00 (±0.28), and 2.85 (±0.27) for CTR, ECROF, and CROF groups, respectively (P = 0.87). Prechallenged fecal DM (Figure 2) was not significantly different between treatment groups: 21.41 (±1.63), 20.67 (±1.55), and 21.25% (±1.64) for CTR, ECRO, and CROF, respectively (P = 0.96). Average fecal DM was significantly higher for ECROF (15.45 ± 1.55%) calves during treatment days when compared with CTR calves (11.15 ± 1.63%) and CROF calves (11.16 ± 1.64%); however, no difference was observed between CROF and CTR calves (P = 0.96). The ECROF calves had a statistical tendency to have higher average fecal DM when compared with control calves (P = 0.08) following cessation of treatment. However, no significant difference in fecal DM after treatment cessation was observed between CROF and ECROF (P = 0.73) nor CROF and CTR (P = 0.16).

Serum harvested from 5 blood samples per calf were used to measure TS (Figure 3) using an optical refractometer. There were no baseline (d 0) differences in TS between treatment groups (P = 0.54). A statistical tendency was noted on d 1 collection for serum TS to be lower for calves in the ECROF group when compared with the CTR group (P = 0.06). No differences were found between treatment groups on d 2. Serum TS were significantly higher for CTR calves when compared with ECROF calves (P = 0.03) and when compared with CROF calves (P = 0.05) on d 3, but no differences were observed between ECROF and CROF groups. Additionally, serum TS were significantly higher on d 4 collection for calves in CTR group when compared with ECROF (P = 0.05) and CROF (P = 0.02).

Diarrhea incidence prechallenge was similar between treatment groups, at 5.6% for CTR, ECROF, and CROF (P = 1). During treatment days, calves in the control group had 68.4% diarrhea incidence, whereas
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calves in the CROF group had 84.2% ($P = 0.44$) and calves in the ECROF group had 57.9% ($P = 0.74$). Additionally, no differences were found between the mean duration of diarrhea between CTR, CROF, and ECROF. However, after treatment cessation, CTR calves had 57.9% incidence of diarrhea whereas only 15.8% of the calves in the ECROF group were diarrheic ($P = 0.007$); no differences were found between the CTR group and calves in the CROF group. Moreover, diarrhea duration was significantly lower for calves in the ECROF group when compared with calves in the CTR group ($P = 0.02$).

The percentage of calves with decreased appetite (hypophagia), and dehydration were not found to be significantly different between treatment groups at the prechallenge, during treatment days, or after treatment cessation between treatment groups (Table 1). Additionally, rectal temperature was not significantly different between treatment groups before challenge, at 38.9 ($\pm 0.07$), 38.8 ($\pm 0.07$), and 38.9°C ($\pm 0.07$) for CTR, ECROF, and CROF, respectively. No differences were found between treatment groups for calves’ rectal temperature during treatment days ($P = 0.90$) or after treatment ($P = 0.94$).

Calf birth weight (kg) was not significantly different between treatment groups, at 42.7 ($\pm 1.13$), 42.4 ($\pm 1.13$), and 40.2 ($\pm 1.10$) for CTR, ECROF, and CROF, respectively ($P = 0.14$; Table 2). At 10 d of life, a statistical tendency was observed, average daily gain was 177.4 ($\pm 43.9$), 285.4 ($\pm 41.7$), and 223.5 g/d ($\pm 43.9$) for CTR, ECROF, and CROF groups, respectively ($P = 0.08$). No statistical difference was observed between treatment groups at 15 d of life, ADG was 233.9 ($\pm 47.9$), 292.9 ($\pm 42.9$), and 254.0 g/d ($\pm 46.5$) for CTR, ECROF, and CROF groups, respectively ($P = 0.34$). At 25 d of life, ADG was 219.2 ($\pm 47.9$), 281.2 ($\pm 44.1$), and 257.4 g/d ($\pm 46.5$) for CTR, ECROF, and CROF groups, respectively ($P = 0.43$).

**DISCUSSION**

In the present study, 2 formulations of crofelemer extract were evaluated; an enteric-coated (ECROF) and a noncoated formulation (CROF). The ECROF formulation was significantly more effective in increasing fecal DM during treatment days when compared with CROF and placebo. Crofelemer has been studied for its anti-secretory properties; crofelemer molecules can inhibit active chloride channels of enterocytes (Tradtrantip et al., 2010). Crofelemer targets the enterocyte extracellular surface and, as such, an additional challenge is faced as the substance is susceptible to be washed away once in the lumen of the intestine due secreted fluid (Thiagarajah and Verkman, 2003). Tradtrantip et al.
It is important to highlight that the effect reported by our study, favoring the enteric-coated form and not the nonenteric-coated form, could be attributed to the enteric-coating process during the tablet formulation. Constable et al. (2005) reported that average abomasal pH was 3.22 during a 24-h interval on calves fed all milk-protein milk replacer twice daily, and that the minimum preprandial pH was 1.34 and maximum postprandial pH was 6.07. The protection from the acidity of the abomasum by the enteric-coating process could have led to a higher concentration of the active component of the treatment into the intestinal lumen.

Fecal scores were used to visually assess calves affected with diarrhea. No differences were observed between treatment groups for the period of treatment. However, after treatment cessation, calves in the enteric-coated group had lower incidence and lower number of diarrhea events. This finding is consistent with the data regarding oral electrolytes. Calves in the enteric-coated group had a tendency to receive lower oral fluid therapy during treatment days and after treatment cessation.

In the current study, secretory diarrhea was induced by ETEC, which can rapidly lead to a high state of dehydration and life-threatening conditions for newborn animals. As described by Hartmann et al. (1984) and Hartmann and Reder (1995), calves with watery diarrhea can lose up to 21% of its BW. However, in the present study, no differences were found between treatment groups when evaluating dehydration during days of treatment. Nevertheless, diarrheic calves with suckling reflexes and moderate dehydration were given oral fluid therapy. It could be possible that the quick detection of dehydration and subsequent fluid therapy (oral electrolyte administration) prevented the induced secretory diarrhea from causing severe dehydration.

Serum TS were used as a laboratory measurement of dehydration. In cases of secretory diarrhea, water moves from the circulatory system into the intestinal lumen, concentrating the solid components of blood, leading to a higher TS concentration and higher reading by the optical refractometer. In the present study, control calves had a strong statistical tendency to have higher TS readings during second day of treatment when compared with enteric-coated formulation of crofelemer extract treated calves. Moreover, after treatment cessation, control calves had higher serum TS reading when compared with crofelemer-treated calves. Laboratory evaluation of blood components can be used to measure dehydration status by the analyses of TS, packed cell volume, creatinine, and urea. However, considerable variation on blood components could be observed when

### Table 1. Effects of treatment on diarrhea, dehydration, calves’ attitude (depression) and appetite (hypophagia), and oral electrolyte administration at prechallenge, during treatment days (3 d consecutively), and after treatment cessation until 25 d of life.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Prechallenge</th>
<th>During treatment</th>
<th>After treatment cessation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incidence</td>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>No. of events</td>
<td>P-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>CROF 0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ECROF 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTR 0 Ref</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CROF 0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ECROF 0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTR 0 Ref</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>CROF 0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ECROF 0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTR 0 Ref</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypophagia</td>
<td>CROF 0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ECROF 0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTR 0 Ref</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral electrolytes</td>
<td>CROF 0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ECROF 0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTR 0 Ref</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Prechallenge data were collected once per calf. Appetite and oral electrolyte administration measurements were not applicable. Control group was used as reference (Ref.) level for statistical comparisons. Data are presented as incidence (percentage of calves affected) and number of events (reported as mean and SEM).

2. CROF = nonenteric-coated crofelemer group; ECROF = enteric-coated crofelemer group; CTR = control group.
However, due to the lack of calf starter consumption data, nary, and insensible, respectively (Phillips et al., 1971). 1.4, 16.1, and 12.5% of water loss was due to fecal, urinary, and insensible, respectively (Phillips et al., 1971). However, due to the lack of calf starter consumption data, little can be concluded from the data presented for the ADG calculated for the entire study period.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Newborn diarrhea was induced using ETEC. Diarrheic calves were treated with 2 forms of crofelemer extract (enteric- and nonenteric-coated) administered twice a day for 3 d consecutively and compared with control calves (placebo). Results herein presented demonstrated that enteric-coated crofelemer extract, a natural product with antisecretory properties, can significantly increase fecal DM on diarrheic neonatal calves. More research is needed to test the efficacy of enteric-coated crofelemer on incidence and severity of secretory diarrhea on diarrheic calves under natural challenge conditions.
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Table 2. Calf birth weight (kg) and the effect of treatment on ADG (g/d) at 10, 15, and 25 d of life.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>CTR</th>
<th>ECROF</th>
<th>CROF</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight (kg)</td>
<td>42.7 (1.13)</td>
<td>42.4 (1.13)</td>
<td>40.2 (1.10)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-d ADG</td>
<td>177.4 (43.9)</td>
<td>285.4 (41.7)</td>
<td>223.5 (43.9)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-d ADG</td>
<td>233.9 (47.9)</td>
<td>292.9 (42.9)</td>
<td>254.0 (46.5)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-d ADG</td>
<td>219.2 (47.9)</td>
<td>281.2 (44.1)</td>
<td>257.4 (46.5)</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as means and SEM.

CTR = control group; ECROF = enteric-coated crofelemer group; CROF = nonenteric-coated crofelemer group.

ADG calculated at each time point (g/d).


