The effect of sampling technique on PCR-based bacteriological results of bovine milk samples
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ABSTRACT

The aim of the study was to evaluate the effect of sampling technique on the microbiological results of bovine milk samples using multiplex real-time PCR. Comparison was made between a technique where the milk sample was taken directly from the udder cistern of the udder quarter using a needle and vacuum tube and conventional sampling. The effect of different cycle threshold (Ct) cutoff limits on the results was also tested to estimate the amount of amplified DNA in the samples. A total of 113 quarters from 53 cows were tested pairwise using both techniques, and each sample was studied with real-time PCR. Sampling from the udder cistern reduced the number of species per sample compared with conventional sampling. In conventional samples, the number of positive Staphylococcus spp. results was over twice that of samples taken with the needle technique, indicating that most of the Staphylococcus spp. originated from the teat or environmental sources. The Ct values also showed that Staphylococcus spp. were present in most samples only in low numbers. Routine use of multiplex real-time PCR in mastitis diagnostics could benefit from critical evaluation of positive Staphylococcus spp. results with Ct values between 34.0 and 37.0. Our results emphasize the importance of a careful aseptic milk sampling technique and a microbiologically positive result for a milk sample should not be automatically interpreted as an intramammary infection or mastitis.
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INTRODUCTION

Fast and reliable knowledge about the causative agents of bovine mastitis is crucial for mastitis management on modern dairy farms. Certain DNA-based molecular methods, such as multiplex real-time PCR, are increasingly replacing conventional culture in mastitis diagnostics. Commercial PCR assays are available for detecting mastitis causing bacteria from quarter milk, cow composite milk, and bulk tank milk samples. Real-time PCR amplifies specific sections of bacterial DNA using predesigned oligonucleotides, and the results are given as cycle threshold (Ct) values (Koskinen et al., 2009). Cycle threshold values reflect the amount of amplified DNA, and the fewer cycles needed to reach the predefined threshold value the more DNA of the respective bacterial species is in the sample. Real-time PCR has been reported to be a sensitive and accurate diagnostic method for detecting pathogens in bovine milk samples (Koskinen et al., 2010; Spittel and Hoedemaker, 2012; Keane et al., 2013). Polymerase chain reaction technology has also been shown to provide a microbiological diagnosis for 43 to 76% of mastitic milk samples that have been tested negative when using conventional bacteriological culture (Taponen et al., 2009; Koskinen et al., 2010; Bexiga et al., 2011a).

When compared with conventional bacterial culturing, PCR diagnostics of milk samples has raised some concerns. Whereas in conventional culturing the laboratory personnel examines and interprets bacterial growth on the agar and can often identify and ignore particular colonies as likely being contaminants, in PCR diagnostics all target bacterial species detected under the set Ct cutoff value are reported. In conventional culturing, where 3 or more bacterial species are cultured from a single sample, the sample is considered to be contaminated (National Mastitis Council, 2004). Using PCR diagnostics, however, no guidelines exist on how to report multispecies results. To date, multispecies results are not excluded, yet procedures may vary across laboratories. The viability of the organisms is not known because PCR also detects DNA from dead or growth-inhibited bacteria in the milk sample. Interpretation of PCR results with low amounts of DNA, or with several species, is challenging and may not always
fit with clinical signs of the cow. Concerns over possible false positive diagnoses, for example, leading to unnecessary use of antibiotic treatments, have emerged among veterinarians and food safety authorities in Finland.

The importance of proper aseptic milk sampling technique is well known, but milk samples taken in barn conditions are prone to contamination from environmental sources. Milk samples are drawn through the teat orifice and duct, which can be contaminated by environmental microbes residing in the site. These microbes can then appear in the milk sample. Sampling techniques that bypass the teat orifice and duct have been introduced for research purposes (Black et al., 1972; Honkanen-Buzalski and Bramley, 1984; Bexiga et al., 2011b). A cannula technique significantly decreased the number of samples positive for Corynebacterium spp., which are known to reside in the teat canal (Bexiga et al., 2011b).

Our hypothesis was that avoiding the teat orifice and duct completely would reduce the number of clinically irrelevant potential contaminants in the milk sample. The aim of the current study was to evaluate the effect of the sampling technique on the microbiological results of bovine milk samples using real-time PCR. For this purpose, a technique where the milk sample was taken directly from the udder cistern of the udder quarter using a needle fitted to a vacuum tube was compared with conventional sampling. The effect of different Ct cutoff limits on the results was also tested.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cows**

The sampling was carried out on 2 large dairy farms in Estonia in November 2012 (herd 1: 420 cows) and June 2013 (herd 2: 700 cows). The farms belonged to the practice area of the Large Animal Clinic of the Estonian University of Life Sciences. Both barns were tiestalls with concrete floors and straw bedding. The average milk yield of herd 1 was 9,200 kg and that of herd 2 was 9,650 kg.

Dairy Herd Improvement samples were taken within a month before sampling. Cows with composite milk SCC ≥200 000 cells/mL in the DH1 results were preliminarily enrolled in the study, but extremely dirty or nervous cows were excluded to avoid excessive risk of sample contamination. California Mastitis Test (CMT) was performed for each preselected cow, and those with a CMT score ≥3 on a scale of 1 to 5 in at least 1 quarter were included.

A total of 53 Holstein cows met the criteria for enrolment and were sampled: 21 cows in herd one and 32 cows in herd 2, a total of 113 quarters. All 4 quarters were sampled from 6 cows, 3 quarters from 10 cows, 2 from 22 cows, and 1 from 15 cows. Twenty-two quarters with a CMT score of 1 from 21 enrolled cows were also sampled to represent nonmastitic quarters. Average parity of the cows was 3 (median = 3, range = 1–8), and most of the cows (70%) had calved during the winter months, from October to March. The median milk yield of the tested cows was 28.0 kg/d (minimum = 13.0 kg, maximum = 42.0 kg) in herd 1 and 27.2 kg/d (minimum = 13.5 kg, maximum = 39.0 kg) in herd 2. Milk yield data are from the month when the sampling took place. We designed the sampling protocol and took all the samples. The experiment was approved by the Commission of Animal Trials at the Estonian Ministry of Agriculture (No 7.2–11/1).

**Milk Sampling Protocol**

Cows were sampled within 2 h after the morning milking. Prior to sampling, the cows were sedated with an intravenous dose of xylazine (0.02 mg/kg, Rompun 20 mg/mL, Bayer Animal Health GmbH, Leverkusen, Germany). Xylazine is known to reduce milk ejection reflex (Bruckmaier and Blum, 1998), and therefore 0.5 mL of oxytocin (Vetox 10 IU/mL, Vetcare Oy, Salo, Finland) was simultaneously administered intravenously to induce milk ejection. Visibly dirty udders were cleaned with a moist towel before sampling and foremilk was manually stripped from the quarters before CMT.

A conventional milk sample (technique A) was taken according to the routine protocol (Hogan et al., 1999): the teat end was wiped with cotton moistened in 70% ethanol until visibly clean and 10 mL of milk was collected in a plastic milk vial (Linkoputki 16 × 100 mm Plastone, Mekalasi, Finland) without preservatives. The sampler wore disposable gloves. A second sample (technique B) was taken from the same quarter immediately after the first sample, using a needle fitted to a vacuum tube, directly from the udder cistern (Sinus lactifer, pars glandularis; Schaller, 1992). The site of puncture was chosen randomly with the easiest possible puncture line, avoiding visible veins (Figure 1). The udder skin at the puncture site was scrubbed with cotton moistened in 70% ethanol until visibly clean. Wearing a new pair of disposable plastic gloves, a 20-gauge double-ended needle (Venoject Multi-Sample, Terumo Europe N.V., Leuven, Belgium) was inserted through the udder skin into the udder cistern and milk was drawn into a 9-mL vacuum tube without preservatives (Vacuette Tube Z, Greiner Bio-One, Monroe, NC).

A total of 113 quarters were sampled using both techniques, for a total of 226 milk samples. Samples were cooled immediately and transported in cooler.
boxes to the laboratory of the Department of Production Animal Medicine (Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Helsinki) within 8 h and thereafter stored in a refrigerator at 6°C. The following day, milk from all samples was aseptically drawn into 2.5-mL aliquots (Vacuette Tube Z, 4 mL) and stored at −20°C for PCR analysis. Remaining aliquots were stored in similar manner for later use. Milk SCC was determined with a cell counter (DCC, DeLaval Cell Counter, DeLaval Inc., Tumba, Sweden) from the samples taken using technique A.

Real-Time PCR

Frozen samples were thawed and analyzed within a month from the sampling, using real-time PCR in the laboratory of Thermo Fisher Scientific Ltd. (Vantaa, Finland). A Thermo Scientific PathoProof Complete-16 kit was used, which contained oligonucleotides for the staphylococcal β-lactamase gene (blaZ) and for microbial species or groups of species: *Corynebacterium bovis*, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Enterococcus faecium*, *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella oxytoca* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Mycoplasma bovis*, *Mycoplasma* spp., *Proteus* spp., *Serratia marcescens*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus* spp., *Streptococcus agalactiae*, *Streptococcus dysgalactiae*, *Streptococcus uberis*, *Trueperella pyogenes* and *Peptoniphilus indolicus*, and yeasts. The milk sample volume for DNA extraction was 350 μL, which was concentrated to 100 μL in the elution step of the extraction protocol. Twenty microliters of extracted DNA was used in PCR. *Staphylococcus* spp. (nonaureus staphylococci), *Enterobacter* spp., and *Klebsiella* spp. were identified to group levels. Cycle threshold values were measured up to 40.0 for each species or group and the blaZ gene reported to an accuracy of one decimal place. Cycle threshold values correlate with genome copy numbers as genomic copy number = 10(ΔCt − x)/y, where x = slope of a standard curve and y = intercept of a standard curve. Consequently, the lower the Ct value the higher the amount of the amplified pathogen. However, the formula does not take into account possible differences in target gene or DNA fragment copy numbers within a genome. In routine use of the test, a Ct cutoff of 37.0 is used (Koskinen et al., 2009).

Statistical Methods

The effect of the sampling technique (A vs. B) on the number of different species or groups of species in the sample was compared pairwise applying Fisher’s *t*-test. The comparison was carried out for 3 Ct limits: 34.0, 37.0, and 40.0. The limits were chosen because Ct 40.0 represents the maximum detection limit and Ct 37.0 is the routine cutoff limit of PathoProof Mastitis Assay. A Ct limit of 34.0 should show a similar decline in the amount of amplified DNA from Ct 37.0 as the decline from Ct 40.0 to 37.0. An approximately 3.3 cycle difference in Ct values translates to a ~10-fold difference in the concentration of the target DNA.

The difference in the amount of detected DNA by the 2 techniques was tested separately for the most frequently detected species, *C. bovis* and *Staphylococcus* spp., using all 3 Ct cutoff limits. Results of the paired samples were tested with Fisher’s *t*-test for paired samples using 3 different Ct cutoff limits: 34.0, 37.0, and 40.0. Agreement between the 2 sampling techniques in detecting *Staphylococcus* spp. or *C. bovis* was compared using a κ-coefficient. Correlation for Ct values positive for *Staphylococcus* spp. and *C. bovis* using both sampling techniques were calculated with Spearman’s correlation coefficient. All results with *P* < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed using SPSS (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 21.0.; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

RESULTS

Cows and Milk SCC

The total number of sampled quarters was 113, of which 49 (43.4%) were forequarters and 64 (56.6%) were hindquarters. The mean SCC for all quarter milk samples was 1,748,000 cells/mL (median = 901,000 cells/mL, range = 32,000–5,722,000 cells/mL); 53
(46.9%) quarter samples had SCC >1,000,000 cells/mL. Sixteen (14.2%) samples originated from quarters with SCC <100,000 cells/mL, which were considered healthy (International Dairy Federation, 2013). In 4 samples milk SCC could not be determined using DCC due to clots in the milk. In these samples milk was visibly changed, and thus they were included in the study as samples with SCC >100,000 cells/mL.

Number of Bacterial Species per Sample

For both sampling methods the numbers of different species or groups of species detected per sample using 3 different Ct limits (34.0, 37.0, and 40.0) are presented in Figure 2. The average number of microbial species detected per sample was significantly higher for samples taken using technique A compared with samples taken using technique B for all 3 Ct limits (P < 0.01 for all). One single species per sample was detected in 31 (27.4%) of samples using technique A and in 55 (48.7%) samples taken using technique B (Figure 2) using the maximum detection Ct limit of 40.0. The number of negative samples [i.e., in which no DNA of any target species (Ct 40.0) was detected] was 26/113 (23.0%, technique A) and 34/113 (30.1%, technique B). Sixteen samples taken using technique A were positive for 3 or more species using Ct 40.0, 10 samples with Ct 37.0, and 3 samples with Ct 34.0. Among samples taken using technique B, the figures were 3, 1, and 0 (Figure 2) for Ct 40.0, 37.0, and 34.0, respectively.

All microbial species detected in the quarter milk samples are shown in Figure 3 (technique A) and Figure 4 (technique B). Staphylococcus spp. were most prevalent in samples taken using technique A (58.4%), followed by C. bovis (46.9%, Ct 40.0; Figure 3). In samples taken using technique B, C. bovis was the most frequent species (41.6%), followed by Staphylococcus spp. (23.9%, Ct 40.0, Figure 4). All tested samples were negative for Serratia marcescens, Mycoplasma bovis, and Klebsiella spp. Of the 16 quarters with milk SCC <100,000 cells/mL, 11 (Ct 40.0), 9 (Ct 37.0), and 3 (Ct 34.0) were positive for Staphylococcus spp. Eight samples for C. bovis were negative with every Ct limit and 3 samples for yeasts found only with Ct 40.0 when sampled using technique A. Using technique B, 3 (Ct limit 40.0) and 2 (Ct limit 37.0) were positive for Staphylococcus spp., and 8 (Ct limits 40.0 and 37.0) and 4 (Ct limit 34.0) were positive for C. bovis (Figure 4).

Results for Staphylococcus spp. and C. bovis

The number of results positive for Staphylococcus spp. using sampling technique A was more than twice that for all 3 Ct limits compared with results using sampling technique B (Figure 5). Lowering the Ct limit from 40.0 and 37.0 to 34.0 for both techniques decreased the number of positive Staphylococcus spp. detections by over 50% (Figure 5). Only 17 samples were positive for Staphylococcus spp. with both techniques, and the agreement between sampling techniques A and B tested with a κ-coefficient was 0.28 (Ct 40.0, P < 0.01), indicating poor agreement between techniques. The mean Ct values for Staphylococcus spp. detections were slightly lower for samples taken using technique A.

Figure 2. The number of different microbial species or groups of species per sample detected using real-time PCR in 113 quarter milk samples collected using conventional milk sampling (technique A) and in 113 experimental needle samplings (technique B). Results are presented with 3 different cycle threshold (Ct) cutoff values of 40.0, 37.0 and 34.0 (x-axis). The Ct cutoff limit of 37.0 is the current limit in routine use.
A (mean Ct values = 30.5, 29.7, and 27.3) than using technique B (mean Ct values = 32.0, 30.5, and 28.5) with Ct cutoff limits of 40.0 ($P = 0.01$) and 34.0 ($P = 0.03$). With a Ct limit of 37.0, the difference was not statistically significant ($P = 0.08$). Cycle threshold values for positive *Staphylococcus* spp. detections using both techniques correlated well: Spearman correlation coefficients were 0.91 (Ct 40.0, n = 17), 0.93 (Ct 37.0, n = 14) and 0.86 (Ct 34.0, n = 10; $P < 0.05$ for all results).

*Corynebacterium bovis* was detected in 53 (Ct 40.0), 52 (Ct 37.0), and 50 (Ct 34.0) samples of the total 113 samples taken using technique A. In samples collected using technique B, the corresponding numbers were 47, 43, and 27 (Figure 5). The number of samples that tested positive for *C. bovis* was 46 (Ct 40.0) for both techniques, and the agreement between sampling techniques using $\kappa$ was 0.86 ($P < 0.01$), which indicates excellent agreement. Mean Ct values for *C. bovis* detections were lower in samples taken using technique A (28.9, 29.0, and 28.6) than in samples using technique B (33.5, 33.1, and 31.7) for all Ct cutoff limits ($P < 0.01$). Cycle threshold values for positive *C. bovis* samples using both methods (n = 46) did not correlate with any Ct limit tested.

**DISCUSSION**

Samples collected directly from the udder cistern had a lower number of different species identified per sample compared with conventional sampling via the teat canal. The result shows that a large proportion of the microbes in bovine milk samples may originate from the teat skin, teat orifice, or teat canal. Some microbes may also be of environmental origin and enter the samples during sampling. Some of the microbial DNA detected in the milk samples could thus be considered irrelevant regarding the microbiological diagnosis of IMI.

Consequently, cutoff limits of 40.0 and 37.0 yielded very similar results, but lowering the limit to 34.0
Figure 4. Bacteriological results using multiplex real-time PCR for 113 samples taken using the experimental milk sampling (technique B). Each sample result is on one vertical line. Empty lines are negative samples. Samples are arranged in ascending order from left to right according to milk SCC results (range = 32,000–5,722,000 cells/mL). S. dysgalactiae = Streptococcus dysgalactiae; C. bovis = Corynebacterium bovis; S. uberis = Streptococcus uberis; E. coli = Escherichia coli; T. pyogenes = Trueperella pyogenes; P. indolicus = Peptoniphilus indolicus; S. aureus = Staphylococcus aureus.

Figure 5. The number of samples positive for Corynebacterium bovis and Staphylococcus spp. (nonaureus staphylococci) taken using the conventional milk sampling technique (A) and using the experimental needle technique (B). Results are presented for 3 different cycle threshold (Ct) cutoff limits (40.0, 37.0, and 34.0).
significantly increased the number of negative results and number of samples containing only 1 or 2 different microbial species. The semiquantitative feature of the multiplex real-time PCR enables evaluation of the amount of amplified DNA in the sample: low Ct values indicate large amounts of amplified target DNA and vice versa. The Ct cutoff limit in routine use in Finland is 37.0 for all target species included in the test panel and all findings under this set cutoff are reported, despite the pathogenicity of the microbe.

The most significant difference between the 2 sampling techniques was for *Staphylococcus* spp. (nonaureus staphylococci), where the number of positive samples identified using conventional milk sampling was more than twice that for technique B (where the teat orifice and canal were completely bypassed). According to the definition of IMI being an infection of the mammary gland (International Dairy Federation, 2011), most of the positive *Staphylococcus* spp. findings reported here were not related to IMI because the bacteria were not present in the milk compartment. The results are in line with previous reports, as the origin of many common staphylococcal species in bovine milk samples has been suggested to be extramammary sites, including the teat apex for *Staphylococcus chromogenes* and *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* (De Vliegher et al., 2003; Vanderhaegen et al., 2015) and teat skin or hands of the milker for *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (Thorberg et al., 2006; Taponen et al., 2008). Braem et al. (2013) reported a diverse microbiota on the teat apices of healthy lactating dairy cows, with CNS found on almost every teat apex sampled. Accordingly, in a study using 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis, species from the *Staphylococcus* family were the most common group of species detected in swab samples from teat canals of dairy cows (Gill et al. 2006). *Staphylococcus* spp., analyzed using pyrosequencing of metagenomic 16S rRNA, were also prevalent in milk samples from healthy quarters (Oikonomou et al., 2012). In our previous study, in which results from routine mastitic milk samples analyzed using real-time PCR were compared with conventional culture, more than 50% of samples positive for *Staphylococcus* spp. using PCR may not have been reported as IMI using bacteriological culture because of their limited (< 5 cfu/0.01 mL of milk) bacterial growth on the plate (Hiitiö et al., 2015). No agreed consensus is available regarding the reporting cutoff for number of colony-forming units per 0.01 mL of milk for *Staphylococcus* spp. IMI, despite some suggestions (Dohoo et al., 2011).

Lowering of the Ct cutoff also had the greatest effect on the number of positive values for *Staphylococcus* spp. The amount of amplified DNA of *Staphylococcus* spp. was usually low, but if *Staphylococcus* spp. was detected in the sample using both sampling techniques, Ct values were below 33.8 and usually under 30.0, indicating moderate or high amounts of amplified DNA.

In the present study values below the Ct cutoff 34.0 could indicate a true IMI when detected with both techniques. On the other hand, based on culture alone, evidence of cyclic shedding of bacteria exists in persisting CNS IMI (Aarestrup and Jensen, 1997; Taponen et al., 2006; Taponen et al., 2007), where the amount of CNS shed into milk varies according to stage of lactation. Based on a single bacteriological milk sample taken using the conventional technique, it is difficult to distinguish whether a positive result represents contamination or emerging, persistent or resolved IMI. Given the minor status of *Staphylococcus* spp. as mammary pathogens, and based on the results presented here, it might be appropriate to advise users to interpret with caution positive *Staphylococcus* spp. results with Ct values between 34.0 and 37.0. This would decrease the risk of unnecessary measures such as antibiotic treatments, which should be limited to true cases of IMI.

In samples taken using the needle technique, the most prevalent species was *C. bovis*. Surprisingly, we detected *C. bovis* in almost equal numbers in samples taken from the udder cistern and in conventionally taken samples. This indicates that *C. bovis* was present also in the upper regions of the udder, in contrast to findings from previous studies (Black et al., 1972; Honkanen-Buzalski and Bramley, 1984). Black et al. (1972) cultured large numbers of *C. bovis* from the teat canal, fewer from the Fürstenberg’s rosette, and least from the teat cistern. Bexiga et al. (2011b) found fewer *Corynebacterium* spp. in bacteriological culture when the teat canal was bypassed and the sample taken via the teat with a cannula (Bexiga et al., 2011b), supporting the view that *C. bovis* is a colonizer of the teat canal. To the contrary, Gill et al. (2006) recovered *C. bovis* in milk samples using conventional culturing, but not in swab samples from the teat canals using molecular methods. In our study, *C. bovis* was detected in the upper parts of the udder, but the amount of *C. bovis* DNA amplified was higher in the conventionally taken samples than in the needle samples, which may mean that this species thrives best in the teat. Among IMI-causing organisms, *C. bovis*, in particular, but also *Staphylococcus* spp. are considered minor species. Surprisingly, in our study *C. bovis* was present both in the conventional samples and in samples from the milk compartment of the same udder quarter more often than *Staphylococcus* spp., indicating invasion of *C. bovis* to the upper parts of the udder (Figure 5). Lowering the Ct limit from 40.0 to 34.0 did not affect the number of samples positive for *C. bovis* as much as it did for *Staphylococcus* spp. Therefore, we found no need to adjust the cutoff for *C. bovis*. The IMI caused by *C. bovis* increases milk
that an adequate aseptic technique for PCR testing can be achieved using the conventional sampling method, although the rate of multispecies samples is naturally higher with the more sensitive test than in studies where conventional bacteriological culture has been used (Österås et al., 2006; Bradley et al., 2007; Koivula et al., 2007; Persson Waller et al., 2009). The procedure for contamination (i.e., to discard samples with ≥3 different species) could be used for interpreting PCR results. An exception could be a sample with a maximum of 3 species where 1 is reported as the dominant species representing >90 or >99% of the DNA detected. Some of the samples taken with the needle method contained blood (pinkish color), but only 1 sample was clearly red. The small amount of blood would probably not affect the results, as the samples were cooled immediately and samples were analyzed with the PCR test. The needle sampling (technique B) described here significantly reduced multispecies samples, but is invasive and not suited to routine use.

Cows included in study had elevated milk SCC at least in one quarter, and the majority of the tested quarters had SCC >100,000 cells/mL. This reflects the common situation on farms, where only cows with suspected IMI are sampled, mostly based on elevated milk SCC. Sampling more healthy quarters could have increased our knowledge on sample contamination or species residing only in teat duct or orifice, but unfortunately the number of samples in the study was limited. Our study design lacked proof of how the order of taking the conventional sample first would affect the outcome, but in the study by Bexiga et al. (2011b), where one milk sample was collected with conventional aseptic technique and the second with a cannula, no difference was seen due to the sampling order.

The bovine mammary gland is an open system and bacteria can ascend to the milk compartment from the teat. Microbes can be detected also from quarters with a low SCC, in particular using sensitive molecular methods such as real-time PCR. It must be emphasized that detecting bacteria in a milk sample does not automatically indicate an IMI. At least for clinical purposes, the microbiological results should be used only as a part of the diagnosis, together with knowledge on clinical signs and history of the cow, changes in milk appearance and elevated concentrations of indicators of inflammation, such as SCC.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Our results confirm the hypothesis that completely avoiding teat orifice and duct reduces the number of clinically irrelevant findings in the milk sample, as samples taken with an experimental needle technique (B) contained fewer microbial species per sample and
more negative samples than samples taken using the conventional aseptic milk sampling technique (A). Using technique A, the number of positive *Staphylococcus* spp. records was over twice that for technique B. This indicates that a large proportion of *Staphylococcus* spp. detected in the milk samples could originate from the teat or extramammary sites. Based on our results, *Staphylococcus* spp. may reside only in the teat more often than *C. bovis*, which was recovered almost as often in the udder cistern samples than in the conventional samples. Cycle threshold cutoff limits of 40.0 and 37.0 yielded very similar results, but lowering the Ct cutoff limit to 34.0 mostly affected the number of negative samples and number of samples containing only low amounts of DNA. In routine use of a multiplex real-time PCR assay in mastitis diagnostics, positive *Staphylococcus* spp. results with Ct values between 34.0 and 37.0 should be interpreted with caution. Our results emphasize the importance of a proper aseptic milk sampling technique and indicate that a microbiologically positive result for a milk sample should not be automatically interpreted as an IMI or mastitis.
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