Short Communication: Effect of casein haplotype on angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitory and antioxidant capacities of milk casein from Italian Holstein cows before and following in vitro digestion with gastrointestinal enzymes
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ABSTRACT

The aim of this work was to investigate the effect of casein haplotype (αS1, β, and κ) on antioxidative and angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory capacities of milk casein from Italian Holstein cows before and following in vitro digestion with gastrointestinal enzymes. The antioxidant capacity was measured using 2,2’-azino-bis-3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid and ferric-reducing antioxidant power assays, whereas ACE inhibition was determined by ACE-inhibitory assay. The ACE-inhibitory and antioxidative capacities of milk casein increased during in vitro gastrointestinal digestion. Casein haplotype significantly influenced the antioxidative and ACE-inhibitory capacities of digested casein. In particular, BB-A2A1-AA casein and BB-A1A1-AA casein showed the highest ACE-inhibitory capacity, BB-A2A2-AA casein showed the highest antioxidant capacity, whereas BB-A2A2-BB casein showed the lowest biological capacity. To date, few studies have been done on the effect of casein haplotype on biological capacity of milk casein, thus the present study sets the basis for a new knowledge that could lead to the production of milk with better nutraceutical properties.
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Short Communication

Many studies have highlighted the nutraceutical effect of some milk compounds in the human body (Alberti-Fidanza et al., 2002; Silva and Malcata, 2005; Phelan et al., 2009) such as αS1-, αS2-, β-, and κ-CN (CSN1S1, CSN1S2, CSN2, and CSN3 gene loci, respectively), which are biologically active peptides that can have health-promoting properties. These bioactive peptides are released from milk casein sequences mostly during gastrointestinal digestion by gastric and pancreatic enzymes (Chabance et al., 1995, 1998), whereas others can be released in an active form by enzymes during milk processing and are, therefore, naturally present in dairy products (Smacchi and Gobbetti, 2000). Casein-derived peptides have shown a range of biological activities, such as antihypertensive, antioxidant, antithrombotic, antimicrobial, opioid, immune-modulating, and mineral-binding properties (Silva and Malcata, 2005; Phelan et al., 2009). Some peptides can combine more than one of these effects, resulting in multifunctional structures which have broader applications in health maintenance (Corrêa et al., 2011). Maruyama et al. (1987) reported that peptides derived from tryptic hydrolysis of αS1-CN (fragments 23–24, 23–27, and 194–199) and of β-CN (fragments 177–183 and 193–202), known as casokinins, inhibited angiotensin I-converting enzyme (ACE; EC 3.4.15.1) activity. Angiotensin I-converting enzyme is a key enzyme in the regulation of blood pressure; in particular, ACE catalyzes the conversion of angiotensin I into angiotensin II, a potent vasoconstrictor, and inactivates bradykinin, a vasodilator (Ondetti and Cushman, 1977). The inhibition of ACE is a method widely used for the treatment of hypertension (Conlin, 2001). It has been reported that the antioxidant capacity of caseins is related to their high tendency to chelate metals (Tong et al., 2000; Rival et al., 2001), and to their ability to donate electrons and atoms (Colbert and Decker, 1991). The bioactive potential of the casein depends on its AA sequence, which is conditioned by genetic polymorphism. The degree of casein heterogeneity may influence the release of peptides formed during proteolysis (Minervini et al., 2003; Hernández-Ledesma et al., 2004; De Noni et al., 2009); this is due to the presence in the casein of specific sequences, known as strategic zones, that have biological activities (Fiati and Jolles, 1989). Milk caseins occur in different allelic forms controlled by codominant genes (CSN1S1, CSN1S2, CSN2S2, and CSN3) tightly linked in a 250-kb cluster mapped on chromosome 6 (Ferretti et al., 1990; Caroli et al., 2009). Until a few years ago, the associa-
tion between the genetic forms of a single locus and the milk characteristics was the approach used (Di Stasio and Mariani, 2000; Martin et al., 2002). Currently, it is believed that a better estimation of effects is obtained when considering the whole casein cluster instead of the single casein loci within a single breed (Beja-Pereira et al., 2002; Boettcher et al., 2004; Gambacorta et al., 2005). In this regard, the aim of our study was to estimate the effect of casein haplotype on ACE-inhibitory and antioxidant capacities of milk casein from Italian Holstein cows before and following in vitro digestion with gastrointestinal enzymes.

This study was conducted on an intensive farm, consisting of more than 500 Italian Holstein cattle, in the countryside of Potenza, southern Italy. Before starting the test, approximately 250 animals in lactation were identified by isoelectric focusing to define their haplotypes (Perna et al., 2013). Haplotypes were formed by the combination of the individual allelic loci aggregated by αs1-, β-, and κ-CN. After defining the individual phenotypes, the cows were grouped by haplotype. Each group was formed by 10 to 12 animals, an equal stage of lactation (70–120 d postpartum), season (spring), and order of birth (third calving). All animals were fed a commercial standard diet according to milk yield. The individual cow milk of the morning milking was collected once and all milk samples were analyzed within 3 h from collection. Individual milk samples were skimmed by centrifugation (6,000 × g for 20 min at 4°C), diluted with distilled water (1:4, vol/vol), and the pH of solution was adjusted to 4.6 with 1 N NaOH. Each precipitation was made in triplicate and the results for both assays were expressed as milligrams of Trolox equivalent (TE) per milligram of casein. Data were analyzed according to the following linear model (SAS Institute, 1996):

\[ y_{ik} = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ik}, \]

where \( y_{ik} \) is the observation; \( \mu \) is the overall mean; \( \alpha_i \) is the fixed effect of the \( i \)th haplotype (\( i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \)); and \( \varepsilon_{ik} \) is the random error. Before setting the values, expressed as a percentage, they were subjected to angular transformation. Student’s \( t \)-test was used to compare all variables. Differences between means at the 95% \( (P < 0.05) \) confidence level were considered statistically significant.

In our study, unhydrolyzed casein \((t = 0 \text{ min})\) did not show ACE-inhibitory capacity \((IC_{50} > 1,000 \mu M)\), whereas the casein samples after in vitro pepsin \((t = 120 \text{ min})\) and trypsin \((t = 240 \text{ min})\) digestion showed ACE inhibition (Table 1). The ACE-inhibitory capacity is influenced by the casein haplotype \((P < 0.001)\); this finding is supported by De Noni et al. (2009), who showed that the genetic polymorphism influenced the type of bioactive peptides released from milk proteins. The ACE inhibition increased during in vitro digestion with gastrointestinal enzymes. The greatest increase of ACE-inhibitory capacity was detected between undigested and pepsin-digested casein, in agreement with what reported by López-Expósito et al. (2007) in hydrolyzed ovine casein with pepsin. With regard to casein haplotype, after pepsin digestion (120 min) BB-A2A2-BB casein showed the lowest ACE-inhibitory capacity \((P < 0.05)\), whereas BB-A2A2-AA casein showed the highest capacity \((P < 0.05)\). This could be due to the specificity of the casein molecular structure determined by genetic variants (Raynes et al., 2015) that, altering the cleavage sites, can facilitate or not the attack of protease (Petrat-Melin et al., 2014) and,
therefore, influence the formation of ACE-inhibitory peptides. These peptides are characterized by hydrophobic, aromatic, or branched side chain AA residue at the C-terminal position (Meisel et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2006). After trypsin digestion (240 min), ACE inhibition of casein samples greatly increased (Table 1). In particular, BB-A^2^A^-AA and BB-A^2^A^-AA caseins showed the highest capacity ($P < 0.05$), whereas BB-A^2^A^-BB casein showed the lowest percentage ($P < 0.05$). The antioxidant capacity of milk casein with different casein haplotypes before and following in vitro digestion with gastrointestinal enzymes was assessed by ABTS and FRAP assays (Table 2). The use of at least 2 different test systems for the evaluation of antioxidant capacity is necessary because of the interactions among different antioxidant components and the lack of a specific official analytical approach to determine the antioxidant property (Moon and Shinamoto, 2009). Milk casein showed antioxidant capacity and the differences among casein haplotypes were significant ($P < 0.05$). In particular, the average ABTS value of undigested casein was 4.24 mg of TE/mg of casein and the increasing sequence of radical scavenging of the milk casein with different haplotypes was BB-A^2^A^-BB < BB-A^2^A^-AA < BB-A^-AA^-AA < BB-A^-AA^-AA < BB-A^-AA^-AB ($P < 0.05$); whereas the average FRAP value was 0.89 mg of TE/mg of casein and it increased in the order of BB-A^-AA^-AA < BB-A^-A^-BB < BB-A^-A^-AA < BB-A^-A^-AB < BB-A^-A^-AA, even if no significant differences were detected among the studied casein haplotypes. The antioxidant capacity of milk casein could be due to the high content of potentially antioxidative AA, such as tyrosine, tryptophan, histidine, lysine, and methionine (Rival et al., 2001), the polar domains of casein that contain phosphorylated serine residues (Jrad et al., 2014), and the structure of casein (Elias et al., 2008). The antioxidant capacity of milk casein increased during in vitro digestion with gastrointestinal enzymes (Table 2), in agreement with what reported by other authors (Gómez-Ruiz et al., 2008; Salami et al., 2010; Mao et al., 2011). Furthermore, casein haplotype significantly influenced the antioxidant capacity of digested casein ($P < 0.05$). In particular, after pepsin digestion ($t = 120$ min) BB-A^-A^-AA casein showed the lowest ABTS value (4.69 mg of TE/mg of casein), whereas BB-A^-A^-BB casein showed the lowest FRAP value (0.97 mg of TE/mg of casein). The highest ABTS and FRAP values were detected in BB-A^-A^-AA casein as well as in BB-A^-A^-AB and BB-A^-A^-AA casein, respectively. After trypsin digestion ($t = 240$ min), the average values were 5.43 and 1.30 mg of TE/mg of casein for ABTS and FRAP assays, respectively. In particular, BB-A^-A^-AA casein and BB-A^-A^-AB casein showed the highest ABTS values ($P < 0.05$), in line with what was previously detected by Perna et al. (2013) in Italian Holstein yogurt. With regard to the chelating activity, BB-A^-A^-AA casein showed the highest value ($P < 0.05$). These findings suggest that the biological capacity of milk casein is associated with the degree of casein hydrolysis, in particular, with the formation of low-molecular weight peptides ($< 6$ kDa; Hernández-Ledesma et al., 2004; Mao et al., 2007). Furthermore, the type of bioactive peptides released from milk casein is closely influenced by the genetic polymorphism that affects the AA composition of a protein, its accessibility, and the sequence of AA (Arcan and Yemenciağlı, 2007; Elias et al., 2008). Petrat-Melin et al. (2014) reported that the genotype can affect the presence of zones resistant to enzymatic hydrolysis positively or negatively. Lisson et al. (2013) observed that the enzymatic hydrolysis of β-CN variants A, A^2^, and B and of κ-CN variants A, B, and E resulted in peptides that were unique to each variant. Moreover, Aliaga and Lissi (2000) reported that the AA with greater radical scavenger activity (cysteine, histidine, tryptophan, and tyrosine) are more present in the β-CN variants A and B, confirming the key role of genetic polymorphism of caseins on nutraceutical quality. The variation of the biological capacity of milk casein with different casein haplotypes could be associated with genetic changes that may alter the properties of individual proteins, affect the state of aggregation of the micellar system, and interfere with the action of proteolytic enzymes (Perna et al., 2013). Moreover, a decrease of biological capacity could be due to the tendency of peptides to form macroaggregates (Adt et al., 2011) or from balance between their formation during digestion and further breakdown into inactive peptides and AA (Hajirostamloo, 2010).

### Table 1. Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitory capacity ($IC_{50}$) of casein after in vitro pepsin ($t = 120$ min) and trypsin ($t = 240$ min) digestion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haplotype (αS1-, β-, κ-CN)</th>
<th>Pepsin digestion ($t = 120$ min)</th>
<th>Trypsin digestion ($t = 240$ min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB, A^-A^-AB, AB</td>
<td>0.264 ± 0.013</td>
<td>0.020 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB, A^-A^-BB, BB</td>
<td>0.942 ± 0.052</td>
<td>0.043 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB, A^2^-A, AA</td>
<td>0.200 ± 0.015</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB, A^-A^-AA, AA</td>
<td>0.181 ± 0.011</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB, A^2^-A, AA</td>
<td>0.213 ± 0.015</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Means within a column with different superscripts differ ($P < 0.05$).

<sup>b</sup>$IC_{50}$ = concentration needed to inhibit ACE to 50% of its original activity.
Table 2. Antioxidant capacity (mg of Trolox equivalent per mg of casein) of unhydrolyzed casein (t = 0 min) and after in vitro pepsin (t = 120 min) and trypsin (t = 240 min) digestion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Haplotype (αS1-, β-, κ-CN)</th>
<th>ABTS Unhydrolyzed casein (t = 0 min)</th>
<th>Pepsin digestion (t = 120 min)</th>
<th>t = 240</th>
<th>FRAP Unhydrolyzed casein (t = 0 min)</th>
<th>Pepsin digestion (t = 120 min)</th>
<th>Trypsin digestion (t = 240 min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB, A^2^A^1^, AB</td>
<td>4.58^b</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>5.07^a</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>5.51^a</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB, A^2^A^2^, BB</td>
<td>3.91^b</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.98^a</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>5.19^b</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB, A^2^A^2^, AA</td>
<td>4.43^b</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>5.28^b</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>5.56^c</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB, A^2^A^2^, AA</td>
<td>4.13^b</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>4.69^d</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5.45^c</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB, A^2^A^1^, AA</td>
<td>4.14^b</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>4.85^c</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>5.33^c</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a–cMeans within a column with different superscripts differ (P < 0.05).

In conclusion, the results obtained in our study provide useful information for the definition of the bioactive potential associated with the digestion of bovine milk with different casein haplotypes. Angiotensin-converting enzyme-inhibitory and antioxidant capacities greatly increased following in vitro digestion with gastrointestinal enzymes. In particular, after in vitro enzymatic digestion, BB-A^2^A^1^-AA casein showed the highest value of ACE inhibition and chelating capacity, whereas BB-A^2^A^2^-AA and BB-A^2^A^2^-AB caseins showed the highest scavenging capacity. Although more studies are needed to confirm our findings, milk protein polymorphisms represent a crucial element in providing milk with better nutraceutical properties.
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