Short communication: Effect of oregano and caraway essential oils on the production and flavor of cow milk
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ABSTRACT

Many essential oils and their terpene constituents display antimicrobial properties, which may affect rumen metabolism and influence milk production parameters. Many of these compounds also have distinct flavors and aromas that may make their way into the milk, altering its sensory properties. Essential oils from caraway (Carum carvi) seeds and oregano (Origanum vulgare) plants were included in dairy cow diets to study the effects on terpene composition and sensory properties of the produced milk, as well as feed consumption, production levels of milk, and methane emissions. Two levels of essential oils, 0.2 and 1.0 g of oil/kg of dry matter, were added to the feed of lactating cows for 24 d. No effects on feed consumption, milk production, and methane emissions were observed. The amount and composition of volatile terpenes were altered in the produced milk based on the terpene content of the essential oils used, with the total amount of terpenes increasing when essential oils were added to the diet. Sensory properties of the produced milk were altered as well, and milk samples from animals receiving essential oil treatment were perceived as having a fresher aroma and lower stored aroma and flavor. The levels of essential oils used in this study mimic realistic levels of essential oils in herbs from feed, but were too low to affect milk production and methane emissions, and their inclusion in the animal diet did not adversely affect milk flavor.
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Herbal plants are commonly included in bovine feeding as a result of their presence in pasture (Søegaard et al., 2011), but they can also be actively included in the diet to obtain an effect on milk production parameters, such as milk yield and methane production (Abo El-Nor et al., 2007; Hristov et al., 2013). Rather than using whole herbal matter, essential oils can be derived from plants and seeds by steam distillation. These oils contain large amounts of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes and have strong flavor and smell notes (Simon, 1990). They can be included in animal feeding, as they contain numerous secondary plant metabolites that have been identified as potentially beneficial feed additives for ruminants to improve milk productivity and animal health (Benchaar et al., 2008; Giannenas et al., 2011) as well as to reduce methane emissions of which domesticated ruminants are a large contributor (Bodas et al., 2012). In addition to milk production parameters, the addition of essential oils to animal feed could potentially affect the milk flavor. The sensory quality of the milk can be affected by feeding essential oils due to either a direct transfer of aroma compounds from the feed to the milk or due to the formation of aroma compounds during digestion of the feed (Carpino et al., 2004). Terpenes are common in many types of animal feed and can readily be transferred into milk, both through the gastrointestinal tract and through the lungs of the animal (Viallon et al., 2000; Prache et al., 2005; Lejonklev et al., 2013). The objective of the present study was to explore how the addition of caraway and oregano essential oils to the feed of Holstein dairy cows, at 2 levels comparable to those that could be obtained by adding herbs to the diet, would affect milk production, milk composition, methane emissions, and the volatile composition and sensory properties of the produced milk.

The essential oils used for the study were obtained commercially from New Directions (Hampshire, UK), and were produced by steam distillation of caraway...
seeds and oregano herbs. Essential oils were added to
the feed at 0.2 g of oil/kg of DM for the low level and
1.0 g of oil/kg of DM for the high level. Assuming an
essential oil content of 20 g/kg of DM, this corresponded
to a diet with 50% of DM from grass forage, where 2
to 10% consists of herbal matter, which is feasible in
Danish grazing systems (Soegaard et al., 2011). Fifteen
Danish Holstein cows, with a live weight ranging from
500 to 700 kg and a BCS between 2.5 and 3.5, were
used for the experiment, which lasted 24 d. Cows were
blocked according to lactation (first, second, and more
than 2) and randomly allocated within block to the
5 different treatments: control, low caraway content,
high caraway content, low oregano content, and high
oregano content (3 animals for each treatment). Days
in milk ranged from 46 to 142 at the start of the exper-
iment. The TMR fed to the cows were identical, except
for the added essential oils, and consisted of barley
(12.1%), soybean meal (11.2%), rapeseed cake (10.1%),
grass-clover silage (30.2%), maize silage (35.0%), and
minerals (1.4%). Chemical composition of ration, in
percentage of DM, was ash (6.5%), CP (16.8%), NDF
(32.3%), fat (3.5%), linolenic acid (1.0%), and linolenic
acid (0.4%). Fresh feed and essential oil mixtures were
prepared once a day. The TMR was mixed as one batch
per day and divided into 5 portions, approximately 150
to 200 kg each. The essential oils were added to the
individual portions and mixed further. Separate mixers
were used for the oregano and caraway treatments, and
the treatments with the low concentration of essential
oils were always mixed before the treatments with high
concentration.

During d 1, all cows received feed without added
essential oils. Feed consumption was recorded daily
throughout the experiment. Samples of individual feed
items for proximate and fatty acid analysis of the diets
were taken once a week and stored at −20°C. The feed
samples were later pooled to 2 samples; one covering
the first 2 wk of the experiment and the other covering
the last 2 wk of the experiment. The TMR samples for
terpene analysis were obtained in the morning of d 2,
9, 16, and 23, collected in glass containers, and stored
at −20°C. During d 1, 3, 10, 17, and 24, milk produc-
tion data were recorded, and milk samples, as mixtures
of morning and evening milk, were collected in glass
containers and stored at −20°C until analysis for milk
composition and terpenes. Milk samples for sensory
testing were obtained at 2 occasions by mixing evening
milk from d 23 with morning milk d 24, and evening
milk from d 24 with morning milk d 25. Within 6 h
after morning milking, the mixed milk was pasteurized
(72°C, 15 s), poured into glass containers, placed on
ice, and kept at 1°C until sensory analysis was carried
out the following day. Concentrations of fat, protein,
and lactose were analyzed using a Milkoscan 4000 (Foss
Analytical, Hillerød, Denmark). The fatty acid content
was analyzed in feed samples as well as milk samples,
as described by Larsen et al. (2012), with the exception
of heptane being used as solvent instead of pentane.
Feed samples were freeze-dried and ground through a
1-mm mesh before chemical analyses of DM, ash, ni-
trogen, crude fat, and NDF. The DM concentration of
feed samples was determined by drying the samples for
48 h at 60°C. Ash was determined by combustion at
525°C for 6 h. Nitrogen was determined by the Dumas
principle, as described by Hansen (1989), using a Vario
MAX CN (Elementar Analyseysteme GmbH, Hanau,
Germany). Crude protein was calculated as 6.25 times
the measured nitrogen concentration. Crude fat was
determined by Soxhlet extraction with petroleum ether
(Soxtec 2050, Foss Analytical) after hydrolysis with
hydrochloric acid (Stoldt, 1952). Ash-free NDF was
measured using a Fibertec M6 System (Foss Analyti-
cal) using heat-stable amylase and sodium sulfite, as
described by Mertens (2002). The gross energy concen-
tration was calculated according to Volden and Nielsen
(2011).

Methane emission was measured for 48 h, once for
each cow during the experiment in the period 8 to 17 d
after the start of the experiment, similar to the method
described in Hellwing et al. (2012). One control cow
was measured twice to maximize the use of measuring
equipment. Terpene analysis of milk samples was per-
formed using dynamic headspace sampling according
to Lejonklev et al. (2013). Feed samples were analyzed
the same way, but by using 5 g of the final mixture for
each type of feed suspended in 20 mL of Milli-Q water.
A trained sensory panel of 8 to 10 assessors, consisting
of 7 to 9 females and 1 male, aged 26 to 61 yr, evalu-
ated the 5 milk samples quantitatively using sensory
profiling. The assessors were tested and trained in ac-
cordance to international standards (ISO, 1993). The
sensory evaluation was carried out in a sensory evalua-
tion laboratory fulfilling the requirements provided by
the ASTM (1986). Prior to each sensory evaluation,
the assessors attended a 2 h-training session, where the
assessors were introduced to 3 milk samples that dif-
fered significantly in several of the selected attributes.
The samples consisted of a commercial milk sample
and the milk samples from cows fed with high levels
of oregano and caraway. As inspiration, the assessors
were introduced to reference samples as described by
Hedegaard et al. (2006). Based on this, a sensory profile
of 15 attributes for milk was developed by the panelists
before the evaluation. The milk samples were served in
small plastic beakers with lids (Abena A/S, Aabenraa,
Denmark) in amounts of approximately 50 mL at a
temperature of 16 to 18°C. The samples were coded and

served in random order to avoid bias. The samples were served in 4 replicates each day during 2 consecutive days. PanelCheck v. 1.4.0226 (www.PanelCheck.com) was used for assessor evaluation. After each training session, the assessors received feedback on their performance using PanelCheck to improve and standardize the panel’s discriminating power. During training and evaluation, the attributes were evaluated on a 15-cm, nonstructured, continuous scale and the ratings were registered directly in a personal computer registration system (Fizz software, 2.30C, Biosystemes, Couteron, France). Energy-corrected milk (3.14 MJ/kg) was calculated according to Sjaunja et al. (1991).

Recoveries of C18:2n-6 and C18:3n-3 were calculated for individual cows as the ratio between the total amount of each FA excreted in milk per day and the total amount of the same FA ingested from feed per day. Peak areas of volatile terpene compounds in milk were log 10-transformed before analysis to ensure uniform variance. Statistical analysis of data was performed in SAS (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Data for feed consumption, milk production, milk composition (fat, protein), milk fatty acid composition, fatty acid recovery, and volatile terpene compounds in milk were analyzed with a model in Proc Mixed, including response on d 1 before onset of treatment as covariate, and treatment as fixed effect. The cow was random, and covariance between repeated measures within cow was modeled with an autoregressive model. Methane data were analyzed with a model in Proc Mixed including treatment, lactation number, and repetition as fixed effects, where repetitions were treated as repeated measures with compound symmetry as covariance structure. Effect of the 5 treatments on the different sensory attributes was analyzed using the GLM procedure of SAS version 9.1 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc.). Statistical significance was defined at $P \leq 0.05$. The significant differences between the treatments were assessed by least square distance values.

The DMI, milk yield, milk fat concentration, and ECM yield were not affected by treatment (Table 1). Feed fatty acid content was identical for all treatments because essential oils did not contribute to fatty acids. The content of linoleic and linolenic acid in milk fat did not differ between treatments (Table 1), nor was the recovery of these fatty acids affected by treatments. These results indicate that the applied amounts of essential oils did not affect the biohydrogenation significantly. Methane emission results are presented in Table 2. Gross energy lost as methane was between 5 and 6% and corresponds well with data for cows fed a forage-to-concentrate ratio of 65:35 (Brask et al., 2013). Production parameters, such as milk yield, have been affected by addition of whole plants or seeds to feed (Abo El-Nor et al., 2007; Søegaard et al., 2011), although the amount of plants or seeds in these studies corresponds to a similar or lower level of essential oils compared with the present study. This could be due to the different amounts of nutrients, including fatty acids in the feed, introduced by the herbal matter, or by other bioactive compounds present in the whole herbal matter, but not in the steam-distilled essential oil. The addition of an essential oils preparation to feed for dairy ewes can result in an increased milk yield at lower levels than those used in our study (Giannenas et al., 2011). This may be the result of the specific tailored essential oil mixture used, rather than one obtained from herbal matter.

The results from the terpene analysis of feed and milk samples are presented in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. The total peak area is presented as the sum of log 10-transformed values, whereas the relative distribution was calculated on nontransformed values to paint a clearer picture of the results. The results indicate that very small proportions of the essential oil terpenes were transferred into milk. As terpenes are common in most types of animal forage (Prache et al., 2005), it was not unexpected that the control feed contained all of the analyzed terpenes. The addition of essential oils to the feed resulted in higher total amounts of terpenes and a shift in terpene distribution. Caraway feed became dominated by limonene and carvone, whereas

### Table 1. Least squares means of DMI, milk yield, ECM yield, milk composition, and recovery of linoleic and linolenic acid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Caraway low</th>
<th>Caraway high</th>
<th>Oregano low</th>
<th>Oregano high</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>$P$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DMI (kg/d)</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk yield (kg/d)</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>34.3</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECM (kg/d)</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>35.0</td>
<td>35.9</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk fat (g/kg)</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk protein (g/kg)</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleic acid (g/kg of FA)</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linolenic acid (g/kg of FA)</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linoleic acid recovery (%)</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linolenic acid recovery (%)</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Recovery is calculated as the amount of fatty acid excreted in milk relative to the amount ingested from feed.
oregano feed displayed great increases of ρ-cymene, carvacrol, and sesquiterpenes. These increases of specific compounds were consistent with those terpenes being abundant in the oils used, which has previously been analyzed by Lejonklev et al. (2013). However, the proportions were different, most apparent in the high level of sesquiterpenes in oregano feed compared with the low levels found in pure essential oils. The likely explanations for these discrepancies were differences in analytical methods for essential oils and feed samples, differences in interactions between feed components and individual terpenes, as well as loss of volatile monoterpenes during feed preparation compared with the less volatile sesquiterpenes (Coppa et al., 2011).

Milk samples from essential oil feeding had greater total contents of terpenes. It is likely that the volatile terpenes were absorbed and transferred into milk through both respiratory and gastrointestinal pathways when they were added to the feed (Lejonklev et al., 2013). Control milk was characterized by the same terpenes as those found in the control feed, though in different proportions, with carvone, limonene, and ρ-cymene being the most abundant together with α-pinene and 3-carene included in the other monoterpenes category at 20 and 12%, respectively. This shift in proportions between feed and milk was present for the different treatments as well. Several potential explanations for this exist, including different transfer rates for different terpenes, metabolic activity turning one compound into another, as well as the analytical method being influenced by the different matrices of the 2 types of samples. Several different terpenes in treatment milk samples displayed a significant increase compared with the control (P < 0.001), despite quite variable values between different animals. Differences in milk composition between individual animals may be a factor in explaining the differences in milk terpene content. Treatment milk samples became dominated by the terpene most abundant in their respective feed, limonene for caraway milk and ρ-cymene for oregano milk. Neither carvone, present in caraway feed, or carvacrol, present in oregano feed, increased significantly in any milk samples, compared with the control. As these 2 compounds were the second most abundant terpenes in their respective feed, these results suggest that carvone and carvacrol were metabolized in some way.

A suggested alteration is presented in Figure 1, which would also assist in explaining the increasing amounts of limonene and ρ-cymene in treatment milk samples due to reduction of the alcohol functional group of carvacrol and the ketone functional group of carvone. The same dominant compounds are reported after respiratory or intestinal transfer (Lejonklev et al., 2013), which demonstrates that the reduction is possible while...

### Table 2. Least squares means of methane emissions in liter per day, per kilogram of DMI, and as a percentage of gross energy intake (GEI) lost as methane

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Caraway low</th>
<th>Caraway high</th>
<th>Oregano low</th>
<th>Oregano high</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of animals</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ (L/d)</td>
<td>576</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄ (% of GEI)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Only DMI recorded during chamber stay was used for the calculations. N = number of observations.
2SEM differs for the control, as one cow was measured twice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Caraway low</th>
<th>Caraway high</th>
<th>Oregano low</th>
<th>Oregano high</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sum of log peak area</td>
<td>66.5a</td>
<td>81.2b</td>
<td>89.4c</td>
<td>90.7c</td>
<td>97.4d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of nontransformed total peak area</td>
<td>Carvacrol</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>28.6</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvone</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limonene</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>54.4</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ-Cymene</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other monoterpenes</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesquiterpenes</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a–dDifferent letters within row indicate significant difference (P < 0.05).
1For the sum of log peak area, SEM was 0.8 and statistical difference was defined at P < 0.001.
2Includes α-pinene, β-pinene, 3-carene, myrcene, α-terpinene, γ-terpinene, terpinolene, and α-terpineol.
3Includes β-caryophyllene and α-humulene.
compounds are present in the blood stream or in the udder. Mammal metabolism of terpenes generally alters the compounds into more polar ones, for example through hydroxylation, followed by excretion in urine (Sapra et al., 2008). However, the metabolic pathway proposed in Figure 1 results in less polar compounds. In addition, rumen bacteria are able to alter the chemical structure of terpene compounds by saturation of double bonds, oxygen removal, and opening of ring structures (Malecky et al., 2009), though absorption of volatile terpenes through the lungs and intestines would bypass such alterations. As both carvone and carvacrol were present in control feed, their static presence in milk samples, including the control, could possibly be explained by some form of protection from metabolic alterations present for terpenes originating from the plants of the feed, but not for those terpenes added in the form of essential oils. Such protection would have allowed these terpenes to pass unaltered into the milk, resulting in a consistent amount for both control and treatment. The increase in milk terpene composition was observed after 2 d of essential oil exposure, which is consistent with published data that transfer of mono- and sesquiterpenes into milk does not require prolonged exposure (Viallon et al., 2000; Pouloupoulou et al., 2012). However, both of these studies observed a decrease of milk terpene content over time after the initial increase, which was not present in this study, as we found no significant differences between sampling days for the sum or the individual terpene content in milk samples.

Six sensory attributes were affected by treatments (Table 5). Essential oil treatments generally resulted in milk with fresh aroma and flavor and a lower corn aroma, UHT milk aroma, as well as stored aroma and flavor, except that milk from the high oregano treatment did not differ from control for corn aroma, stored aroma, and fresh aroma. The sensory characteristics relating to herbs that were used in our study, caraway flavor and green herb flavor, received very low scores (between 0.5 and 3.0) for all milk samples with no significant differences. These results are consistent with the observation that neither carvone nor carvacrol increased in treatment milk samples, as both of these terpenes are known to have an herbaceous aroma (Fahlbusch et al., 2005). Instead the observed similarities in sensory characteristics for treatment milk samples may have been the result of the similar aromas of limonene, the most abundant terpene in caraway milk, and ρ-cymene, the most abundant in oregano milk, which are lemon-like for limonene and weak citrus for ρ-cymene (Fahlbusch et al., 2005).

As the addition of essential oils did not affect the flavor adversely and has shown potential in reducing methane emissions in vitro, additional research could be justified to determine the effects of long-term exposure and higher doses and to further investigate ways to achieve reduction of methane emissions by both essential oils and whole plants and seeds.
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**Table 4.** Terpene content in milk samples as LSM of log 10-transformed sum of peak areas and percent of non-log-transformed total peak area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Caraway low</th>
<th>Caraway high</th>
<th>Oregano low</th>
<th>Oregano high</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sum of log peak area</td>
<td>38.7a</td>
<td>44.1ab</td>
<td>58.0bc</td>
<td>56.8bc</td>
<td>62.9c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of nontransformed total peak area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvacrol</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvone</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limonene</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>69.1</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ-Cymene</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>59.4</td>
<td>62.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other monoterpenes</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sesquiterpenes</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different letters within row indicate significant difference ($P < 0.05$).

For the sum of log peak area, SEM was 2.4 and statistical difference was defined at $P < 0.001$.

Includes α-pinene, β-pinene, 3-carene, myrcene, α-terpinene, γ-terpinene, terpinolene, and α-terpineol.

Includes β-caryophyllene and α-humulene.

---

**Figure 1.** Proposed metabolic alterations to carvacrol and carvone.
Table 5. Mean scores for sensory attributes that displayed significant differences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Caraway low</th>
<th>Caraway high</th>
<th>Oregano low</th>
<th>Oregano high</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aroma attributes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh aroma</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn aroma</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stored aroma</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHT milk aroma</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavor attributes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh flavor</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stored flavor</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a–cDifferent letters within row indicate significant difference.
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