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ABSTRACT

Creating the ideal nutrition program for calves is a demanding task that has undergone tremendous change in recent years. Products and technologies including novel milk replacers and automated calf feeding systems have been developed to facilitate the ability of dairy producers to feed for higher growth rates before weaning. The creation of new feeding programs and milk replacers has to be looked at carefully, not only from a nutrition point of view but also from the perspective of a potential effect on physiologic digestion and calf health. Abomasal emptying is a critical factor that may link nutrition and disease. The purpose of this article is to review both intrinsic and extrinsic factors that are responsible for abomasal emptying. Predominant extrinsic factors controlling abomasal emptying include meal volume, energy density, and osmolality along with the content and source of protein. This article also reviews experimental methods used to measure abomasal emptying in the calf including those that would be appropriate for use under field conditions. Among these methods, the use of ultrasonography and different absorption tests (d-xylose, acetaminophen) as tools to measure abomasal emptying are discussed. The relationship between abomasal emptying and disease is explored, particularly as it relates to abomasal bloat. Abomasal bloat is a complex syndrome that seems to be increasing in frequency and whose etiology likely at least partially involves slowing of abomasal emptying. Suggestions for minimizing the effect of feeding programs on abomasal emptying are explored as well as needs for future research.
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INTRODUCTION

Research on nutrition and feeding of the dairy calf has gone through a renaissance in recent years. Conventional programs designed to limit feed calves at approximately 8 to 10% of their BW per day have been popular for decades. These programs were designed to limit the cost spent on milk or milk replacer diets and encourage early solid feed intake so calves could be quickly weaned onto less expensive feeds (Kertz and Loften, 2013). More recently, significant interest has arisen for increasing volumes of liquid feed offered to calves, offering milk more frequently, and increasing the nutrient content (protein, fat, or both) of milk replacers (Khan et al., 2011). Increasing the level of nutrition provided to dairy calves in the first weeks of life has resulted in several benefits such as decreased morbidity and mortality (Godden et al., 2005), faster recovery from disease (Ollivett et al., 2012), decreased age at first calving (Radcliff et al., 2000; Davis Rincker et al., 2011), improved mammary development (Lohakare et al., 2012), and increased milk production as an adult (Soberon et al., 2012; Soberon and Van Amburgh, 2013). Products and technologies including novel milk replacers, automated calf feeding systems, and the acidification of milk have all been introduced largely to assist dairy producers who wish to feed for more preweaning growth.

Some of these improvements in dairy calf feeding have the potential to alter abomasal emptying rates in calves. Abomasal emptying refers to the time span the chymus remains in the abomasum before passing into the intestinal tract, which is a concept similar to gastric emptying in humans. Feeding practices that significantly prolong abomasal emptying could increase rates of gastrointestinal diseases in calves such as abomasal bloat (Glenn Songer and Miskimins, 2005). The condition gastroparesis or delayed gastric emptying is well described in humans and is associated with multiple abnormalities including gastroesophageal reflux, abdominal pain, vomiting, bloating, or poor
appetite (Pasricha and Parkman, 2015). Differences between feeding of dairy calves as compared with a beef calf suckling milk from the dam can be seen in milk volume per feeding, frequency of intake, pH, curd formation, content and origin of fat and protein, as well as the electrolytes and osmolality of the milk replacers. The intake of certain milk replacers, which may show substantially different chemical and physical characteristics compared with whole milk, may lead to digestive problems for the calf (Constable et al., 2006; Marshall, 2009). The purpose of this article is to review the factors that influence abomasal emptying and the research methods for determining abomasal emptying. Furthermore, possible relationships between abomasal emptying and gastrointestinal disease in calves will be discussed.

**PRIMARY FACTORS CONTROLLING ABOMASAL EMPTYING IN CALVES**

Abomasal emptying rate is potentially influenced by several factors (Table 1) such as volume and osmolality of the ingested meal, motility, luminal pressure and abomasal wall contractions, viscosity of ingesta, antroduodenal coordination, and resistance of the pylorus (Thomas et al., 1934; Thomas, 1957; Schulze-Delrieu and Brown, 1985). Even though the forestomachs in ruminants are different from those of monogastric animals from an anatomic point of view; similar mechanisms for gastric emptying have been described (Low, 1990; Cottrell and Stanley, 1992; Malbert and Mathis, 1994). Abomasal emptying occurs if the abomasal body (corpus abomasi) transports ingesta to pyloric antrum (antrum pyloricum), which is then responsible for further transport of the ingesta into the duodenum by coordinated contraction while the pylorus is opened. The beginning of the duodenum incorporates and transports ingesta further down the intestinal tract (Ruckebusch and Pairet, 1984; Malbert and Ruckebusch, 1988, 1991). Motility and emptying of the abomasum are under both neural and humoral control.

**Neural Control of Abomasal Motility and Emptying**

**Extrinsic Innervation.** Abomasal motility is predominantly controlled by the ventral branch of the abdominal vagal nerve. Although the dorsal branch primarily innervates the rumen, some parts are also involved in innervation of the abomasum (Habel, 1956). The parasympathetic fibers innervate neurons that increase motility and relax abomasal tone. Therefore, afferent and efferent vagal pathways (vagal reflex) are responsible for accommodation and relaxation of the abomasum while the animal is eating (Jahnberg et al., 1977; Cottrell, 1994; Olson and Holmgreen, 2001). Anatomic position, branching, and anastomosis of the vagal nerve vary significantly from animal to animal, which explains different clinical outcomes from similar damage done to branches of the nerve (Hoflund, 1940; Dietz et al., 1970; Baker, 1979). Vagal nerve damage might result from infectious processes originating from the esophagus, thrombophlebitis of the jugular vein, mediastinitis, or peritonitis. This nerve damage might result in no clinical signs at all, or it could cause a chronic decrease in abomasal emptying, resulting in obstruction of the abomasum (vagal indigestion or Hoflund’s syndrome). Changes in the electrical activity of the abomasum were reported after vagotomy (Gregory et al., 1984). The effect of vagotomy on abomasal emptying in calves has been reported by Bell et al. (1977) using radiology to document slower transport of milk containing a contrast agent.

**Intrinsic Innervation.** Intrinsic innervation is present in the wall of the abomasum. This localization is similar to that of monogastric animals, but ruminants otherwise have substantial differences (Pfannkuche et al., 2002). Cholinergic, muscarinic, and nicotinic parts of the intramural nervous system in the abomasum and small intestine are responsible for generation and control of electrical procedures, called *minute rhythm* (Kuiper and Breukink, 1988; Romański, 2002). Receptors in the wall are able to detect tension and therefore filling of the organ. Increased tension of the wall leads to increased motility of the pyloric antrum, which is affected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors increasing and decreasing abomasal emptying</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accelerates abomasal emptying</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat-, fish-, or soy protein–based milk replacer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed with esophageal intubation or feeder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High osmolality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH lower than 2 or higher than 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Table 1. Factors increasing and decreasing abomasal emptying |
by the vagal reflex, as mentioned earlier, along with reflex pathways of the intrinsic neural system (Cottrell and Stanley, 1992). Receptor density and location varies between different organs of the gastrointestinal tract, and mRNA coding for adrenergic receptors is present in different concentrations and subtypes between animals (Meylan et al., 2004a).

**Humoral Control of Abomasal Emptying and Motility**

Numerous mediators and hormones (motilin, pancreatic polypeptide, secretin, cholecystokinin, somatostatin, gastrin, vasoactive intestinal peptide, peptide YY, vasopressin, oxytocin, glucagon-like-peptide 1, interleukin 1β) may influence abomasal motility and emptying rate as well as affect and regulate the function of the gastrointestinal tract (Bell et al., 1981a). These mediators and hormones affect motility and secretion, which are regulated by feedback mechanisms and feedstuff properties (Yasuda et al., 1988; Zabielski et al., 1998). Secretin (1 U/kg per hour) and cholecystokinin (0.5 to 4 U/kg per hour) have been shown to affect the electronic potentials in the abomasal wall, which results in a decrease or absence of electrical potentials and therefore produces slow or incomplete abomasal emptying (McLeay and Bell, 1980). Nitric oxide is a major local mediator that affects abomasal motility and emptying (Adams, 1996; Sun et al., 1998). Serotonin affects the motility of the entire gastrointestinal tract because it activates both intrinsic and extrinsic primary afferent neurons to initiate peristaltic and secretory reflexes and to transmit this information to the central nervous system (Spring et al., 2003; Meylan et al., 2004b). Insulin also affects abomasal emptying (van Meirhaeghe et al., 1988), and hyperglycemic animals with increased endogenous insulin secretion or animals that have been given exogenous insulin injections show decreased abomasal emptying rates of up to 50% for a few hours. The in vitro effect of prostaglandins as local mediators on abomasal motility was studied intensively using muscle baths, which showed effects on muscle from both the abomasal body and pylorus (Vandeplasche et al., 1982a,b, 1984). In vivo experiments demonstrated changes in intraluminal pressure after intramuscular injection of PGE$_1$ and PGE$_2$ (both 2.5 mg); however, no changes in the electromyographic recordings occurred and only small but nonsignificant effects on abomasal emptying were observed. Infusion of 40 mg of PGF$_2a$ for a 1-h period did not affect intraluminal pressure, electric potential, or emptying rate Vlamink et al. (1984). In summary, abomasal emptying is under complex hormonal influence in calves; however, more research is needed to further define the role that the various individual peptides play in the process. Furthermore, a substantial gap exists in our knowledge of how the humoral control specifically affects abomasal emptying in calves of different ages because most of the work to date has been done either in adult ruminants or in vitro.

**Effect of Ingesta and Milk Clot Formation on Abomasal Motility and Emptying**

The passage of ingesta through the gastrointestinal tract of ruminants is predominantly affected by the physical and chemical characteristics of the feed, although climate, hormonal, environmental, and individual factors regulate feed intake and passage rate (Okine et al., 1998). The composition of the feedstuff induces the endocrine release of peptides in calves, which affects gastrointestinal function (Zabielski et al., 1998).

The formation of a milk clot in the abomasum has the potential to affect abomasal emptying in calves; however, little research has been done to directly examine this effect. Milk replacers that do not clot in the abomasum have been hypothesized to be emptied significantly faster than whole milk or milk replacers that do clot (le Huërou-Luron et al., 1998). However, in one study calves fed whole milk had faster abomasal emptying than calves fed either an all milk protein or combination milk and soy protein milk replacer when fed twice daily at 12% of BW (Constable et al., 2005). The authors confirmed that the whole milk clotted in the abomasum while both milk replacers failed to clot after feeding. It should be noted though that the osmolalities of both milk replacers were higher (375 mOsm/L for milk protein and 410 mOsm/L for the milk–soy product) than that of whole milk (278 mOsm/L), and this higher osmolality could also delay abomasal emptying. Overall, more research examining the importance of milk clot formation on abomasal emptying is needed.

**Volume of Ingested Meal**

The volume of milk or feed ingested is an important factor that controls abomasal emptying rate in both monogastric and ruminant species (Bell and Razig, 1973). In data from 3 different studies, dairy calves fed 2 L of a milk protein–based milk replacer with 20% fat and 20% protein had an average abomasal emptying time between 190 and 206 min measured by means of maximum acetaminophen concentration (Sen et al., 2006; Nouri and Constable, 2007; Marshall et al., 2008). In comparison, abomasal emptying following 2 L of whole milk ingestion takes 129 to 191 min (Nouri et al., 2008; Afshari et al., 2009; Constable et al., 2009). In mature cattle, the capacity of the abomasum is relatively constant and small because of...
the continuous in- and outflow of ingesta (Hunt and Stubbs, 1975; Low, 1990; Constable et al., 2005). Model studies performed in sheep and goats showed strong associations between abomasal volume and emptying and feeding intake (Ehrlein and Hill, 1970; Wegryn, 1981; Gregory et al., 1985). The capacity of the abomasum is relatively constant in adult ruminants, but not in calves. In calves, the capacity of the abomasum enlarges significantly after ingestion of a liquid meal. In calves 7 to 30 d of age, the mean preprandial abomasal volume was 62 mL (range 20–137 mL) as measured by ultrasonography (Witteke et al., 2005a). Mean abomasal volume was calculated as 897 mL following the ingestion of 1 L of milk, 1,711 mL after ingesting 2 L, and 2,956 mL after ingesting 3 L. Thus the abomasum of the young calf is similar to the stomach of monogastric animals in that capacity increases significantly with meal size (Witteke et al., 2005a) This increase is of critical importance in calves in that volume of milk ingested can vary substantially between farms because of different feeding protocols and frequency. Historically, most feeding programs have provided 4 to 5 L of liquid feed per day split into equal volumes and fed twice a day. However, more liberal feeding programs advocate feeding much larger volumes of milk at one time. In addition, some studies have advocated feeding calves a large volume of milk (4–6 L) once a day as a way to save labor (Hopkins, 1997). Research has shown that calves offered milk ad libitum vary significantly in the number of feedings per day and in the volume of milk ingested at each feeding (Appleby et al., 2001). However most calves will attempt to suckle at least 3 times per day, and sometimes as many as 8 to 10 times per day (Appleby et al., 2001; Miller-Cushon et al., 2013). To some degree, calves are able to compensate for limited feeding time by consuming larger intakes at each meal (von Keyserlingk et al., 2006). However, the greater the volume of milk offered to a calf during each feeding, the longer that milk will remain in the abomasum. Therefore, feeding programs that favor smaller volumes of milk offered more frequently may have some benefit. In addition, social interaction has an important role on feed intake, behavior, and performance of dairy calves (Khan et al., 2011; Jensen et al., 2015). In summary, future studies investigating the effect of milk feeding volume on gastrointestinal health are indicated, and larger volumes of milk per feeding have the potential to slow abomasal emptying.

**Feeding Method**

Although not specifically related to volume, calves fed milk or electrolytes with an esophageal feeder will also have slower abomasal emptying as compared with bottle feeding because the liquid first enters the rumen and then enters the abomasum. In one study the same oral electrolyte solution (OES) was administered to calves both by esophageal intubation and suckling (Nouri and Constable, 2006). As would be expected, the calves fed by esophageal intubation had slower emptying rates. Many producers have noted that continual feeding of calves using esophageal feeders may result in bloat or fluid pooling in the forestomachs, likely related to the delay in emptying time. Older studies comparing open pail (bucket) and nipple feeding found little difference in general parameters such as health status of the calves or weight gain. However, although closure of the esophageal groove was not different between methods, larger volumes of milk appeared earlier in the intestines after bucket feeding in comparison to nipple feeding. This finding indicated an effect of the feeding method on passage rate through the abomasum (Wise and Lamaster, 1968; Abe et al., 1979).

**Energy Density and Osmolality of Ingested Meal**

Next to volume, the caloric density of an ingested fluid meal is likely the most important determinant of abomasal emptying rate (Hunt and Stubbs, 1975). Energy density, which is determined among other factors by different proportions of lactose and fat, is most often proportional to osmolality, which is measured by osmoreceptors in the duodenum (Bell and McLeay, 1978). Low caloric isotonic fluids, such as isotonic NaHCO₃, are emptied from the abomasum rapidly (Sen et al., 2006), while higher caloric fluids, such as isotonic glucose solution or some milk replacers, are absorbed in a more linear manner to ensure ingesta are constantly presented to the small intestine. Hypertonic solutions (>300 mOsm/L) decrease emptying rate in calves as compared with isotonic electrolyte solutions, with very hypertonic (>600 mOsm/L) solutions substantially slowing emptying (Bell and Razig, 1973; Sen et al., 2006). This effect is present independent of caloric density. Bell and Webber (1979) reported that abomasal emptying of isocaloric hypo-osmolar (<300 mOsm/L) and isocaloric hyperosmolar (>300 mOsm/L) was decreased compared with isocaloric iso-osmolar solutions. A study by Marshall et al. (2005) reported that abomasal emptying rates following suckling of isotonic sodium acetate, NaHCO₃, and NaCl solutions are similar, whereas the emptying rate of an all-milk-protein milk replacer containing 20% crude protein and 20% crude fat was significantly slower than the other solutions mentioned in the study. In a different study, calves fed a hypertonic OES (717 mOsm/L) demonstrated significantly slower emptying rates compared with calves fed a lower osmolality (360 mOsm/L) oral electrolyte.
(Nouri and Constable, 2006). The effect of osmolality on stomach motility has also been described in monogastric animals (Case et al., 1981; Kumar et al., 1987). Electrolyte solutions used for oral therapy of diarrhea are very useful if composed of suitable ingredients for the calves (Bachmann et al., 2009), but they can increase abomasal luminal pH (Smith et al., 2012) and decrease abomasal emptying if the osmolality reaches 600 mmol/L or higher (Smith and Berchtold, 2014). Either of these alterations may facilitate the colonization of the intestine with enteropathogenic bacteria (Smith, 2009). Overall, we can expect meals with higher caloric density and higher osmolality to empty more slowly from the abomasum as compared with meals with lower osmolality.

**Intra-abomasal Pressure**

Luminal pressure is known to have a significant effect on gastric emptying rate. For example, studies performed in dogs provided evidence of a linear association between increased luminal pressure and emptying rate (Strunz and Grossman, 1978). The authors concluded that contraction of the pyloric antrum and luminal pressure of the stomach affected gastric emptying. These findings have been confirmed in dogs (Keinke et al., 1984) and pigs (Anvari et al., 1995) in which studies further investigated the control of gastric motility based on feedstuff characteristics that regulated the flow of ingesta into the pylorus. In ruminants, the abomasal body (corpus abomasi) plays an important role in the emptying process (Cottrell and Stanley, 1992). Receptors measuring the pressure are mainly located at the pyloric antrum and the cranial part of duodenum (Phillipson, 1952; Cottrell and Reynolds, 1994), which affect emptying in both monogastrics and ruminants (Bell 1980a,b; Treacy et al., 1996).

Bell (1980a) carried out research on luminal pressure after drenching of 1.5 L of isotonic saline solution into the abomasum of calves. In the abomasum, no increase in pressure besides the contraction waves were seen (3.7 mm Hg). During contractions, pressure increased up to 14.7 mm Hg in the pylorus and up to 7.4 mm Hg in the body of the abomasum. These contractions were only partially synchronous with the electromyelographic recordings being done at the same time. More research is needed in calves to better define the role of intraluminal pressure on abomasal emptying and whether the volume of the ingested meal potentially affects pressure.

**Abomasal pH**

The effect of abomasal pH on emptying rates is controversial. Bell and Watson (1976) claimed that gastric pH values play an important role, but Ehrlein and Hill (1970) found only minor influence of pH on abomasal motility and emptying in calves. Luminal pH values higher than 10 and lower than 2 have been shown to inhibit abomasal emptying in calves (Bell et al., 1981b). Low abomasal pH is thought to be a risk factor leading to the development of abomasal ulcers (Constable et al., 2005), but an acidic environment also provides a barrier to prevent some bacteria from colonizing the intestinal tract. With increasing pH (above 5.0), the survival rate of potentially pathogenic bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* species increases and therefore colonization of small intestine and development of diarrhea in calves is more likely (Sen et al., 2006). Milk-fed calves exhibit a low luminal pH value in the preprandial period (pH < 2.0), followed by a rapid increase to a luminal pH of 6 after suckling milk or milk replacer (Ahmed et al., 2002a,b). After a milk or milk replacer feeding, abomasal pH remains elevated for up to 2 h and then decreases to preprandial values within 7 to 9 h (Constable et al., 2005; Marshall et al., 2005). Similar results were reported in another study with an abomasal pH of 1.3 preprandial and 5.8 after a milk replacer feeding with a gradual return to preprandial values within 8 h (Smith et al., 2012). Another study evaluated the feeding frequency of milk replacer on abomasal pH in calves (Ahmed et al., 2002a). In calves fed milk replacer at 12% of their BW per day, the mean 24-h abomasal pH was 3.44 in calves fed twice a day and slightly higher in calves fed 3 times (3.69), 4 times (3.64), or 8 times (3.67) per day. These studies used miniature glass pH electrodes that were inserted through abomasal cannulas to measure pH. The administration of OES containing high bicarbonate concentrations resulted in a large increase in pH from 1.3 to 7.5 (mean 24-h pH 4.1 for solution without glycine and 3.5 for the solution with glycine). In contrast, an acetate-containing OES caused only a mild increase (mean 24-h pH 2.1), and luminal pH returned back to preprandial values by 3 h (Smith et al., 2012).

Calves fed an acidified milk replacer had an abomasal pH of <4.0 for a significantly greater percentage of the day compared with calves fed a nonacidified milk replacer (Woodford et al., 1987). However, it should be noted that calves in this study were fed milk replacer at 10% of their BW divided into 2 feedings while calves given acidified milk replacer were fed ad libitum. If pH < 4 is recognized as a critical pH for preventing colonization of the intestine with potentially pathogenic bacteria such as *E. coli* or *Salmonella* species, then the abomasal pH of calves fed an acidified milk replacer stays closer to the desired range. However, this effect is most likely to be related to the high frequency of consuming of acidified replacer.
**Dietary Protein**

Feeding saleable milk is not always cost effective; therefore, many modern dairy farms use milk replacers as a substitute. Skim milk, which is commonly used in Asian countries, and whey protein have often been the major protein component in milk replacers. For economic reasons, cheaper plant-derived proteins have also been used in milk replacers. Some studies have suggested that soy- and wheat-derived proteins might be suitable alternatives as calves reach an age of 4 wk or greater (Montagne et al., 2001). Data on the effects of different proteins on abomasal emptying in calves are controversial, which might be linked to the different levels of intake and age of calves included in the various studies. Terui et al. (1996) and Ortigues-Marty et al. (2003) did not find any differences in abomasal emptying when investigating clinical, metabolic, and production parameters in calves fed either whey or milk replacers containing 30 to 50% of the crude protein from wheat gluten.

In contrast, another study described diarrhea and decreased feed intake and growth rates in calves fed milk replacers partially substituted (52%) by a wheat gluten or potato plant protein, which are known to be have lower digestibility than whey protein or casein (Branco-Pardal et al., 1995). An experimental study on milk replacers made either partially (48%) or totally from wheat protein was performed using abomasal and duodenal cannulas and showed an increase in abomasal emptying rate compared with skim milk or whey powder (Toullec and Formal, 1998). Similar results were seen when comparing 100% whey protein to whey and soy (50:50) or whey and fish (50:50) protein-based milk replacers, resulting in accelerated emptying rates seen with the milk replacers containing fish or soy (Gaudreau and Brisson, 1980). Caugant et al. (1994) did not find any differences in abomasal emptying in vivo between 3 milk replacers in which protein was provided by dried milk, partial (50%) heated soybean flour, or partial (50%) soy protein concentrate. Further studies on plant proteins, including hydrolyzed soy, soy concentrate, and potato concentrate, as partial (mixed 1:1 with skim milk) protein substitutes found shorter transit time through the jejunum, which was linked to the osmotic effects of undigested oligopeptides, amino acids, β-conglycinin, and glycine. The increased volume of undigested feed in the jejunum was likely the outcome of a combination of a decreased digestive enzyme capacity to digest proteins of plant origin and an increased abomasal emptying rate (Montagne et al., 2001). Calves fed milk replacers containing 7.7% wheat protein showed a significantly faster abomasal emptying compared with calves fed milk replacer containing only milk protein; however, clinical parameters and weight gain did not differ between groups (Wittekk et al., 2016). Another factor influencing the emptying time is the intake level of these mentioned ingredients, which did not affect the early studies with limited fed calves, but would be much higher with ad libitum fed calves. The different results between the various studies might be partially due to different processing methods of the milk- or plant-derived proteins, which may result in a wide variability of digestibility, solubility, and other properties of the proteins. Results might also vary depending on the inclusion rate of various alternative protein sources. Regardless, more data are needed on the suitability of non–milk protein sources for calves over 4 wk of age and their effect on abomasal emptying.

**Glucose, Insulin, and Milk Fat**

Increases in the concentration of peripheral insulin and glucose decreases electric activity and emptying rate of the abomasum in calves (McLeay and Bell, 1980). Subsequently, as a result of the reduction in glucose concentrations from insulin release, abomasal emptying rate is accelerated by a neural feedback mechanism, which has been seen in ruminating animals (van Meirhaeghe et al., 1988; Holtenius et al., 2000). Low glucose–containing OES given to calves provide faster rates of abomasal emptying compared with high glucose–containing OES (Nouri and Constable, 2006); however, high-glucose OES are most suitable for the treatment of hypoglycemic calves.

A minimal amount of information exists on how the fat content of milk or milk replacers affects abomasal emptying. In one study, a lard concentration varying from 5 to 25% in milk replacers did not have any significant effect on abomasal emptying in 4- to 6-wk-old calves (Gaudreau and Brisson, 1980), contrary to reports in monogastric animals (Hunt and Knox, 1968). Milk replacers using corn oil as an unsaturated vegetable fat or butter oil or lard as saturated fats did not have any influence on abomasal emptying of 3- to 6-wk-old calves. The authors concluded that the degree of unsaturation of dietary fats did not influence the abomasal emptying rate (Gaudreau and Brisson, 1978). Additionally, it has to be taken into consideration that changes in fat concentration may also result in changes of other parameters like lactose or mineral concentration and that combined effects may occur.

**METHODS FOR DETERMINATION OF ABOMASAL EMPTYING**

Abomasal emptying in calves has been evaluated using numerous methods. These include methods pri-
marily limited to use in experimental settings, such as nuclear scintigraphy, as well as methods that could be used under field conditions. A variety of techniques exist for determining abomasal emptying, and they can be differentiated as direct or indirect or as invasive or noninvasive. Tests may also be for solid or fluid abomasal content.

**Direct Measurements to Determine Abomasal Emptying**

Direct invasive measurements have been developed for experimental, but not routine diagnostic use. Initially, cannulas were implanted in the abomasum and duodenum to evacuate the ingesta out of the abomasal body to measure volume, and these evacuation systems were later replaced by flowmeters (Ash, 1964; Sissons and Smith, 1978; Wanderley et al., 1985). In general, these early techniques have been highly criticized. One major criticism of this approach has been that the cannula penetrates the intestinal wall and thus likely damages the enteric nervous system affecting emptying (Ruckebusch and Kay, 1971; Komarek, 1981; Poncet and Ivan, 1984).

**Imaging Techniques to Measure Abomasal Emptying**

Radiologic examinations using contrast agents have been performed in calves and adult cattle to measure abomasal emptying (Mylrea, 1966; Nagel, 1965a,b). For example, Bell et al. (1977) used barium sulfate as a liquid radiopaque material in calves. This method is useful, but it has limitations and only provides qualitative results. Quantitative results (actually emptying rates) cannot be determined accurately using contrast media because of the constant flow rate from the abomasum to the intestine.

**Nuclear Scintigraphy**

Nuclear scintigraphy with technetium 99m has long been the gold standard for measuring gastric emptying in humans (Maurer, 2012) and has been used for measuring abomasal emptying in milk-fed calves (Nappert and Lattimer, 2001; Marshall et al., 2005). Technetium 99m has a short half-life, and because it emits radiation at different energies, both the solid and liquid phases of gastric emptying can be labelled. Although scintigraphy provides accurate data on abomasal emptying and should be considered the gold standard, it has several disadvantages. The cost and availability of a gamma camera and other expensive equipment is obviously a concern, and the use of nuclear material results in tissue residues. Therefore, these animals are never permitted to enter the food chain and have to be euthanized following the study. Because nuclear scintigraphy is not suitable for routine field studies, other methods have been developed and validated for use in calves.

**Ultrasonographic Measurement of Abomasal Dimensions**

Ultrasonographic measurement of the abomasal dimensions is an accurate method to calculate the abomasal volume and location in calves (Figure 1). Comparison of the abomasal volume before and after intake of a standardized meal permits an accurate determination of the abomasal emptying rate in calves (Wittek et al., 2005a). To perform ultrasonographic measurement of abomasal emptying rate in calves, the hair on the ventral aspect of the abdomen has to be clipped. A 3.5-MHz ultrasound sector probe is applied to the ventral aspect of the abdomen in transverse and sagittal planes to determine the maximal ultrasonographically visible abomasal dimensions. Ultrasonographic measurements are performed immediately before the start of suckling and periodically after the start of suckling. Based on the assumption that the shape of the abomasum is ellipsoid, the equation for the volume of an ellipsoid can be used to calculate the volume of the abomasum (Wittek et al., 2005a). A modified power exponential equation can be used to calculate the half time of abomasal emptying from the abomasal volume using nonlinear regression. Abomasal curd formation can be seen and measured with ultrasound (Miyazaki et al., 2009). The use of ultrasound in examination of forestomachs in healthy calves and in ruminal drinker calves during their first 100 d of life was described by Braun and Gautschi (2013). Ultrasound was also used to determine the ruminal milk volume (milk that enters the rumen while the calf is suckling) by measuring the difference between total milk intake and abomasal milk volume after suckling (Labussière et al., 2014).

**Electromyography**

Electromyography records and analyzes differences in the electrical potentials in the stomach and intestinal wall to assume motility. The correlation between electrical signals and contraction of stomach muscular layers has not been interpreted consistently between studies (Ruckebusch and Brady, 1982; Paffenbach et al., 1998; Sanmiguel et al., 1998). Sissons (1983) examined preruminating calves to investigate the correlation between myoelectric activity and flow of the ingesta. He reported potential differences of 3.4 to 4.0 spikes/min in the abomasal wall, which was not influenced by the amount of milk consumed by the calves. These spikes...
Figure 1. Illustrations of the measurement of abomasal surface projection in suckling calves. (Reprinted with permission from Am. J. Vet. Res.: Wittek et al., 2005a.) (A) Ventral view of the abdominal region of a calf. R and L = right and left sides of the calf; 1 = xiphoid process; 2 = cranial margin of the abomasum; 3 = caudal margin of the abomasum; 4 = length of the abomasum; 5 = width of the abomasum to the right of midline of the abdomen; 6 = width of the abomasum to the left of midline of the abdomen. (B) Right lateral view of the abdominal region of a calf with the head of the calf toward the right.
were assumed to mix the ingesta in the abomasum, but not for their transport to the duodenum. However, the study also found that the myoelectric activity in the pyloric antrum was responsible for transport to the small intestine, which had previously been described by Bell and Grivel (1975).

**pH Monitoring**

This invasive technique of pH monitoring is performed using one or multiple surgically positioned cannulas in the abomasal body or the pyloric antrum. Monitoring of abomasal luminal pH in milk-fed calves can also give an estimate of the rate of emptying via measuring the time taken for luminal pH to return to within 1.0 pH units of the preprandial value (Marshall et al., 2008). The increase in luminal pH results from the high pH of the liquid meals (relative to the normally acidic abomasal environment); therefore, the pH returns to preprandial values after the ingesta have been emptied from the stomach. This approach has been repeated by other authors (Ahmed et al., 2001, 2002a,b; Constable et al., 2005; Smith et al., 2012). Although it is not thought to predict abomasal emptying as accurately as ultrasonography or acetaminophen absorption (described in the following section), monitoring changes in abomasal pH after a meal provides a good estimate of abomasal emptying rate.

**Indirect Tests for Abomasal Emptying**

Indirect measurement of abomasal emptying implies that the technique does not directly attempt to measure how quickly or slowly ingesta empties from the abomasum, but instead measures something that is tightly controlled by the rate of emptying. Indirect measurement of the motility and emptying of the abomasum can be done by using resorption tests. These solutions, which are not absorbed in the abomasum but fully absorbed in the small intestine, are not metabolized; therefore, their concentration can be measured in blood. The use of acetaminophen and D-xylose has been described in both humans and animals (Heading et al., 1973; Maddern et al., 1985; Sanaka et al., 1997). Other resorption tests have been established for the measurement of gastric emptying in humans including C-octane acid, C-acetic acid, and the C-triolein breathing test (King et al., 1982; Braden et al., 1995; Choi et al., 1997). Some of these assays have been modified for testing absorption capacity of the intestine in calves with diarrhea (Holland et al., 1986).

Test solutions for resorption tests are water soluble; therefore, they can determine emptying of the fluid part of the ingesta accurately (Maddern et al., 1985), but not the solid phase. The abomasal content of cattle consists of 94 to 97% fluid, providing evidence that these absorption tests are reliable for measuring abomasal emptying (Faichney and Griffith, 1978; Malbert and Ruckebusch, 1988).

**Acetaminophen Absorption Pharmacokinetics**

Acetaminophen is commonly known as an analgesic and antipyretic drug in human medicine. It is absorbed in the small intestine after oral ingestion. The apparent rate of absorption of acetaminophen is much faster than the rate of elimination in suckling calves. The maximal acetaminophen concentration (C_max) and time to maximal acetaminophen concentration (T_max) after oral ingestion are primarily dependent on the rate of abomasal emptying. Acetaminophen absorption has been reported as a measure of abomasal emptying rate in suckling calves, with T_max giving the most accurate indicator of emptying rate (Figure 2). Schaer et al. (2005) claimed that the ratio of T_max to C_max might be the best index in suckling calves. This claim was reviewed by using regression analysis, which indicated a linear relationship between to the 2 indices with a high R^2 value (0.88). However, the R^2 value for T_max/C_max was lower than that for T_max (R^2 = 0.91), which remains the preferred method (Constable et al., 2006).

The procedure for acetaminophen absorption test has been described (Marshall et al., 2005). The substance (50 mg/kg of BW) is mixed in a carrier fluid (such as whole milk or milk replacer). Calves are generally allowed to suckle the milk to ensure closure of the esophageal groove. The drug is absorbed after being emptied from the abomasum and is distributed throughout the blood. Jugular (venous) blood is collected, and plasma acetaminophen concentrations are determined. Frequent sampling is important to ensure enough data points before and after the time of maximal acetaminophen concentration for performing nonlinear regression. Values for C_max and T_max are derived from the plasma acetaminophen concentration over time. To determine the precise emptying rate, the first derivative of a modified power exponential formula to model the acetaminophen concentration–time curve can be used (Constable et al., 2006). This approach is based on the fact that the acetaminophen concentration–time relationship, represented as a cumulative dose curve, is an inverse analog of the scintigraphic emptying curve. The first derivative of a modified power exponential model focuses on gastric emptying and subsequent small intestinal absorption of a marker substance and therefore provides a conceptually appropriate model for
assessing abomasal emptying rate. For comparison, the traditional one-compartment open model that is used to describe oral absorption pharmacokinetics simultaneously considers gastric emptying, small intestinal absorption, and systemic clearance, and it therefore provides a less precise estimate of abomasal emptying rate (Marshall et al., 2005).

Acetaminophen is water soluble and permits an estimate of the emptying rate of the liquid phase (such as milk or milk replacer), but not the solid or semisolid phase. The acetaminophen absorption test, a simple and accurate noninvasive method, is suitable for the assessment of abomasal emptying rate in field studies. It is a validated method that has produced very similar results to nuclear scintigraphy in calves (Marshall et al., 2005). However, in some countries it may not legally be possible to use acetaminophen in food-producing animals.

**d-Xylose Absorption Pharmacokinetics**

D-Xylose, a natural pentose sugar, may replace acetaminophen for measuring abomasal emptying rate. D-Xylose absorption is mainly influenced by abomasal emptying rate, although motility of the small intestine, the surface area available for absorption, and bacterial flora of the small intestine also influence the d-xylose concentration–time relationship. The D-xylose absorption test was first described as a diagnostic procedure for malabsorption syndrome in humans. Since that time, it has been used as a malabsorption test in adult cattle (Pearson and Baldwin, 1981) and calves (Seegarber and Morrill, 1979; Mir et al., 1993; Nappert et al., 1993). Wittek et al. (2005b) described a pharmacokinetic modeling approach to accurately estimate time to maximal D-xylose concentration as an index of abomasal emptying rate. D-Xylose absorption
is maintained by active and passive transport mechanisms in the duodenum and proximal jejunum, but it is absorbed with low efficiency in cattle. Only 10 to 20% of orally administered D-xylose is absorbed in calves and lactating dairy cattle. The D-xylose test in suckling calves is performed by giving 0.5 to 1.3 g of D-xylose per kilogram of BW as a 4.6 to 10% solution alone or as part of the fluid meal. The maximal plasma D-xylose concentrations were seen at 150 min in newborn calves is performed by giving 0.5 to 1.3 g of D-xylose per kilogram of BW as a 4.6 to 10% solution alone or as part of the fluid meal. The maximal plasma D-xylose concentrations were seen at 150 min in newborn calves. The usage of polyethylene glycol was described by Näslund et al. (2000) in an evaluation of gastric emptying in humans. Spectral photometric measurement of polyethylene glycol can only be done in clear fluids, which leads to problems in calves fed milk or milk replacer. The phenol red dilution method also assumes that abomasal secretion rates are identical for all test substances, which is not always correct when calves suckle different formulations of milk or milk replacer. This assumption also clearly becomes invalid with solutions that have different pH values, osmolality, and buffering capacity (Marshall et al., 2005). Chrome-EDTA, cobalt-EDTA, polyethylene glycol, ytterbium acetate, and ytterbium chloride are other marker substances that have been used for measuring abomasal emptying (Poncet and Al Abd, 1984; Van Bruchem et al., 1984; Siddons et al., 1985). The usage of polyethylene glycol was described by Breukink et al. (1988), when studying the failure of reticular groove reflex in nursing calves that led to persistent ruminal drinking. The same technique was described by Näslund et al. (2000) in an evaluation of different methods for measuring gastric emptying in humans.

Other Testing Approaches

Other diagnostic techniques, such as recording and analyzing the stomach and intestine sounds (Yuki et al., 2002), impedance scanning, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging (McClelland and Sutton, 1985; Schwizer et al., 2002), are routinely used for evaluation of gastric motility examination in humans but have not been described in ruminants.

**Dilution Tests**

Dilution tests using phenol red and polyethylene glycol have been used to measure abomasal emptying in several studies (George, 1968; Hunt, 1974; Beckers et al., 1988). Spectral photometric measurement of phenol red can only be done in clear fluids, which leads to problems in calves fed milk or milk replacer. The phenol red dilution method also assumes that abomasal secretion rates are identical for all test substances, which is not always correct when calves suckle different formulations of milk or milk replacer. This assumption also clearly becomes invalid with solutions that have different pH values, osmolality, and buffering capacity (Marshall et al., 2005). Chrome-EDTA, cobalt-EDTA, polyethylene glycol, ytterbium acetate, and ytterbium chloride are other marker substances that have been used for measuring abomasal emptying (Poncet and Al Abd, 1984; Van Bruchem et al., 1984; Siddons et al., 1985). The usage of polyethylene glycol was described by Breukink et al. (1988), when studying the failure of reticular groove reflex in nursing calves that led to persistent ruminal drinking. The same technique was described by Näslund et al. (2000) in an evaluation of different methods for measuring gastric emptying in humans.

**Other Testing Approaches**

Other diagnostic techniques, such as recording and analyzing the stomach and intestine sounds (Yuki et al., 2002), impedance scanning, computed tomography, and magnetic resonance imaging (McClelland and Sutton, 1985; Schwizer et al., 2002), are routinely used for evaluation of gastric motility examination in humans but have not been described in ruminants.

**Abomasal Bloat**

Several reports on a complex syndrome known as abomasal tympany, abomasal bloat, braxy-like disease, and abomasitis have been published (Roeder et al., 1987; Mills et al., 1990; Marshall, 2009). This syndrome in young calves is characterized by anorexia, abdominal distension, bloat, and often sudden death within 48 h (Panciera et al., 2007). In mild cases, clinical signs include diarrhea, watery fluid in the abomasum, and depression. Hyperglycemia (10.5–28 mmol/L) accompanied by glucosuria (3–6 mmol/L) may also be present. Severely affected calves show perceptible dehydration, colic, prominent abdominal distension, diarrhea, and recumbency. Systemic acidosis, as evidenced by low blood pH, low serum bicarbonate concentration, and base deficit was reported by Roeder et al. (1987) for calves with abomasal bloat. Calves typically exhibit abdominal distension on the right side of the abdomen or potentially on both sides as the abomasum fills up with gas and occupies the majority of the abdominal cavity (Figure 3). At necropsy most of these calves present with abomasal tympany, forestomach and abomasal edema, hemorrhage, mucosal inflammation, erosion and necrosis, and occasionally mural emphysema. Emphysematous bullae are present in stomach walls of these calves (Glenn Songer and Miskimins, 2005).

Abomasal bloat most commonly occurs in dairy calves and seems to have a sporadic occurrence, with some farms having multiple outbreaks at times (Marshall, 2009). A survey of bovine practitioners reported that abomasal (acute) bloat syndrome is a common problem in dairy herds within the United States and has frequently been identified in well-managed herds (Midla et al., 2007). This survey further reported that a clear trend in the occurrence of abomasal bloat with regard to herd size, breed, or season is lacking. Abomasal bloat had been identified on farms using conventional milk replacers and milk replacers with higher protein and fat levels as well as on farms using both pasteurized and unpasteurized whole milk. No single diet type or feeding method emerged as a conspicuous risk factor for abomasal bloat in this survey. Other risk factors that have been reported for abomasal bloat include high-osmolality milk replacers or OES, improper mixing of milk replacers, a large volume of milk being fed in a single daily feeding, cold milk (or milk replacer), water not being offered to calves, erratic feeding schedules, and failure of passive transfer (Marshall, 2009).
A bacterial etiology is often mentioned in association with abomasal bloat. The most frequently incriminated bacterial pathogens includes *Clostridium perfringens* along with *Campylobacter* and *Sarcina* species (Eustis and Bergeland, 1981; Aubry, 2004; Glenn Songer and Miskimins, 2005). These pathogens have also been described as being associated with abomasal bloat in small ruminants (DeBey et al., 1996; Vatn et al., 2000). Additional bacterial pathogens isolated from calves affected with abomasal bloat include α streptococci, other streptococci species, and *E. coli*. *Clostridium perfringens* was most frequently seen as type A, E, or C producing β toxin (Roeder et al., 1987). Beta toxin damages the intestinal microvilli, mitochondria, and terminal capillaries in the mucosa. Progressive necrosis of the mucosa follows and a large number of gram-positive bacilli invade those areas. *Clostridium perfringens* type A was identified as the causative agent in a case report of 24 dairy calves dying from severe acute abomasal disease. The authors reported that the farm used antimicrobials inappropriately instead of establishing hygiene measures, and improvement was achieved by avoiding fecal contamination of the colostrum (Van Kruiningen et al., 2009). In another report, *Sarcina*-like bacteria were reported to contribute to the development of abomasal bloat in goat kids (DeBey et al., 1996). Histologic evidence of *Sarcina* species including *Sarcina ventriculi* and *Sarcina maxima* was detected in the superficial mucosa, but no bacteria could be cultured from the lesions (Edwards et al., 2008). Vatn et al. (2000) reported that evidence of *Sarcina* could be identified in the abomasal wall of all lambs with bloat; however, the bacteria could only be isolated in one case. In addition, investigators cultured *Clostridium fallax* and *Clostridium sordellii* out of the ingesta of lambs with abomasal bloat and failed to isolate these potential pathogens out of the ingesta of one healthy lamb (Vatn et al., 2000). *Clostridium perfringens*, *E. coli*, and *Lactobacillus* spp. were present in both case and control carcasses from that study. Intraruminal inoculations of *C. perfringens* type A into healthy dairy calves resulted in anorexia, depression, bloat, diarrhea, and death (Roeder et al., 1988).

Experimental induction of abomasal bloat in calves was achieved by drenching Holstein calves less than 10 d of age with a carbohydrate mixture containing milk replacer, corn starch, and glucose mixed in water to provide a meal with excessive fermentable carbohy-

Figure 3. Holstein calf exhibiting clinical signs of abomasal bloat including depression and abdominal distension. Reprinted with permission from Marshall (2009). Color version available online.
drate (Panciera et al., 2007). The authors suggested the syndrome of abomasal bloat in calves is multifactorial, and they proposed that the pathophysiology primarily involves excess fermentation of high-energy gastrointestinal contents in the abomasum (from milk, milk replacer, or high-energy OES), along with the presence of fermentative enzymes (produced by bacteria) leading to gas production and bloat. This process would be accelerated by anything that slowed abomasal emptying or caused gastrointestinal ileus. Ultimately, the exact etiology of abomasal bloat is unknown, but it likely involves both bacteria that produce gas as well as something that slows abomasal emptying. Control of abomasal bloat problems on a dairy farm generally begin with a thorough evaluation of the nutrition program to see if any changes need to be made. This evaluation would include what type of milk or milk replacer is being fed, volume fed at each feeding, feeding schedule, temperature of milk fed to calves, how the milk replacer is mixed, how feeding equipment is sanitized, and possibly even a water analysis in some cases. Recording the total solids of the milk replacer and potentially even measuring osmolality will provide additional information about the density and mixing consistency of the milk replacer being fed. A few studies have described the importance of proper feeding equipment sanitation. In one study, a chloride dioxide wash protocol was compared with one using sodium hypochlorite, but no differences were found on calf performance or health between the 2 protocols (Hill et al., 2015). The quality of drinking water and water used for reconstituting milk replacer is also important for calf health, and more research is needed in this area (Hird and Robinson, 1982). In addition, sanitation of milk feeding equipment is important for limiting bacterial growth. Anecdotally, focusing on controlling abomasal bloat through a nutritional approach is often much more successful than trying to control the problem by instituting C. perfringens vaccination. However, specific data on the efficacy of clostridial vaccines to control bloat have not been published.

Abomasal bloat should be differentiated from ruminal bloat, which occurs because of inadequate esophageal groove closure in young calves. The esophageal groove is a continuation of the lower esophagus, passing the medial wall of the reticulum and terminating in the reticulo-omasal orifice. The closure reflex is maintained by the sucking activity of the calf, and the groove is not properly closed if the calves drink out of buckets. It may also not close if milk temperatures are variable, the calves are under stress, calves are fed too low to the ground, or milk flow rates are too high (Blowey, 1994). Failure of esophageal groove closure leads to rumen drinking in which greater than normal amounts of milk enter the ruminoreticulum instead of the abomasum. Prolonged retention of milk in the rumen results in bacterial fermentation and production of lactic acid, leading to both a ruminal and systemic (metabolic) acidosis (Breukink et al., 1988). Clinical findings in ruminal drinker calves include refusal of milk, poor suckle reflex, recurrent ruminal bloat, and splashing sounds on the left side of the abdomen in young calves. Calves are frequently depressed and dehydrated, and they may die due to the severity of the metabolic acidosis. Treatment primarily involves rumen lavage with a stomach tube to siphon off the fermented milk remaining in the rumen along with fluid therapy to correct the acidosis. Although ruminal drinker syndrome has been described in calves representing multiple breeds, it appears to be most common in Simmental calves from Germany and Switzerland (Herrli-Gygi et al., 2008).

Recent Changes in Dairy Calf Feeding

Replacement heifer management is an expensive process (Heinrichs, 1993), and dairy producers often want early puberty, early breeding, and a young age at first calving (Lohakare et al., 2012) to shorten the time frame of an animal that is not economically profitable for the farm. The mammary gland of the heifer is also developing during the first year of life, and during the period from 3 to 9 mo of age, the growth rate of the mammary gland is faster than that of the rest of the body (Swanson and Poffenbarger, 1979). Preweaning average daily gain is positively correlated with first lactation milk yield. For example, one study showed that for every 1-kg increase in preweaning average daily gain, heifers produced 850 kg more milk in their first lactation and 235 kg more milk for every 1 Mcal of ME intake above maintenance (Soberon et al., 2012). Data similar to these findings have led to the realization that nutrition of the newborn dairy calf is a major factor influencing the ability of the growing animal to express her genetic capacity to produce milk. These findings are supported by a meta-analysis that reported calves with higher daily weight gains during the preweaning period show a significantly higher milk yield during the first lactation (Soberon and Van Amburgh, 2013).

Data also indicate that calves fed at a higher plane of nutrition are more resistant to disease than calves on more conventional milk feeding programs. In one study, pasteurized waste milk was shown to promote growth rates and decrease morbidity and mortality in calves in comparison with calves feed conventional milk replacer (Godden et al., 2005). In another study, calves fed a milk program designed for more preweaning growth (28% protein, 20% fat) maintained hydration, had faster resolution of diarrhea, had increased BW gain,
and showed better feed conversion after experimental challenge with Cryptosporidium parvum than calves fed conventional milk replacer (20% protein, 20% fat; Olivett et al., 2012). Although many people have long recognized that a strong correlation exists between calf nutrition and rates of disease, we have only recently had data to illustrate the importance of this relationship. With the plethora of new data published in the last 5 to 10 yr, it has been hard to avoid the realization that we have been significantly underfeeding dairy heifers for a long time and that more biologically relevant planes of nutrition provide multiple benefits (Khan et al., 2011).

To accomplish this goal, several new technologies have gained popularity, including novel milk replacers, automated calf feeding systems, and the acidification of milk fed ad libitum. In addition, many dairies have simply chosen to increase the volume of milk or milk replacer they feed to their calves. All of these have largely been introduced to assist dairy producers that wish to feed for more preweaning growth rates. Newer milk replacers providing increased nutrient density have been recommended by nutritionists to promote growth and development and attain the benefits associated with feeding calves at higher planes of nutrition (Davis Rincker et al., 2011). These milk replacers typically have a significantly higher osmolality than whole milk, which could potentially slow abomasal emptying. For example, raw bovine milk typically has an osmolality of approximately 275 to 285 mOsm/L, whereas some newer milk replacers mixed according to label directions have osmolalities above 600 mOsm/L. Modification of protocols to prepare milk replacers such as adding more powder than the directions would indicate or not mixing with the correct amount of water or using softened water would further increase osmolality and nutrient density. If water-soluble antimicrobials, coccidiostats, or other substances are added to the milk replacer, the osmolality increases even further and would slow abomasal emptying. Therefore, the concentration of protein and fat, the caloric density, and osmolality of milk or milk replacers fed to calves on dairy farms can vary significantly. In addition, the nutrient density of waste milk fed to calves can vary considerably, particularly on large dairy farms. For example, in one study, samples were collected from 12 different dairy farms representing milk that was being fed to calves (Moore et al., 2009). Percentages of total solids ranged from 5.1 to 13.5%, and many samples were well below the 12.5 to 13% total solids value expected for whole milk. Therefore, it has become common for farms feeding whole milk to add additional powder (milk balancer) that is typically high in protein (approximately 25%) and low in fat (about 10%). Adding milk balancer to whole or pasteurized milk has been shown to increase growth rates in calves before weaning (Glosson et al., 2015).

Certainly, the benefits to feeding calves a higher plane of nutrition significantly outweigh the risks of potentially slowing abomasal emptying in the calf. By no means are we suggesting that higher protein milk replacers or pasteurized milk balancers are inappropriate; in fact, they have been used effectively on many dairy farms. However, we do believe that veterinarians, nutritionists, and producers should be aware of possible hazards with the use of very high osmolality milk or milk replacers or electrolyte products that could slow abomasal emptying and facilitate bacterial fermentation in the abomasum (Nouri and Constable, 2006). Several feeding strategies can minimize the effect of more concentrated milk or milk replacer products on gastrointestinal health. For example, regularly estimating the nutrient density of milk by checking the percentage of total solids as it is being fed to calves helps prevent osmolality from getting extremely high and helps diagnose milk replacer mixing problems. Brix refractometers can be used and have been shown to provide a rapid and fairly accurate method for estimating milk total solids (Chigerwe and Hagey, 2014). Although exact recommendations for total solids are difficult to find in the published literature, in general abomasal bloat problems are often seen with total solid well above 15% (osmolality values over 650 mOsm/L). Another strategy to limit the effect on abomasal emptying is to feed smaller volumes of milk more frequently. As farms feed large volumes (4 L or more) of concentrated milk or milk replacer meals in a single feeding, this exacerbates the negative effect on abomasal emptying. Feeding smaller volumes (2–3 L) multiple times per day has helped minimize the occurrence of bloat in some herds. This approach is often made more practical by the use of automated calf feeding systems. Maintaining regular feeding schedules and making sure milk or milk replacer is warm also anecdotally appear to help reduce the incidence of abomasal bloat, although the exact effect on abomasal emptying is unclear.

**Future Research Priorities and Conclusions**

Although much is known about factors that influence abomasal emptying, a lot remains to be learned about how to optimize abomasal emptying in modern calf feeding programs. Further studies on how different types and concentrations of milk replacer effect abomasal emptying are needed. This information will help answer the question of what total solid percentage
is optimal for getting the most nutrition into a calf without risking gastrointestinal disease. Are 16 or 18% total solid milk or milk replacers safe if lower volumes are fed multiple times per day? For farms using automated calf feeders, what is the optimal volume to allow per feeding and how many times per day should calves be encouraged to suckle? For farms that feed large volumes of milk once a day, how is this affecting abomasal emptying? Additionally, the composition of milk replacers has been shown to possibly influence abomasal emptying. Further research seems to be necessary to assess the effects, especially of cheaper plant-derived proteins and fat. These proteins and fats are used and processed in various ways, which can make a difference in abomasal emptying. What are the effects of heat or cold stress on abomasal emptying, and do we need to change feeding recommendations during extreme weather conditions? Anecdotally, some veterinarians in northern climates have reported higher incidences of abomasal bloat during the winter. In contrast, the incidence in the southeastern United States is perceived to be higher during the summer when temperatures are above 32°C. Although no real data are available on seasonality of abomasal bloat associated with different climates, nothing is known about the effects of heat or cold stress on abomasal emptying. With the popularity of acidified milk or milk replacers in some areas, a frequent question is how do they affect abomasal emptying. Although the effects of abomasal pH on emptying rates are controversial and not completely understood, as already discussed, it would be interesting to monitor the abomasal emptying rates of calves fed an acidified milk replacer and compare the results to those from calves fed an equivalent milk replacer that was not acidified. It would be good to have a better understanding of whether temperature of milk affects emptying and if cold milk replacer is truly emptied much slower. Another potential research topic would be the effect of cold stress on abomasal emptying, and if cold milk replacer is truly emptied much slower. Cold stress on abomasal emptying, and if cold milk replacer is truly emptied much slower. Cold stress on abomasal emptying, and if cold milk replacer is truly emptied much slower. Cold stress on abomasal emptying, and if cold milk replacer is truly emptied much slower. Cold stress on abomasal emptying, and if cold milk replacer is truly emptied much slower.


