Application of a bacteriological on-farm test to reduce antimicrobial usage in dairy cows with purulent vaginal discharge
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ABSTRACT

The objective of this study was to assess the effect of a selective antibiotic treatment strategy based on a quick bacteriological on-farm test (Petrifilm, 3M Corp., St. Paul, MN) compared with the conventional antibiotic treatment of all cows having clinical endometritis (CE) defined by the presence of purulent vaginal discharge on both clinical cure rate and reproductive performance. The study was simultaneously conducted with dairy cows reared under a highly supplemented rotational grazing system in Argentina and in a freestall system in Slovakia. Cows having an abnormal vaginal discharge (VD, indicative of clinical endometritis) on 21 to 35 d in milk (DIM) were randomly allocated to 1 of 2 study groups: selective treatment (ST) or conventional treatment (CT). All cows in the CT group (n = 174) received a single intrauterine administration of 500 mg of cephapirin. In the ST group (n = 178), treatment decision was made according to the results of the bacteriological on-farm test. For this test, we collected intrauterine samples with the cytobrush technique and stroke the brushes onto 2 different Petrifilm plates, one for aerobic count and another for Enterobacteriaceae count, incubated the plates, and counted the number of colonies after 24 h. Positive cows (≥5 colonies in one or both plates) received a single intrauterine treatment with 500 mg of cephapirin, whereas negative cows (<5 colonies) remained untreated. Clinical cure rate was assessed by direct vaginal inspection at 14 d after treatment (VD-0). The odds for conception at first artificial insemination, artificial insemination by 80 DIM, pregnancy by 100 DIM, and for nonpregnancy by 200 DIM were estimated with mixed logistic regression models. The hazard of conception was also assessed with proportional hazard regression model. The selective antibiotic treatment strategy based on the outcome of Petrifilm test reduced the number of required treatments (57%) and maintained similar efficacy in terms of clinical cure and reproductive performance as the conventional antibiotic treatment of all endometritic cows.
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INTRODUCTION

Clinical endometritis (CE) is commonly defined as an endometrial inflammation with the presence of purulent or mucopurulent vaginal discharge (VD) without systemic signs of illness in cows with more than 20 DIM (Sheldon et al., 2006). Some cows with abnormal VD have no cytological evidence of endometritis, and therefore the term “purulent vaginal discharge” (PVD) can be used instead of CE (Denis-Robichaud and Dubuc, 2015b; Dubuc et al., 2011). Clinical endometritis or PVD affects 15 to 20% of cows at 4 to 6 wk postpartum and impairs fertility, consequently worsening the economic situation of farms (LeBlanc, 2008). Outside prevention strategies, treatment of CE is a topic of controversial discussion (Dubuc et al., 2011). This controversy is triggered by high self-cure rates ranging from 63 to 77% (Gautam et al., 2010; Dubuc et al., 2011; Plöntzke et al., 2011; Giuliodori et al., 2013) and by the unsatisfactory results of some treatment regimens. For example, the use of proteolytic enzymes is not recommended (Drillich et al., 2005), homeopathic remedies were not effective (Arlt et al., 2009), and local treatment with dextrose yielded inconsistent results.
In addition, conflicting reports exist on the use of prostaglandin F2\textalpha{} with regard to cure rate and reproductive performance (Mejía and Lacau-Mengido, 2005; Hirshbrunner et al., 2006; Kaufmann et al., 2010; Dubuc et al., 2011; Haimerl et al., 2013). However, the efficacy of an intrauterine antibiotic therapy with cepahirin has been demonstrated in several studies (LeBlanc et al., 2002; Runciman et al., 2009; Denis-Robichaud and Dubuc, 2015a). Cepahirin, a first-generation cephalosporin, is approved for the treatment of bovine endometritis in many countries, but not in the United States. In general, the use of antibiotics in food-producing animals, particularly highly critical important antibiotics (“reserve antibiotic”) in human medicine (e.g., third- and fourth-generation cephalosporins), should be kept to a minimum. In this sense, for example, the European Union is funding programs to assess the amount of antibiotics used and their resistance rates (directive 2003/99/EC). In Latin America, the first regulations have recently been released in Argentina (directives 834/2015 and 391/2015) with the intent of assessing antibiotic usage and bacterial resistance in food-producing animals. Because the use of antimicrobials in food animals is considered to lead to the development of resistance to these drugs (Santos et al., 2010; Kasimanickam et al., 2016), an urgent need exists for new treatment strategies (e.g., selected treatment strategies). Detailed clinical examination, the assessment of animal-based welfare parameters, and the use of predictive laboratory tests have been suggested as relevant measures to improve the situation on farm (Trevisi et al., 2014). Thus, the challenge for practitioners is how they can reduce the amount of antibiotics to a minimum by implementing a preventive management program at the herd level to control the proportion of cows with CE and then to treat them efficaciously to maintain their fertility.

Petrifilm (3M Corp., St. Paul, MN) was originally developed for on-site determination of bacteria in drinking water, food products, and other items (Bredie and de Boer, 1992; Schraft and Watterworth, 2005). Recently, the use of Petrifilm as a rapid on-farm test for detection of mastitis pathogens in dairy cows was shown to enable implementation of a selected and targeted mastitis treatment strategy (McCarron et al., 2009; Cameron et al., 2014). Therefore, our hypothesis was that this rapid on-farm bacteriological test could help us to select cows with PVD for antibiotic treatment and thus reduce the number antibiotic treatments in dairy cows. Our objective was to assess the effect of a strategy that is based on the use of the on-farm test followed by selected treatment of positively identified cows (selective antibiotic treatment) compared with conventional treatment of all cows with PVD on both clinical cure rate and reproductive performance.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Design**

This study was simultaneously conducted on dairy farms in Argentina and Slovakia as a completely randomized block design with country as the blocking criterion and cow as the experimental unit. Sample size was estimated with a confidence level of 95% and a power of 80%. We assumed cure rates of 50% and 65% for selective and conventional groups, respectively. The estimated sample size was 133 cases per group or approximately 266 cows to be enrolled in the trial. A one-sided significance level was used because no negative effect of treatment was expected. This estimation was run with the option Sample size/Estimate differences between percentages in WinEpi (http://www.winepi.net/uk/index.htm). Cows included were postpartum dairy cows (21–35 DIM) having VD ≥1 (abnormal vaginal discharges) and not having cesarean section, fetotomy, or systemic antibiotic treatment after parturition (to avoid false-negative bacteriological findings). From a total of 352 enrolled cows, 152 belonged to the Argentinean farm (78 primiparous and 74 multiparous cows) and 200 to the Slovakian farm (all multiparous cows).

**Study Farms and Herd Management**

The Argentinian part of the study was conducted on a commercial dairy farm with 2,600 Holstein Friesian cows, located in Carlos Casares (35°37′ S, 61°22′ W), between November 2013 and August 2015. The land base of the dairy farms was 2,000 ha. Prepartum transition cows that were within 4 wk of expected calving date were maintained on dry lots, fed a low DCAD diet, and monitored for signs of calving by farm employees trained to assist parturition. After calving, cows were sent for 3 d to the fresh herd and kept on a dry lot. Lactating cows were at pasture in a rotational system (different paddock in the morning and afternoon). Feed was composed of mixed pastures (lucerne, tall fescue) and winter annual grasses (ryegrass) and supplemented with TMR diets (corn silage, soybean pellets, and corn meal) formulated to meet or exceed the NRC (2001) nutrient requirements for lactating Holstein cows weighing 650 kg and producing 45 kg of milk (3.5% fat). Concentrates (40% soybean pellets and 60% corn meal) were offered twice daily during milking. Average milk yield was 9,600 kg per lactation. Calving occurred year round except for the hot summer months (January
Clinical Examination and Sampling

All dairy cows were examined between 21 to 35 DIM by manual vaginal inspection with a gloved hand (Pleticha et al., 2009) and transrectal palpation. Vaginal discharge was assessed with the following score: VD-0 (normal clear discharge), VD-1 (clear discharge with pus flecks), VD-2 (mucopurulent not fetid discharge), and VD-3 (watery, purulent or brown-colored, and fetid). The BCS of cows was assessed on a 5-point scale (Edmonson et al., 1989), and cows were tail bled to determine BHB concentration in whole blood (FreeStyle Precision; Abbott Germany, Wiesbaden, Germany). After clinical examination, intrauterine samples were collected using the cytobrush technique as described earlier (Kasimanickam et al., 2004; Prunner et al., 2014). The cytobrush (Gynobrush, Heinz Herenz, Hamburg, Germany; 20 mm in length and 7 mm in diameter), screwed on a metal rod and protected by a disposable plastic catheter and a plastic sheath, was inserted into the uterine cavity similar to AI technique. Inside the uterine cavity, the sheath was drawn back and the brush was moved forward and rolled onto the endometrial wall. We sampled every cow twice to obtain one specimen for bacteriological testing and the second for cytological examination. The first brush was washed out in 3 mL of lysogenic broth medium, and 1 mL of the fluid was subsequently placed in each of the 2 different Petrifilm plates, one for aerobic count and another for Enterobacteriaceae count (3M Corp.), which were then incubated at 37°C. The Petrifilm plates were inspected after 24 h of incubation, and the number of grown colonies was counted. From 88 randomly selected animals, a routine bacteriological analysis was performed to obtain information about the population of present bacteria (Westermann et al., 2010). The second cytobrush was used to determine the proportion of PMNL in the endometrial sample. A total of 200 cells were counted under a microscope by 400× magnification to determine the proportion of PMNL (Melcher et al., 2014). A proportion of 5% PMNL was chosen as the threshold for endometritis (endometrial inflammation). These findings were used to determine the proportion of false-positive findings for endometritis by vaginal examination (VD-1 to VD-3) in both groups (Westermann et al., 2010).

Animal Treatment

Cows with even ear tag numbers were allocated to the selective treatment (ST) group and cows having odd ear tag numbers were allocated to the conventional treatment (CT) group. Animals in the ST group were treated according to the readout on the Petrifilm plates after 24 h of incubation. Each sample was categorized as positive if ≥5 colonies were counted on one or both plates. Positive cows received a single intrauterine treatment with 500 mg of cephapirin (Metricure, Intervet, Boxmeer, the Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s instructions, whereas negative cows (<5 colonies on one or both plates) remained untreated. All cows in the CT group received a single intrauterine administration of 500 mg of cephapirin, independent of the results of the cytobrush (Figure 1). Authors or the farm veterinarians applied the intrauterine treatments. Clinical cure was assessed by manual vaginal inspection at 14 d after treatment by the authors or by the farm veterinarians. Clinical cure was defined as VD-0. Reproductive performance was assessed by the odds for conception at first artificial insemination (CR1AI), artificial insemination by 80 DIM (AI80), pregnancy
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Figure 1. Flowchart showing study design and treatment allocation. VD 1-3 = vaginal discharge with a score of 1 to 3. Petrifilm (3M Corp., St. Paul, MN).

by 100 DIM (P100), and nonpregnancy by 200 DIM (NP200) and also by the hazard of conception.

Data Management and Statistical Methods

Results from clinical examinations were recorded on case report forms and transferred into spreadsheet software (Excel 2010, Microsoft Office Corporation, Redmond, WA). The statistical analyses were performed with SAS (version 9.2; SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Animal data included parity, date of parturition, BCS, BHB concentration, VD, results from cytological and bacteriological examination, and reproductive performance. Mixed logistic regression models were used to assess the effect of treatment strategy on clinical cure rate, CR1AI, AI80, P100, and NP200. These logistic models included the random effect of farm and the fixed effect of parity (1 vs. ≥2), VD at enrollment (1 vs. 2 vs. 3), treatment strategy (CT vs. ST), and second-order interactions. Models were also controlled for the fixed effect of cytological examination (<5% vs. ≥5% PMNL), daily milk production (every 5 kg), BCS (every 0.25 unit), and BHB (every 0.1 mmol/L) as continuous predictors. Modeling was performed by backward removal of predictors with a P > 0.1 (except for the effect of treatment that was forced to remain in models). The Cox proportional hazard regression models included the same fixed effects as the logistic models and the effect of country under the strata option. Modeling was also performed as previously described.
for logistic regression. Time intervals (median ± 95% CI) and survival function estimates were obtained from Kaplan–Meier survival analysis.

**RESULTS**

Overall, 352 cows were enrolled into this study, 178 allocated to the ST group (Argentina, 78 cows; Slovakia, 100 cows) and the remaining 174 to CT group (Argentina, 74 cows; Slovakia, 100 cows; Figure 1). In the ST group, 57% of cows had a negative Petrifilm test result, so this strategy allowed a 57% reduction in the number of antibiotic treatments required.

### Cure Rate and Reproductive Performance

Effects of treatment strategy on cure rate and reproductive performance are shown in Table 1. The percentage of cows cured in the Argentinean herd was 42.3% and in the Slovakian herd 57.6%. Group (ST vs. CT) had no effect on the odds for cure [adjusted odds ratio (AOR) = 0.939, P = 0.800] given that the proportion of cured cows was 58 versus 60% for ST and CT, respectively. Primiparous cows tended to have a higher cure rate than multiparous herd mates (71 vs. 55%, P = 0.069). The odds for cure decreased with VD (P < 0.001) given that the proportion of cured cows was 80% (87/109) vs. 60% (89/148) vs. 33% (30/92) for VD-1, VD-2, and VD-3, respectively. The odds for cure were 0.392 (95% CI: 0.210–0.733) and 0.122 (95% CI: 0.060–0.251) for cows with VD-2 and VD-3, respectively. The odds for cure increased by 28.8% for every increase of 5 kg/d in daily milk production above the mean of 35.36 kg/d (AOR = 1.288, 95% CI: 1.079–1.537, P = 0.005).

In the Argentinean herd, CR1AI was 28.8%, and in the Slovakian herd it was 21.3%. Group (ST vs. CT) had no effect on the odds for CR1AI (AOR = 1.117, P = 0.555) given that the proportion of cows that conceived was 25 versus 24% for ST and CT, respectively. Group had no effect on the odds for AI80 (46 vs. 50%, AOR = 0.913, P = 0.664) or on the odds for P100 (32 vs. 30%, AOR = 1.142, P = 0.603). Also, no effect was observed on the odds for NP200 (AOR = 1.113, P = 0.724) given that the proportion of open cows was 21 versus 19% for ST and CT cows, respectively. Multiparous cows tended to have lower odds for CR1AI than primiparous cows (22 vs. 33%, AOR = 0.583, 95% CI: 0.319–1.067, P = 0.080). Multiparous cows had lower odds for P100 than primiparous (29 vs. 38%, AOR = 0.495, 95% CI:

### Table 1. Clinical cure rate and reproductive performance of cows with purulent vaginal discharge in a selective antimicrobial treatment strategy compared with conventional treatment strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>No./total</th>
<th>AOR1</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical cure rate2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional3</td>
<td>60.34</td>
<td>105/174</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective4</td>
<td>57.87</td>
<td>103/178</td>
<td>0.939</td>
<td>0.576–1.531</td>
<td>0.800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conception at first AI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional3</td>
<td>24.07</td>
<td>39/162</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective4</td>
<td>24.68</td>
<td>39/158</td>
<td>1.171</td>
<td>0.692–1.984</td>
<td>0.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AI by 80 DIM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional3</td>
<td>49.38</td>
<td>80/162</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective4</td>
<td>45.57</td>
<td>72/158</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.571–1.460</td>
<td>0.664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy by 100 DIM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional3</td>
<td>29.75</td>
<td>47/158</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective4</td>
<td>32.03</td>
<td>49/153</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>0.692–1.884</td>
<td>0.603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonpregnancy by 200 DIM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional3</td>
<td>18.98</td>
<td>26/137</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective4</td>
<td>20.97</td>
<td>26/124</td>
<td>1.113</td>
<td>0.615–2.014</td>
<td>0.724</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>AHR5</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calving to first AI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional3</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>78–82</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective4</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>80–82</td>
<td>1.056</td>
<td>0.840–1.329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calving to conception</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional3</td>
<td>114.5</td>
<td>105–125</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selective4</td>
<td>107.0</td>
<td>98–129</td>
<td>0.984</td>
<td>0.745–1.300</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1AOR = adjusted odds ratio.
2Cure was declared when cows had a clear normal vaginal discharge (VD-0) at the recheck 14 d later.
3Conventional treatment strategy: all cows received 500 mg of cephapirin (intrauterine; Metricure, Intervet, the Netherlands).
4Selective treatment strategy: cows with ≥5 colonies after 24 h of incubation in any of the 2 Petrifilm (3M Corp., St. Paul, MN) plates received 500 mg of cephapirin (intrauterine), whereas those with <5 colonies remained untreated.
5AHR = adjusted hazard ratio.
Cure Rate and Reproductive Performance

The main hypothesis tested in this study was that the use of the rapid on-farm bacteriological test could help us to select cows with PVD for antibiotic treatment and thus reduce the number of required antibiotic treatments. In agreement with our hypothesis, ST based on the outcome of the Petrifilm test reduced the number of required treatments by approximately 60% with a similar efficacy as CT, in which all cows received an antibiotic therapy, in terms of clinical cure and reproductive performance. To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to document this concept. Furthermore, this finding was observed in 2 completely different farming systems, a highly supplemented grazing system (in Argentina) and a freestall system (in Slovakia).

Studies on the on-farm use of Petrifilm to make selective antimicrobial treatment decisions in mastitis therapy have recently found no differences between Petrifilm-based ST and CT in the odds for quarter-level cure, new intramammary infection, and clinical mastitis (Cameron et al., 2014). They also found no effect in the odds for bacteriological cure and treatment failure and the hazard of clinical cure and culling (Lago et al., 2011a,b). Therefore, the on-farm use of Petrifilm has allowed 21% (Cameron et al., 2014) and 50% (Lago et al., 2011a) reductions in the number of required antibiotic treatments without affecting the intended therapeutic outcome. Although these works dealt with mastitis, a completely different disease than CE, the results are in line with ours. Petrifilm-based ST strategy permitted a notable reduction in the use of antibiotics, while still obtaining the same clinical cure and reproductive performance of cows. This finding is relevant because antibiotic use in animal production is blamed for increasing bacterial resistance to antibiotics in humans.

It should be noted that this study was not designed to assess the self-cure rate of cows with CE or to test the efficacy of a treatment with cephapirin. The implementation of a protocol for selective treatment requires adequate management prerequisites, such as trained personnel, in combination with other routines for which cows need to be restrained. Furthermore, herd-specific economic consideration should be made. Testing all

Cytological and Bacteriological Examination

According to cytological evaluation, the overall proportion of cows with PVD having no evidence of uterine inflammation (false-positive cows to CE) was 8.8% (31/352). The distribution of these false-positive cows were 10.3 and 7.3% for CT and ST, respectively ($\chi^2 = 1.081$, df = 1, $P = 0.298$).

In total, 43% of cows in the ST group had positive Petrifilm test results and received an intrauterine antimicrobial treatment. The outcome of the Petrifilm test was not related to VD ($P = 0.349$) given that the percentage of positive cows to Petrifilm was 46.9, 46.3, and 40.4% for those having VD-1, VD-2, and VD-3, respectively. In addition, the outcome of the Petrifilm test was not associated with cytological examination ($P = 0.949$); the percentage of positive cows based on the Petrifilm test was 44.9 and 45.2% for those having positive (≥5% PMNL) and negative cytology (<5% PMNL), respectively.

From a subsample of 88 cows, samples were not only tested by Petrifilm but also in the laboratory. For these cows, the odds for Trueperella pyogenes increased with VD ($P = 0.001$), given that the odds were 1.339 (95% CI: 0.405–4.426) and 8.928 (95% CI: 2.521–31.615) for cows having VD-2 and VD-3, respectively. The percentage of cows with T. pyogenes was 31.8% (7/22), 38.5% (10/26), and 81.0% (25/31) for cows having VD-1, VD-2, and VD-3, respectively. The presence of T. pyogenes reduced the odds for cure (AOR = 0.354, 95% CI: 0.133–0.942, $P = 0.038$) given that cured cows were 52% (22/44) vs. 76% (28/37) for cows with versus without T. pyogenes, respectively.

DISCUSSION
Cytological and Bacteriological Examination

Surprisingly, the outcome of Petrifilm test (i.e., number of colonies in one or both plates) was related to neither VD nor cytological examination. Unfortunately, we do not have an explanation for this finding. Some studies have demonstrated that cows with abnormal VD may have neither PMNL nor bacteria sampled from the endometrium (Dubuc et al., 2010; Westermann et al., 2010; Hartmann et al., 2016). In the current study, 8.8% of cows with abnormal VD were negative to cytology (false-positive cows). This figure is a bit lower than previously reported (Westermann et al., 2010).

However, evaluation of inflammatory cells by cytobrush technique and manual inspection of VD could reflect different uterine conditions as proposed by Dubuc et al. (2010). Consequently, the lack of agreement between the 2 techniques could play a role in confounding the relationship among Petrifilm, VD, and PMNL count.

A general agreement exists that nonspecific bacterial contamination at parturition could lead to the establishment of uterine infections (Williams et al., 2005). However, severe endometrial lesions are commonly associated with the presence of T. pyogenes, which is the most prevalent bacteria in the late postpartum period (Westermann et al., 2010). It is recognized as an important pathogen associated with CE, probably due to synergistic action with anaerobic bacteria (Williams et al., 2005). Our findings that the odds for clinical cure decreased with both VD score and the presence of T. pyogenes, and in turn, that the odds for isolating T. pyogenes increased with the VD score and with endometrial PMNL, could be interpreted as being in line with a recent study showing a high prevalence of cytological endometritis in infected cows (Bicalho et al., 2016). The finding also agrees with other research showing that concurrent and subsequent infection of T. pyogenes with gram-negative anaerobes such as Fusobacterium necrophorum and Prevotella melaninogena is associated with higher odds for CE and with reduced odds for pregnancy (Bonnett et al., 1991; Williams et al., 2005).

CONCLUSIONS

A treatment strategy based on the use of a quick on-farm bacteriological test (Petrifilm) to select cows with PVD for antibiotic treatment reduced the required number of treatments without decreasing clinical cure or reproductive performance of dairy cows compared with the conventional treatment of all cows having PVD. Thus, Petrifilm is a valuable tool to assist in the prudent use of antimicrobials in the global dairy production system.
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