DNA carryover in milk samples from routine milk recording used for PCR-based diagnosis of bovine Staphylococcus aureus mastitis
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ABSTRACT

Real-time PCR techniques are increasingly used to detect udder pathogens from milk samples collected non-aseptically at routine milk recording. The objectives of this study were (1) to estimate the statistical associations between cycle threshold (Ct) values for Staphylococcus aureus in non-aseptically collected composite samples taken at routine milk recording from cows milked consecutively with the same milking unit and milk meter; and (2) to formulate practical and plausible guidelines for understanding the diagnostic implications of PCR testing for Staph. aureus intramammary infection at routine milk recording. The study included 4 herds with conventional milking parlors and repeatedly low Ct-values for Staph. aureus (representing a high DNA load) in bulk tank milk. Composite milk samples were collected from all cows at all milking units during routine milk recording using the Tru-Test electronic milk meter (Tru-Test Group, Auckland, New Zealand) and analyzed using the PathoProof PCR assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vantaa, Finland) assay. Milking clock times were retrieved at each milk meter to establish the milking order of the cows at each unit. A multinomial logistic regression was applied to estimate the association between Ct-values from cows milked consecutively with the same milking unit and milk meter. The following groups were selected based on Ct-values: (1) 0–31.3, (2) 31.4–33.9, (3) 34.0–37, (4) 37.1–39.9, and (5) 40 (negative result). The association between groups from cows milked consecutively with the same milking unit and milk meter was statistically significant. Approximately 60% of cows were in Ct group 5 if the antecedent cow was also in Ct group 5, but only 20% of cows were in Ct group 5 if the antecedent cow was in Ct group 1. The probability of cows being in Ct group 1 was not markedly influenced by the group of the antecedent cow. Statistical relationships in the intermediate range gave a plausible indication of a dose-response relationship. Carryover of bacterial DNA via the milking unit and milk meter is very likely to affect PCR results for Staph. aureus. Therefore, information about milking order must be considered in mastitis control efforts. We suggest a practical interpretation of PCR results: cows with a Ct-value <32 can be labeled “very likely to be infected with Staph. aureus,” but cows with Ct-values of >37 and 32–37 can be labeled “very likely to be negative for Staph. aureus” and “uncertain Staph. aureus status,” respectively.
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INTRODUCTION

Staphylococcus aureus is one of the most important udder pathogens, causing major economic losses worldwide (Barkema et al., 2006; Dufour et al., 2012; Boss et al., 2016). In 2012, 91% of the bulk tank milk samples from all 4,258 Danish dairy herds were positive for Staph. aureus according to PCR analysis (Katholm et al., 2012). Sørensen et al. (2010) reported an economic loss of €570 per case of Staph. aureus mastitis, considerably higher than the economic losses caused by other mastitis pathogens. The infected udder represents a major reservoir for Staph. aureus; spread of the pathogen occurs during milking from contaminated teat cup liners, milking equipment, and the milker’s hands (Keefe, 2012). Reducing the spread of Staph. aureus between dairy cows is vital for profitable udder health management. Early and accurate detection of Staph. aureus IMI is important for improving control programs and preventing the spread of infection among cows.

Since 2010, Danish farmers have been able to order cow-level PCR tests (PathoProof Mastitis PCR assay; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Vantaa, Finland) as part of
routine milk recording for herd improvement using non-aseptically collected composite samples (Katholm et al., 2012). The results of the PCR assay are expressed as cycle threshold (Ct) values, which represent the number of PCR cycles (maximum 40) required to reach a predefined threshold fluorescence signal level. The higher the amount of bacterial DNA present in the milk sample, the lower the Ct-value (Koskinen et al., 2008). Several studies have shown that the assay has a higher sensitivity and specificity for Staph. aureus and Streptococcus agalactiae than bacteriological culture (Cederlöf et al., 2012; Mahmmod et al., 2013a). However, these results were based on non-aseptically collected samples and may have been prone to a risk of false positive results because of microbial teat colonization, contamination of teat orifices and teat skin with bacterial cells (Larsen et al., 2000; Zecconi, 2006), or carryover of bacterial DNA from the milking cluster, hoses, milk meters, or samplers (Britten, 2012; Sievert and Cantin, 2014). As well, teat disinfection and discarding the first streaks of milk before taking composite samples decreased the odds of a positive Staph. aureus test at a Ct-value cutoff of ≤37 (Mahmmod et al., 2013b), indicating that contamination of samples from colonized teat skin and teat canals does occur and can cause false positive results.

Carryover of a small amount of milk from one cow sample to the next is possible because of residual milk in the milking unit, milk meter, or milk sampler (Lovendahl and Bjerring, 2006; Kelton and Godkin, 2014). Previous studies have estimated the carryover based on milk components such as fat, protein, and SCC, and even on dye tracer in an experimental setup (Friggens and Rasmussen, 2002; Lovendahl and Bjerring, 2006; Byrem et al., 2013). Based on Strep. agalactiae DNA Mahmmod et al. (2014) estimated milk carryover and found that the correlation between consecutively milked cows was 13, 11, and 9% at Ct-value cutoffs of <40, ≤37, and ≤34, respectively. Nevertheless, information is lacking about the level and variation of carryover contamination in detecting Staph. aureus IMI at routine sample collection. Nekouei et al. (2015) reported that carryover of antibodies or other diagnostic targets (e.g., DNA) could complicate interpretation of the diagnostic test results for diseases when samples from shared milk meters are used. Carryover could bias the diagnosis of Staph. aureus IMI with PCR tests and samples from routine milk recording, leading to overestimation of the prevalence of infected cows and excessive use of antibiotics in treating false positive cows. Estimates of the likelihood of Staph. aureus positive test results at several PCR Ct-value cutoffs is essential for detecting sources of false-positive PCR results and reducing misclassification of Staph. aureus status and avoiding misdiagnosis.

In herds that do not segregate cows according to infection status, we can assume that milking units are randomly allocated. Consequently, if carryover does not exist, a systematic statistical association based on the PCR Ct-values of cows milked consecutively is very unlikely. If carryover does exist, we would expect to observe consistently low Ct-values (an indication of Staph. aureus infection) among cows milked after a cow with a low Ct-value. Consequently, the number of positive test results should be related to the choice of Ct-value cutoff (Mahmmod et al., 2014), comparable to a dose-response effect, which provides strong evidence of causation. The objectives of this multi-herd observational field study with natural randomization were (1) to estimate the statistical associations between the Ct-values of cow-level PCR tests for Staph. aureus in non-aseptically collected composite samples taken at routine milk recording from cows milked consecutively with the same milk meter and milking unit; and (2) to formulate practical and plausible guidelines for understanding the diagnostic implications of PCR testing for Staph. aureus IMI at routine milk recording. In contrast to previous studies, we studied multiple categories of PCR in the same model (multinomial logistic regression) to detect possible dose-response effects of carryover during milking.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population

We selected 4 Danish dairy herds with conventional milking parlors and repeatedly low Ct-values for Staph. aureus in the bulk tank milk. These herds were a subset of 6 herds described by Mahmmod et al. (2013a,b), for which complete information about milking order during milk recording was available. Table 1 shows information about the herd size, the number of samples taken per herd, the type of milking system, and PCR Ct-values from bulk tank milk for Staph. aureus.

Data Collection

Sample collection was carried out as described in Mahmmod et al. (2013a,b). Composite milk samples for PCR testing were automatically collected from all lactating dairy cows after the farm personnel had carried out their routine pre-milking practices. The milk samples were collected at routine milk recording between March 28 and May 28, 2011, using the Tru-Test electronic milk meter (Tru-Test Group, Auckland, New Zealand).
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Zealand). The bronopol-preserved milk samples were delivered to Eurofins Steins Laboratory (Holstebro, Denmark) within 24 h of collection and analyzed using the PathoProof Mastitis PCR assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

We screened the data for obviously erroneous or missing values and excluded no data on this basis. Data on parity, SCC, DIM, and daily milk yield were extracted from the Danish Cattle Database (Lind et al., 2012; https://www.seges.dk/) and merged with the PCR results for Staph. aureus. Data from the milk meters included milk meter identification number, cow identification number, milk yield and milking start and end times for each cow at each meter. Data obtained from the milk meters were used to identify the milking order of cows for each milking unit.

### Statistical Analysis

Several cutoff values for PCR have been suggested by the manufacturer and by researchers applying the diagnostic method (PathoProof Mastitis PCR assays, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.; Koskinen et al., 2009, 2010; Cederlöf et al., 2012). In the absence of a coherent theory that would allow us to choose a single cutoff to correctly identify the true status of a cow with Staph. aureus IMI, we applied a multinomial logistic regression model for statistical analysis. This model allowed us to specify several cutoff values without assuming proportionality between each level of the outcome variable and the explanatory variable of interest (we used generalized logits). We chose the following 5 categories (Ct groups) for the PCR Ct-values: 0–31.3 (group 1), 31.4–33.9 (group 2), 34.0–37 (group 3), 37.1–39.9 (group 4), and 40 (group 5). The categories represented a compromise between the distribution of Ct-values in our data, the goal of retaining as much information as possible, and the possibility of examining previously applied Ct-values cutoff. Multinomial logistic regression also allowed us to estimate the relationship between the PCR category for each cow and all possible categories for the cows being milked with the same milk meter immediately beforehand (a so-called lag effect). Given the plausibility of random exposure to Staph. aureus, this approach enabled us to estimate the probability of carryover via the milking order among cows milked at the same milking unit and interpret the statistical associations as causal effects.

The outcome variable was the Ct group (1 to 5). The explanatory variable of primary interest was the Ct group of the antecedent cow (PriorCt). Maximum likelihood estimation was applied. Herd identity was included in the model as a fixed effect to account for possible general herd effects. The effect of interaction between herd and PriorCt was tested to judge whether the possible effects of PriorCt were heterogeneous across herds. Possible effects of the available cow-level information were explored; SCC (log-transformed) and duration of milking were retained, together with PriorCt and herd (Table 2). Other available factors related to the cows and the milking process were not statistically significant. The analysis was performed using

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Herd code</th>
<th>Milking parlor type</th>
<th>Cows (no.)</th>
<th>Cows tested by PCR (no.)</th>
<th>Milk meters (no.)</th>
<th>Ct-value of BTM PCR testing for SA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>Herringbone</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>Herringbone</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>Side by side</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>Herringbone</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>822</td>
<td>794</td>
<td>101</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCR cycle threshold value for Staph. aureus</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC ($\times 10^3$ cells/mL)</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>9,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total milk yield (kg/d)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIM</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>924</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Log-transformed SCC</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milking duration (s)</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1,635</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Study population, including number of lactating dairy cows examined by real-time PCR, number of milk meters per herd, type of milking parlor, and cycle threshold (Ct) values of bulk tank milk (BTM) PCR testing in 4 Danish dairy herds for Staphylococcus aureus (SA)

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for a subset of variables included in the analysis to estimate the risk of carryover in real-time PCR used to diagnose Staphylococcus aureus IMI from 794 dairy cows at routine milk recording

**RESULTS**

A total of 822 cows from the 4 herds were selected for PCR testing, and 28 of these were excluded because they were dry. The analysis included 794 cows with complete data from 101 milk meters. When a cow was milked first with a milking unit, the Ct-value for the variable PriorCt was set to 40 in the model. Tables 2 and 3 show descriptive statistics for the dependent and independent variables. Routine milking practices were similar in the 4 herds, including teat cleaning with individual boiled towels, and no pre-milking teat dips. All herds applied a post-milking teat dip. None of the herds segregated infected cows or milked non-infected or first-lactation cows first to prevent new infections during the study period (no specific milking order).

Figure 1 shows the distribution of cows in the selected Ct groups and the statistical relationships between PriorCt groups and subsequent Ct categories (including 95% CI at each cutoff point estimated using the multinomial logistic regression model). The statistical significance of the overall relationships between the multinomial (unordered categories) Ct group and PriorCt was very strong ($P < 0.0001$). The herd effect was statistically important ($P = 0.01$), but the statistical significance of the interaction between herd and PriorCt was negligible ($P > 0.5$, likelihood ratio test). That is, we could not detect substantial evidence of heterogeneity due to herd in the patterns of slopes in Figure 1 across herds. The $P$-value produced by the score test for proportional odds assumption was $<0.0001$, showing that a proportional odds assumption (a simpler and more restrictive model) clearly would be invalid.

The proportion of cows in PriorCt group 1 was 4% (Table 3). The point estimates of probabilities in PriorCt group 1 for the occurrence of the 5 Ct groups among the subsequently milked cows varied between a little less than 40% and around 10% (wide confidence intervals). The proportions of cows in PriorCt groups 5 (Ct-value 40) and 4 (Ct group 37.1–39.9) were 67 and 12%, respectively (Table 3). The point estimates of the probability of occurrence of Ct group 5 among cows milked after PriorCt groups 4 and 5 were similar at around 60%, about 3 times higher than among cows in PriorCt group 1 (Table 3). The point estimates of the probability of occurrence of Ct group 5 among cows milked after PriorCt groups 1 and 4 (the range of Ct-values from minimum to 37) increased almost linearly from below 20 to around 60, indicating a dose-response relationship. The point estimates of the probability of occurrence of Ct groups 1 to 4 among cows milked after PriorCt group 5 were statistically indistinguishable and about 10% each. The line trajectories (curves) from Ct groups 1 to 4 indicated a steady decrease in variability from PriorCt groups 1 to 5, which can be interpreted as less (rather unpredictable) carryover. The low $P$-value for the proportional odds assumption was reflected visually by the heterogeneous trajectories in Figure 1, confirming that a proportional odds assumption would be an invalid approach.

**DISCUSSION**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first reported field study using PCR to investigate possible Staph. aureus carryover between consecutive composite
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Our findings are supported by previous laboratory experiments (Koskinen et al., 2008, 2009) and field studies (Taponen et al., 2009; Koskinen et al., 2010; Cederlöf et al., 2012), which concluded that different PCR Ct-value cutoffs reflect different DNA concentrations of Staph. aureus (bacterial load). Therefore, the use of different PCR Ct-value cutoffs to classify a cow as infected will lead to different interpretations of test results. Hiitiö et al. (2016) concluded that lowering the Ct-value cutoff would decrease the number of samples classified as positive for udder pathogens. Hence, the positive Staph. aureus PCR result was expected to be different at high Ct-value cutoffs than at low cutoffs, but the underlying degree of carryover of DNA from cow to cow through the milking system would remain unchanged.

Our results demonstrated that DNA carryover occurs when using non-aseptically collected milk samples for PCR analysis at routine milk recording, reducing the accuracy of Staph. aureus IMI diagnosis. This finding is consistent with the conclusion of Friendship et al. (2010) that identifying Staph. aureus IMI based on PCR

Figure 1. Relationships between PCR cycle threshold (Ct) values of Staphylococcus aureus at the current milking (y-axis) and the Ct-values from the antecedent cow (5 categories on the x-axis) milked with the same milk meter. The predictions (point estimates with 95% CI) from the final multinomial logistic regression model are adjusted for herd (P = 0.012), log-transformed SCC (quadratic; P < 0.0002), and duration of milking (P < 0.0003). Other available factors related to the cows and milking process were not statistically significant. Data were collected from 794 cows in 4 Danish dairy herds. Color version available online.
using non-aseptic milk samples may reveal a certain degree of carryover. Mahmmod et al. (2014) reported a similar finding for *Strep. agalactiae*. The findings of the present study, together with those of previous studies, demonstrate that interpreting the results of DNA-based diagnostics, without considering the milking order or other mastitis indicators, should be very circumspect.

No guidelines or recommendations for interpreting PCR test results to identify udder pathogens are available in the literature, to the best of our knowledge. In Ireland, Keane et al. (2013) reported that the use of PCR technology might assist in rapid mastitis diagnosis, but accurate interpretation of PCR results in the absence of bacterial culture remains problematic. Hiitiö et al. (2015) proposed that the concept of contaminated samples should also be considered for PCR assays used in routine bovine mastitis diagnostics. In agreement with Hiitiö et al. (2016) and Steele et al. (2015), we recommend that results from any microbiological analysis should always be interpreted with all available information—such as clinical signs and history, and milk SCC—when making decisions about antimicrobial treatment or other control measures. We also recommend including the milking order and information about the PCR Ct-value of the antecedent cow in the decision-making process.

The heterogeneous curves observed for the 5 different Ct-value categories indicate a lack of proportionality, so choosing a single cutoff (dichotomization) may lead to loss of potentially important information. The overall pattern in Figure 1 indicated that we needed at least 3 categories, and the following appear to be plausible for classifying samples taken automatically at DHI and tested with a PCR assay: (A) very likely to be infected with *Staph. aureus* (Ct-values <32); (B) very likely to be negative for *Staph. aureus* (Ct-values >37); and (C) uncertain *Staph. aureus* status (Ct-values between 32 and 37).

Cows in category A with a Ct-value <31.4 (Ct group 1) are most likely to shed so many DNA copies that the DNA from the previously milked cow does not make a real difference or change their true status. The risk of observing this category was about the same, irrespective of the Ct-value group of the antecedent cow. In spiked samples, Koskinen et al. (2008) found a linear relationship on the log scale and that a Ct-value of 30 was equivalent to 500,000 cfu/mL of *Staph. aureus*, but that a Ct-value of 27 was equivalent to 5,000,000 cfu/mL. From clinical mastitis samples, Taponen et al. (2009) reported that Ct-values of 28.4 and 31.7 were equivalent to 5.3 × 10^6 and 6.2 × 10^5 genome copies of *Staph. aureus* per mL of milk, respectively. This association can also be expected (at least to some extent) for naturally infected cows in the present study, although studies investigating the association between counts in colony-forming units per milliliter and Ct-values from natural infections with *Staph. aureus* are sparse. The larger number of DNA copies corresponding to Ct-values <32 are unlikely to be achieved alone by contamination, carryover, or both, and may therefore indicate a truly infected cow. Consequently, dairy health advisors do not need to require other procedures (e.g., retesting) to confirm the status of a cow in Ct group 1. Cows in this category can be an important source of contamination of the milk sample from the subsequently milked cow at the same milk unit/meter through carryover effect.

Category C (uncertain *Staph. aureus* status) can also be called “borderline.” Access to information about the Ct-value of the previously milked cow could be very useful for evaluation of possible carryover effects. Koskinen et al. (2008) found that from Ct-values 33 to 35, the corresponding amount of *Staph. aureus* drops from approximately 5,000 to 500 cfu/mL in spiked milk samples. Meanwhile, Taponen et al. (2009) reported that a Ct-value of 34.5 was equivalent to 9.4 × 10^4 genome copies of *Staph. aureus* per mL of clinical mastitis milk samples. In non-aseptically collected samples at routine milk recording, Cederlöf et al. (2012) and Mahmmod et al. (2014) observed similar sensitivity for bacterial culture and PCR at the lowest chosen Ct-value cutoff of 34. This could indicate that a cutoff of 34 is close to the bacteriological culture detection thresholds of 100 cfu/mL (Cederlöf et al., 2012) or 200 cfu/mL (Mahmmod et al., 2014). The considerably lower cfu number compared with Ct group 1 may be easily affected by the carryover effect, and it would be difficult to ensure the true IMI status of the cow based on Ct-value alone. To make conclusions about the IMI status of cows in this category, further diagnostic testing would be needed (e.g., SCC or culture), or a new aseptically collected milk sample for PCR testing.

Category B (very likely to be negative for *Staph. aureus*) cows are most “vulnerable” to being misclassified as positive if they are milked after a cow in Ct group 1, 2, 3, or (maybe) 4. The bacterial DNA concentration of *Staph. aureus* would be expected to be low at higher Ct-values and minor carryover may easily affect or modulate the Ct-value for cows milked subsequently using the same milk meter. Nekouei et al. (2015) have reported a similar finding for the diagnosis of bovine leukemia virus based on the ELISA. The authors concluded that carryover of bovine leukemia virus antibodies at shared milk meters was significant. For ELISA low-titer cows (i.e., true negatives), the likelihood of bovine leukemia virus antibody carryover significantly increased as the titer of preceding cows increased, but this change was not substantial for high-titer cows.
It is very difficult to avoid carryover during test sampling at routine milk recordings for many reasons, including high costs or interference with test results. These issues have been thoroughly described by Nekouei et al. (2015) and Walsh et al. (2013) for the detection of leucosis.

Many sources exist for carryover during sample collection, such as milking cluster, hoses, milk meter, flask, or sampler, as suggested by Sievert and Cantin (2014). They stated that a device and sampler could have 3 mL of milk from the previous cow in the sampler tube or hose. Therefore, the degree of carryover of *Staph. aureus* from one cow to another may be affected by factors such as the type of milking system (automatic vs. conventional), the type and model of the milk meter, the type of Tru-Test device, and the size of the sampler. The milk yield of cows could also be of importance, but was not statistically significant in our study (results not shown). Based on the milk components, a carryover degree of <5% was reported for conventional milking systems, but this degree was higher in automatic milking systems (up to 20%) (Lovendahl and Bjerring, 2006; Lovendahl et al., 2010). Those studies showed that carryover is a complicated issue and a true challenge for mastitis diagnostics when milk samples are taken non-aseptically using automatic sampling during milk recording. If not considered properly, carryover effects may result in considerable economic expenses/losses as a result of unjustified culling, unnecessary treatment, overestimation of IMI prevalence, and repeated testing.

The findings of this study provide a very plausible interpretation of the diagnostic implications of PCR testing for *Staph. aureus* IMI in dairy herds at routine milk recording, and we hope it will facilitate the interpretation of PCR Ct-values for dairy advisors to accurately diagnose *Staph. aureus* IMI under field conditions and to improve udder health. However, future studies may be necessary to establish more accurate guidelines for the type, source, and definition of contamination of milk samples collected for mastitis diagnostics based on PCR assays at routine milk recording to avoid misidentification of IMI and misinterpretation of the results.

**CONCLUSIONS**

A causal relation exists between the *Staph. aureus* load in the milk of a given cow and the *Staph. aureus* load in milk from a cow milked subsequently with the same milking unit/milk meter (higher risk with increasing *Staph. aureus* exposure). Carryover affected the PCR results for *Staph. aureus* in composite milk samples taken at milk recording. Three categories seem to be useful for practical purposes: (A) very likely to be infected with *Staph. aureus* (Ct-value <32), (B) very likely to be negative for *Staph. aureus* (Ct-value >37), and (C) uncertain *Staph. aureus* status (Ct-value 32–37). Cows in category A are very unlikely to be affected by carryover, but bacterial DNA concentration in the milk samples of cows in this group can affect the results of uninfected cows milked subsequently. Cows in categories B and C are likely to be affected by carryover from the antecedent cows, leading to misclassification of IMI with *Staph. aureus*. Further diagnostic information is necessary to evaluate the status of cows in category C, either by considering the milking order or including PCR Ct-values from previously milked cows, retests, or other information on infectious status.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors gratefully acknowledge the staff of Eurofins Steins Laboratory for providing us with the laboratory space to conduct this research and for their technical and logistic support. We also thank the Knowledge Center for Agriculture, Cattle, for funding the PCR testing. Thanks to the Danish farmers for their help and in making their cows available for our study. Yasser Mahmod was supported by the Islamic Development Bank Merit Scholarship Program (IDB-MSP), Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, scholarship number (600031332).

**REFERENCES**


Friggens, N. C., and M. D. Rasmussen. 2002. Milk quality assessment in automatic milking systems: Accounting for the effects of vari-


Niebert, S., and R. J. Cantin. 2014. Best practices to minimize carry-over contamination in milk recording samples, both from operator and from equipment design and set-up perspectives. ICAR Technical Meeting, Berlin, Germany. ICAR, Rome, Italy.


