Key role of short-chain fatty acids in epithelial barrier failure during ruminal acidosis
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ABSTRACT

Subacute ruminal acidosis is induced by high concentrations of short-chain fatty acids (SCFA, mainly acetate, propionate, and butyrate) that release protons to decrease the pH of the ruminal digesta. This low pH, in turn, is thought to damage epithelial barrier function. The present study applied a model of simulated ruminal acidosis ex vivo to investigate if SCFA directly contribute to epithelial barrier failure beyond their role as proton donors. Epithelial tissues from the rumen of slaughtered sheep were mounted in Ussing chambers and incubated under 3 different conditions. Two groups were incubated in the absence of SCFA at mucosal pH 6.1 (control) and pH 5.1, respectively, for 7 h. A third group was first incubated in a mucosal solution containing 100 mM SCFA at pH 5.1 for 2 h and, thereafter, in a mucosal solution without SCFA at pH 6.1 for the remaining 5 h. Transepithelial conductance ($G_t$), short-circuit current ($I_{sc}$), and fluorescein fluxes were determined. After 7 h of incubation, the expression levels of claudin-1, claudin-4, claudin-7, and occludin were measured by quantitative reverse-transcription PCR and Western blot. Furthermore, the local distribution of these tight junction (TJ) proteins was examined by confocal laser scanning microscopy. A 7-h incubation at pH 5.1 in the absence of SCFA did not influence either $G_t$ or fluorescein flux rates of ruminal tissues ex vivo compared with the control. In contrast, incubation at pH 5.1 with SCFA for only 2 h induced increases in $G_t$ and fluorescein flux rates that continued even after tissues were returned back to pH 6.1. Expression analysis showed that pH 5.1 without SCFA for 7 h induced no changes in mRNA expression of claudin-1, claudin-4, claudin-7, and occludin and a selective decrease in protein expression of only claudin-4 compared with the control. However, a 2-h incubation at pH 5.1 in the presence of SCFA decreased the mRNA-expression of claudin-7, as well as the protein expression of claudin-4, claudin-7, and occludin. The decreased expression of these TJ proteins in the group incubated with SCFA was also evident in immunohistochemistry. Immunohistochemistry additionally evidenced a considerable retraction of all tested TJ proteins out of the TJ in that group. We conclude that a low mucosal pH of 5.1 is tolerated well by ruminal epithelia for several hours. However, a low pH in combination with SCFA induces damage to the TJ and disturbs barrier function, which is not immediately reversible upon the removal of the acidotic insult.
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INTRODUCTION

Subacute ruminal acidosis is triggered by an excessive intake of highly fermentable feedstuffs as commonly fed to high-yielding dairy cows (Kleen et al., 2003). In the course of this disease, short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) accumulate in the rumen due to rapid microbial breakdown of carbohydrates. On the one hand, increased production of acetate (45–70%), propionate (15–40%), and butyrate (5–20%; Bergman, 1990; Aschenbach et al., 2011) is essential to meet the increased energy and glucose demand during lactation. On the other hand, an increased ruminal concentration of SCFA leads to a decrease in ruminal pH to values below 5.5. The latter can cause an impairment of the epithelial barrier (Penner et al., 2009; Aschenbach et al., 2011). Histological changes such as parakeratosis indicate a disturbed organization of the epithelium. The epithelial barrier becomes permeable for bacteria and endotoxin (Szemeredy and Raul, 1976), which after translocation can cause liver abscesses and laminitis, followed by a decrease in milk yield, and in severe cases, the animal has to be culled (Nocek, 1997; Tadepalli et al., 2009).
Transmembrane tight junction (TJ) proteins are crucial structures to prevent the translocation of noxious substrates across the epithelial layer. These proteins form circumferential cell-cell contacts that limit the permeability along the paracellular pathway in epithelia and endothelia (Balda and Matter, 2003). Tight junction proteins are subdivided in 2 families; one composed of occludin, tricellulin, and Marvel D3 (Raleigh et al., 2010), whereas the other includes the currently 27 known claudins (Morita et al., 1999; Mineta et al., 2011). Claudins regulate epithelial barrier function in different tissues mostly as sealing (i.e., tightening, components); however, some of them also form pores for charge and size-selective paracellular diffusion. Numerous studies have demonstrated the relevance of deficient TJ protein expression and distribution related to various epithelial diseases (Markov et al., 2015).

For example, impaired barrier function and increased loss of solutes and water into the intestinal lumen has been demonstrated in inflammatory bowel disease, which was based on changes in epithelial TJ structure and a reduced tight junctional complexity (Heller et al., 2005; Zeissig et al., 2007). In the stratified squamous epithelium of the rumen, claudins form a barrier against the passive paracellular entry of substances from the ruminal content. So far, claudin-1, claudin-4, claudin-7, and occludin have been identified as relevant TJ components in ruminal epithelia (Stumpff et al., 2011). Whereas claudin-1 and claudin-4 are clearly barrier-forming claudins (Van Itallie et al., 2001; Furuse et al., 2002), the role of claudin-7 is not as well defined. Nonetheless, changes in claudin-7 expression are involved in epithelia dysfunction. For example, the occurrence of typical skin lesions in psoriasis, involving parakeratosis, is linked to a low expression level of claudin-7 (Kirschner et al., 2009) and intestine-specific claudin-7 knockout mice showed increased intestinal permeability and inflammation of mucosal structures (Tanaka et al., 2015).

The relevance of epithelial TJ disruption in the rumen was previously demonstrated in goats (Liu et al., 2013). Goats fed a high-grain diet in vivo showed a decrease in ruminal pH from 6.1 to 5.3 in combination with alterations in ruminal epithelial structure. The altered ruminal epithelial structure, in turn, could be related to a downregulation of claudin-4 and occludin mRNA and protein expression as well as to a redistribution of claudin-1, claudin-4, and occludin (Liu et al., 2013). We had demonstrated previously that similar barrier failure can be induced in isolated ruminal epithelia ex vivo by ~1 h acidification of the mucosal incubation solution to pH 5.1 in the presence of 39 mM SCFA (Aschenbach and Gäbel, 2000). In a subsequent trial, however, a similar acidification to pH 5.2 for 1.5 h ex vivo led to no changes in ruminal epithelial permeability when SCFA were absent (Penner et al., 2010). Only when the acidic solution on the mucosal side was replaced by a solution with physiological luminal pH, epithelia showed some minor degree of barrier failure during the recovery period (Penner et al., 2010). From these experimental findings, the hypothesis was generated (1) that the presence of SCFA crucially determines the degree of epithelial damage during an acidic insult and (2) that the epithelial damage develops to its full extent after the acidic insult when the pH is already back at physiological levels. To proof this hypothesis, the present study was designed to monitor the early events of barrier failure during a simulated ruminal acidosis ex vivo and to evaluate the changes in the expression and localization of TJ proteins underlying these early events. A special focus was to determine whether the presence of SCFA can aggravate the impairment in barrier function elicited by low pH.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and Tissue Preparation

Sheep of both sexes were fed a hay-only diet for at least 2 wk before slaughtering. The animals had ad libitum access to water and lick stones. The sheep were stunned with a captive bolt gun and subsequently killed by exsanguination with following removal of the reticulo-rumen from the abdominal cavity. A 300-cm² piece of rumen wall was taken from the ventral sac and rinsed in standard buffered solution (10 mM NaCl, 24 mM NaHCO₃, 0.6 mM NaH₂PO₄, 2.4 mM Na₂HPO₄, 5.5 mM KCl, 10 mM N-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid, 1 mM L-glutamine, 10 mM glucose, 1 mM CaCl₂, 1.25 mM MgCl₂, 100 mM Na-gluconate; 37°C, pH 7.4). Afterward the epithelium was stripped from the muscle layer and cut into 5 strips. Tissue strips were transported in standard buffered solution gassed with carbogen (95% O₂/5% CO₂) at a temperature of 37°C.

Electrophysiological Measurement in Ussing Chambers

Ruminal tissue strips were cut into squares of approximately 3 × 3 cm size and each mounted between the 2 halves of a conventional Ussing chamber (Aschenbach and Gäbel, 2000). Both serosal und mucosal surfaces of the rumen were equilibrated in 16 mL of a standard buffered solution (for the composition, see the previous section) at pH 7.4 for 30 min. Afterward, the tissues were divided into 3 treatment groups with different mucosal incubation solutions. A first group was incubated at a mucosal pH 6.1 (group pH 6.1; control)
and a second group at mucosal pH 5.1 for 7 h (group pH 5.1). The pH of the 2 buffer solutions was adjusted by stepwise addition of gluconic acid to the standard buffered solution. The third group was first incubated in a mucosal solution containing 100 mM SCFA at pH 5.1, followed by incubation in a standard buffered solution at pH 6.1 (without SCFA) for the remaining 5 h [hereafter referred to as group pH 5.1 (SCFA challenge)]. The SCFA-containing solution was of the same composition as the standard buffered solution, except that 100 mM Na-glucuronate were replaced by a mixture of 60 mM Na-acetate, 30 mM Na-propionate, and 10 mM Na-butyrate (pH adjusted to pH 5.1 using gluconic acid). During incubation, transepithelial conductance ($G_t$) and short-circuit current ($I_{sc}$) were continuously recorded (Aschenbach and Gäbel, 2000), and samples of incubation solution were collected for flux rate determination every hour (see below).

After 7 h of incubation, all tissues were removed from the Ussing chambers, split into 3 parts, and preserved in RNA later (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham MA), liquid N$_2$, and 4% paraformaldehyde for quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR), Western blot, and immunohistochemistry, respectively.

**Fluorescein Flux Rates**

To measure mucosal-to-serosal fluorescein flux rates ($J_{ns-fluor}$), sodium fluorescein was added to a final concentration of 0.1 mM to the incubation solution on the mucosal side of each tissue directly after the equilibration period. Addition of fluorescein solution was repeated after the mucosal SCFA-containing solution (pH 5.1) had been exchanged by SCFA-free solution (pH 6.1) in the group pH 5.1 (SCFA challenge) after 2 h of incubation. To determine the specific fluorescence intensity, 50-µL samples were taken from the mucosal side of each tissue immediately after adding the fluorophore. Thereafter, 500-µL samples were taken in hourly intervals from the serosal side of each chamber. The volume removed from the serosal side was replenished with fresh standard buffered solution. Fluorescence was measured in a plate reader at 490 nm excitation and 525 nm emission (EnSpire Multimode Plate Reader, Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). Flux rates were calculated by relating the fluorescence appearing at the serosal side to the specific fluorescence of the respective mucosal side.

**qPCR**

Total RNA was isolated using the Nucleo Spin RNA II kit (Macherey & Nagel, Düren, Germany) and RNA concentration was measured with a nanophotometer (Implen, München, Germany). The integrity of the RNA was determined by running an on-chip gel electrophoresis using the RNA 6000 Nano Kit (Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). An aliquot of 400 ng of isolated RNA served as a template for cDNA synthesis using the iScript cDNA Synthesis Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). The total reaction volume of 40 µL was afterward diluted 1:10. Changes in mRNA expression of claudin-1, claudin-4, claudin-7, and occludin were evaluated by qPCR. Gene-specific primers and probes were designed from public database information (Table 1) and produced by MWG Eurofins Operon (Ebersberg, Germany). The qPCR was carried out on a thermocycler (ViiA7, Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA) using a 40 cycle 2-step protocol (20 s at 60°C, 1 s at 95°C) and iTaq Universal Probes Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories) as a ready-to-use reaction mastermix. From each cDNA, 3 replicates were assayed by qPCR. The quantification cycle ($C_q$) threshold was automatically determined by the thermocycler software and was manually adjusted for each target gene. For data analysis, the software qbasePLUS (Biogazelle NV, Zwijnaarde, Belgium) was used to perform inter-run calibration, to determine target-specific amplification efficiencies and to test for expression stability of reference genes. Reference genes YWHAZ and RPS 19 were recommended and used for normalization. After normalization, $C_q$ values were related to a calibrator produced from untreated tissues harvested at slaughter and results were calculated as relative expression.

**Western Blots**

The tissue samples were frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after removing them from the Ussing chambers and stored at $-80^\circ$C. For isolation of total protein, the samples were homogenized in lysis buffer (10 mM Tris, 140 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X, 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride, 1 mM dithiothreitol) supplemented with complete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany). The protein concentration of the resulting solution was determined with the Pierce 660 nm protein assay (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) on an EnSpire Multimode Plate Reader (Perkin Elmer). Total sample protein (20 µg) and prestained protein-weight marker (5 µL; Thermo Fisher Scientific) were electrophoretically separated in running buffer (192 mM L-glycine, 25 mM Tris, 0.1% SDS, pH 8.3) at a constant 150 V by SDS-PAGE in a 10% polyacrylamide gel for 1 h and semi-dry transferred in transfer buffer (48 mM L-glycine, 39 mM Tris, 0.037% SDS, 20% methanol, pH 9.2) at a
constant 60 mA and 15 V onto polyvinylidene fluoride membrane for 45 min. Afterward the membrane was blocked in 5% milk powder (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) diluted in Tris-buffered saline (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl) containing 0.1% Tween (TBST) at room temperature for 2 h. The membrane was then washed in TBST and incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibody, which was dilusted in TBST containing 2.5% milk powder and 0.1% sodium azide (NaN₃). The following primary antibodies were used for Western blotting: monoclonal mouse anti-claudin-4 (1:500, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), monoclonal mouse anti-occludin, polyclonal rabbit anti-claudin-1, and polyclonal rabbit anti-claudin-7 (1:1,000, Invitrogen). A mouse anti-GAPDH antibody (Invitrogen) was used for normalization. After incubation, the membrane was washed in TBST several times and incubated for 45 min in a solution of TBST containing 2.5% milk powder and the horseradish peroxidase-linked secondary antibodies goat anti-mouse (1:1,000) and goat anti-rabbit (1:2,500; Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA). After further washes in TBST, the protein signals were visualized via an enzymatic reaction by chemiluminescence imaging (SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate, Thermo Fisher Scientific) and images obtained by ChemiDoc MP System (BioRad Laboratories). Signal quantification was performed using the ImageLab Software of the ChemiDoc MP System.

**Immunostaining for TJ Proteins**

Immunostaining was performed as previously described (Stumpf et al., 2011). Tissue samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 1 h, followed by an incubation step with 25 mM L-glycine for 5 min and a subsequent treatment with ascending concentrations of sucrose, namely 10, 20, and 30% for at least 1 h. Tissue samples were then frozen in methylbutan, which was cooled down by liquid nitrogen, placed in a cryostat, and coated with Tissue-Tek OCT compound (Sakura Finetek, Alphen aan den Rijn, the Netherlands). Afterward, samples were cut into 5-µm slices, mounted onto glass slides and cooked in a 1 mM EDTA solution (pH 8.0) for 10 min for antigen retrieval. The tissue samples were permeabilized in 0.5% Triton-X100 and blocked in 6% goat serum for 60 min. Afterward, tissue samples were stained with polyclonal rabbit anti-claudin-1 and monoclonal mouse anti-claudin-4 antibodies (Invitrogen) or monoclonal mouse anti-occludin (Invitrogen) and polyclonal rabbit anti-claudin-7 antibodies (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) in a dilution of 1:250. The secondary antibodies were Alexa Fluor 594 nm goat anti-mouse IgG and Cy5 goat anti-rabbit IgG (Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA), used at dilutions of 1:500. Cell nuclei were stained using 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole dihydrochloride at a dilution of 1:1,000. Images were obtained using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Zeiss LSM 510, Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

**Statistical Analysis**

The P-values were calculated by using the statistical software SigmaPlot 11 (Systat Software Inc., San José, CA). Western blot and qPCR data were compared by 1-way ANOVA. Electrophysiological data were arithmetically pooled over 1-h periods (equivalent to fluo-

---

**Table 1. Sequences of primers and probes used for quantitative reverse-transcription PCR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer name</th>
<th>5′-label</th>
<th>Sequence (5′–3′)</th>
<th>3′-label</th>
<th>Concentration (nmol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference gene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS 19 (fwd)</td>
<td></td>
<td>GAAAAGGACCAAGATGGGG</td>
<td>FAM</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS 19 (rev)</td>
<td></td>
<td>CGACGAGGCAATTATTAACC</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS 19 (probe)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ACAGAGAGATCTGGACAGAATCGCTGGACA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWHAZ (fwd)</td>
<td></td>
<td>AGAGAAGAAAATAGAGACGAGAC</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWHAZ (rev)</td>
<td></td>
<td>AGCCAAAGTAGCGGTAAGTAG</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YWHAZ (probe)</td>
<td></td>
<td>CCAAGCCTTCACAAGACAGAGCATAA</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Target gene</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudin-1 (fwd)</td>
<td></td>
<td>TGCCAGGTATGAATTGTTTC</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudin-1 (rev)</td>
<td></td>
<td>GGATAGGGCCCTGGGTGTTG</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudin-1 (probe)</td>
<td></td>
<td>TCTTCAATGCTGGACAGAGTCTGCTTCT</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudin-4 (fwd)</td>
<td></td>
<td>TTTCTTGCGAGCAACACATT</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudin-4 (rev)</td>
<td></td>
<td>GTACACCTTGGCACTGCA</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudin-4 (probe)</td>
<td></td>
<td>AGACCCATCTGGAGGGGCTATGGAATCTGGCACT</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudin-7 (fwd)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ATAGCCTGCTTCGGTACG</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudin-7 (rev)</td>
<td></td>
<td>CAGGATGATCAGAGCAGACC</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudin-7 (probe)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ATACCCGGTGGTGGCCCATGAGTTAATGTAAGG</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occludin (fwd)</td>
<td></td>
<td>TCAGGGAAATATTCACCATCAC</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occludin (rev)</td>
<td></td>
<td>CATCCCATGGACATCTGAGCAGC</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occludin (probe)</td>
<td></td>
<td>GAGCCTTACAAAGCAGAACCTTGGAGGCTAATAAA</td>
<td>TAMRA</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Fwd = forward; rev = reverse; FAM = 6-carboxy-fluorescein; TAMRA = 6-carboxy-tetramethylrhodamine; BHQ = blackhole quencher.
rescein flux periods) and tested by the Student’s paired t-test versus the preincubation value. Fluorescein flux rates from the third to the seventh period were tested by 2-way ANOVA for the factors flux period, mucosal incubation conditions [pH 6.1, pH 5.1, and group pH 5.1 (SCFA challenge)], and their 2-way interactions. Because the factor flux period ($P = 0.87$) and the interaction of mucosal incubation conditions × flux period ($P = 0.99$) were not significant, only the LSM for the factor mucosal incubation conditions are presented. All other data are presented as means ± standard error of the mean.

RESULTS

Tissue Conductance and Short-Circuit Current

Figure 1 shows $G_t$ and $I_{sc}$ data from epithelia incubated at either mucosal pH 6.1 or 5.1 over 7 h, and from epithelia incubated with 100 mM SCFA at pH 5.1 for 2 h, followed by incubation with SCFA-free buffer at pH 6.1 for another 5 h. All 3 groups started with a similar $G_t$ (~2.4 mS/cm$^2$). The $G_t$ of tissues incubated at pH 6.1 and 5.1 remained at a low level with only numerical increase at pH 5.1 after 7 h. However, an incubation at pH 5.1 in combination with SCFA increased $G_t$ after 2 h (to 6.42 ± 1 mS/cm$^2$; $P < 0.05$). Moreover, the switch of the SCFA and acid-challenged epithelia to control buffer at pH 6.1 was not linked to recovery but to further elevation of $G_t$ ($P < 0.05$) in the following flux periods, reaching a peak value of 12.61 ± 2.25 mS/cm$^2$ at 6 h (Figure 1A).

The $I_{sc}$ rapidly decreased after mucosal addition of the SCFA-containing solution at pH 5.1. It changed from a pre-incubation value of 1.46 ± 0.17 µEq/(cm$^2$·h) to 0.28 ± 0.28 µEq/(cm$^2$·h) in the second flux period ($P < 0.05$), the latter value being not significantly different from zero. Importantly, $I_{sc}$ of epithelia in group pH 5.1 (SCFA challenge) slowly increased back to 1.02 ± 0.61 µEq/(cm$^2$·h) after returning to control buffer solution with pH 6.1, indicating that epithelia were still viable and performing active ion transport despite the continuous increase in $G_t$. The time course of $I_{sc}$ did not differ between groups pH 6.1 and pH 5.1.

Fluorescein Flux Rates

Fluorescein is a 330 Da and negatively charged molecule. In many epithelia its transepithelial flux is attributed to the paracellular permeation pathway. At pH 6.1, $J_{ms-fluor}$ remained low during the course of the experiment [0.37 ± 1.94 nmol/(cm$^2$·h)], whereas incubation at a pH at 5.1 induced a trend but not yet significant increase of $J_{ms-fluor}$ in flux periods 3 to 7 [3.20 ± 1.59 nmol/(cm$^2$·h); Figure 2]. In the group pH 5.1 (SCFA challenge), however, $J_{ms-fluor}$ was increased almost 40-fold compared with control tissues of group pH 6.1 throughout flux periods 3 to 7 [13.96 ± 1.46 nmol/(cm$^2$·h), $P < 0.05$; Figure 2].

Expression of TJ Proteins

To identify the structural basis of the observed changes in $G_t$ and $J_{ms-fluor}$, the expression of TJ proteins...
was analyzed by qPCR and Western blotting. Figure 3 shows the analysis of the mRNA expression. Compared with mRNA that was isolated from untreated tissues harvested immediately after slaughter (i.e., without incubation in Ussing chambers), there were only minor (claudin-1) or no influences of the 7-h Ussing chamber incubation at pH 6.1 or 5.1 on the mRNA expression of the tested TJ proteins. The same applied to occludin, claudin-1, and claudin-4 in the group pH 5.1 (SCFA challenge). By contrast, mRNA expression of claudin-7 was selectively decreased by >80% in group pH 5.1 (SCFA challenge; Figure 3).

On the protein level, claudin-7 was numerically and claudin-4 significantly decreased \((P < 0.05)\) in group pH 5.1 compared with the control group pH 6.1. In group pH 5.1 (SCFA challenge), however, occludin, claudin-4, and claudin-7 (i.e., 3 out of 4 tested TJ proteins) were decreased by >70\% \((P < 0.05)\) (Figure 4).

Taken together, mucosal pH 5.1 in combination with SCFA initiated a short-term nonreversible, transcriptional decrease of claudin-7 expression and a posttranscriptional decrease of claudin-4 and occludin expression, whereas a pH of 5.1 in the absence of SCFA induced only posttranscriptional decrease in claudin-4 protein expression.

**Distribution of TJ Proteins Under Different pH Conditions**

To investigate whether the decrease of different TJ proteins results also in a change in TJ protein abundance in the TJ domain of ruminal epithelial cells, epithelial distribution of TJ proteins was investigated by confocal laser scanning microscopy on immunostained ruminal tissue samples. Under control conditions in group pH 6.1, TJ proteins formed a network through the whole ruminal epithelia (Figure 5). Within this network, claudin-1, and occludin could be localized through all cell layers below the uppermost stratum corneum, whereas claudin-4 appeared to be more restricted to the stratum granulosum. By contrast, accumulations of claudin-7 were exclusively detected in cells of the stratum corneum (Figure 5B). The permeation marker fluorescein that had been applied from the luminal side in Ussing chambers was more or less restricted to cells of the stratum corneum, delineating a sharp border toward the stratum granulosum.

In group pH 5.1, claudin-1 showed a slightly reduced intensity but was still present as a TJ network in all noncornified cell layers [i.e., stratum basale, stratum spinosum, and stratum granulosum (Figure 5A)]. A reduced staining intensity was also observed for claudin-4 (Figure 5A) and occludin (Figure 5B), but these 2 proteins additionally showed partial localization out of the TJ network. In contrast, claudin-7 was no longer in evidence in the stratum corneum or elsewhere in the epithelium (Figure 5B). Fluorescein, which had been restricted to the stratum corneum under control conditions in group pH 6.1, was also detected with lower intensity in and around cells below the stratum corneum in group pH 5.1 (Figure 5B).

The most obvious changes in TJ protein distribution could be observed in ruminal tissues of group pH 5.1 (SCFA challenge). Whereas claudin-1 was still detectable in small amounts in the subcellular TJ, claudin-4, claudin-7, and occludin were almost absent throughout all cell layers and could no longer be visualized as components of TJ. Simultaneously, our study showed the distribution of fluorescein through the whole tissue without any indication of a barrier between the different cell layers (Figures 5A and 5B). Another prominent feature was that many epithelia of group pH 5.1 (SCFA challenge) showed overt swelling of cells in the stratum corneum, forming a multi-layer of balloon cells (Figure 5A).

**DISCUSSION**

It is well known that high-grain feeding can adversely affect the barrier function of the ruminal epithelium...
To prevent such adverse effects, the rumen adapts to the changing dietary conditions, mainly as a result of the change in luminal production rates of SCFA (Penner et al., 2011). The accumulation and dissociation of SCFA in ruminal fluid decreases pH (Sutton et al., 2003; Penner et al., 2007, 2009) and may lead to the onset of ruminal acidosis (Owens et al., 1998; Plaizier et al., 2008). Summarized scientific data suggest that health disturbances due to SARA depend on both the severity and the duration of pH decrease. In general, feeding regimens that decrease ruminal pH to <5.8 for >5 to 6 h/d (Zebeli et al., 2012) or to <5.6 for >3 h/d (Gozho et al., 2005) have a very high probability of be-

Figure 3. Influence of low mucosal pH with or without short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) on mRNA expression of tight junction (TJ) proteins. Ruminal tissues were incubated at mucosal pH 6.1 or 5.1 in the absence of SCFA for 7 h or mucosal pH 5.1 with 100 mM SCFA for the first 2 h, followed by mucosal pH 6.1 without SCFA for the remaining 5 h. Changes in mRNA expression of claudin-1, claudin-4, claudin-7, and occludin were evaluated by quantitative real-time PCR. Untreated samples were stored directly after slaughtering, and all other samples were stored after incubation for 7 h in the Ussing chamber. Expression data were normalized to YWHAZ and RPS 19 and calibrated to untreated tissues harvested at slaughter. Values are means + SEM. Columns that do not share a common letter (a or b) are significantly different. *P < 0.05; n = 10 to 12.
Figure 4. Influence of low mucosal pH with or without short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) on tight junction (TJ) protein expression. Ruminal tissues were incubated at mucosal pH 6.1 or 5.1 in the absence of SCFA for 7 h or mucosal pH 5.1 with 100 mM SCFA for the first 2 h, followed by mucosal pH 6.1 without SCFA for the remaining 5 h. Changes in protein expression of claudin-1, claudin-4, claudin-7, and occludin were evaluated by Western blot analysis. Representative blots are shown in panel A. Graphs in panel B show TJ protein expression normalized for GAPDH as mean values ± SEM. Columns that do not share a common letter (a or b) are significantly different. ab P < 0.05; n = 10 to 12.
Figure 5. Influence of low mucosal pH with or without short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) on tight junction (TJ) localization. Localization of the TJ proteins (A) claudin (cldn)-1 (cyan)/cldn-4 (red) and (B) occludin (occl) (red)/cldn-7 (cyan) in ruminal epithelia under defined pH conditions: incubation at mucosal pH 6.1 or 5.1 in the absence of SCFA for 7 h or mucosal pH 5.1 with 100 mM SCFA for the first 2 h, followed by mucosal pH 6.1 without SCFA for the remaining 5 h. Fluorescein is represented in green, and cell nuclei are represented in blue.
ing associated with health disturbances. Key events in
the chain of pathophysiological processes are morpho-
logical and histological alterations of ruminal papillae
that suggest an impaired barrier function of ruminal
epithelia. (Steele et al., 2009, 2011). More specifically,
Liu et al. (2013) showed that a decrease of intraruminal
pH to 5.3 (measured at slaughter 4 to 5 h after the last
feeding) was linked to damages in TJ barrier function
in high-grain-fed goats (65% grain) after 7 wk. On the
other hand, Penner et al. (2010) demonstrated that a
single short and mild episode of SARA with pH <5.8
for only 2 h (nadir pH 5.48) does not induce barrier
dysfunction and proposed that additional or repeated
insults are needed to trigger barrier failure in ruminal
epithelia. The present study hypothesized that high
concentrations of permeable organic acids is one of the
main factors that determine the efficacy by which low
pH induces barrier failure. The present study was de-
signed to prove this hypothesis. Unlike the situation
in vivo, the current Ussing chamber model ex vivo allows
controlled variation of mucosal pH and SCFA concen-
tration. Furthermore, it does not require experimental
acidosis induction in vivo, which may reach a severity
that justifies animal welfare concerns.

Whereas one of our previous studies had already
demonstrated that a low pH of 5.2, has only moderate
effects on the ruminal epithelial barrier (Penner et al.,
2010), the present study clearly demonstrated, for the
first time, that it requires the concurrent presence of
high SCFA concentrations to trigger a profound im-
pairment of epithelial barrier function. Barrier failure
was demonstrated by an increased passive conductivity
to ions ($G_t$), which developed rapidly and continued
even after epithelia had been returned to physiological
liminal pH of 6.1. These findings conform to another
study that showed a fast increase of $G_t$ in ruminal tis-
ues after decreasing the mucosal pH from 7.4 to 5.5
(Gaebel et al., 1989). In contrast to our study, Gaebel
et al. (1989) always used incubation solutions contain-
ing SCFA so that they could ascribe their effects on
sodium and chloride movement across the epithelium
primarily to low pH, not separating possible effects of
SCFA. Gaebel et al. (1989) interpreted their findings by
predominant effects on transport mechanisms because
an involvement of TJ proteins in barrier formation of
the ruminal epithelium was disputed at that time and
only later confirmed in 2005 (Graham and Simmons,
2005).

A low pH of 5.1 in the co-presence of 100 mM SCFA
clearly elicits alterations of transport mechanisms as
evidenced by the rapid decrease of $I_{sc}$ in the present
study. The $I_{sc}$ represents the net charge transfer across
the epithelium and its physiologically positive value
indicates superiority of active net cation absorption
(primarily $Na^+$) over net anion absorption (primarily
SCFA$^-$ and $Cl^-$; Leonhard-Marek et al., 2010). The
finding of decreasing $I_{sc}$ upon exposure to low pH and
SCFA could point to increased anion currents across the
ruminal epithelium carried by SCFA$^-$ absorption via an
SCFA$^-$ conductance (Stumpff et al., 2009; Georgi et
al., 2014). An alternative explanation would be that
active transport processes are largely compromised by
the SCFA-fortified acidification of intracellular pH,
primarily based on compromised $Na^+/K^+$ ATPase func-
tion (Gaebel et al., 1989). Proceeding from the latter
option, it is important to acknowledge that changes of
$I_{sc}$ were reversible by returning to mucosal pH 6.1 in
the present study. This recovery of active ion transport
after the acidic insult evidences that the epithelium
was damaged but still viable, thereby supporting the
appropriateness of the model used and the applicability
of the conclusions drawn from it.

Whereas the reversible decrease of $I_{sc}$ in our study
proved the viability of ruminal epithelia, the nonrevers-
ible increase of $G_t$ indicated a sustained disturbance of
barrier function persisting after the acidotic result had
been removed. This conclusion is further supported by
the finding that fluorescein flux rates were increased by
a low pH in combination with SCFA, whereas low pH
alone showed only a trend for an increase. Furthermore,
we could demonstrate intense penetration of fluorescein
into the deeper layers of the ruminal tissue by laser
scanning microscopy. The latter holds true even more
when considering that large amounts of fluorescein may
have been washed out during tissue processing. The abrupt
disappearance of fluorescein staining below the stratum
corneum seen at pH 6.1 justifies the postulate
of a tight permeation barrier formed by TJ proteins
in subjacent epithelial layers, especially the stratum
granulosum. Already the continuous application of mu-
cosal pH 5.1 without SCFA allowed small amounts of
fluorescein to penetrate that barrier into the underlying
cell layers. When pH 5.1 was combined with high SCFA
concentrations, high amounts of fluorescein could be
demonstrated in the whole tissue without any clear
barrier delineation. These data confirm the hypothesis
that TJ proteins are essential for epithelial barrier func-
tion in the ruminal epithelium and suggest a disturbed
barrier function mediated by an altered TJ expression
in our experiments.

The first systematic study on the key role of TJ
proteins in ruminal barrier function was provided by
Stumpff et al. (2011). They cultivated primary ruminal
cells on filters and elucidated respectable paracellular
resistance ($R_p > 720 \ \Omega \ \text{cm}^2$), which they attributed to
the sealing properties of claudin-1 and claudin-4 (Van
Itallie and Anderson, 2006; Stumpff et al., 2011). Our expression analysis extends these findings and shows that a low pH in combination with SCFA decreased the mRNA expression of claudin-7, as well as the protein expression of claudin-4, claudin-7, and occludin, which directly relates to increased $G_{\text{c,fluor}}$ and increased $J_{\text{ms-fluor}}$. In contrast, a low pH alone showed only a partial reduction of claudin-4 protein expression, which seemed not to influence the $G_{\text{c}}$ albeit it tended to increase $J_{\text{ms-fluor}}$ and the localization of fluorescein below the stratum corneum. Additionally, we demonstrated a redistribution of TJ proteins out of the TJ in the lower layers of the epithelium that was almost complete when SCFA and low pH were applied together. Occludin disappeared out of the TJ already at pH 5.1 in the absence of SCFA, which was not linked to a significant change of $G_{\text{c}}$. This may suggest a minor relevance of occludin retraction for $G_{\text{c}}$ in this “mild” acidic insult, which fits to the generally poorly established role of occludin for barrier formation. Albeit artificial overexpression of occludin in MDCK cell cultures increased the transepithelial resistance (McCormick et al., 1996), a complete loss of occludin in knockout mice showed no changes in TJ structure and barrier function in the intestine (Saitou et al., 2000). As such, the functional role of occludin still awaits further clarification in ruminal epithelia, too. Claudin-1 and claudin-4 mainly disappeared out of the TJ at a low pH in combination with SCFA, which caused an increase of $G_{\text{c}}$. This finding is not surprising considering that the sealing properties in ruminal epithelia are mainly attributed to claudin-1 and claudin-4 as previously discussed (Stumpff et al., 2011). Together, these findings complement findings from studies in vivo where longer periods of high-grain feeding downregulated the mRNA and protein expression of claudin-4 and occludin simultaneously, and induced a redistribution of claudin-1, claudin-4, and occludin out of the TJ (Liu et al., 2013).

While claudin-1 and claudin-4 fulfill obvious barrier-forming roles in the nonkeratinized strata of the ruminal epithelium, the role of claudin-7 and its transcriptional decrease in our SARA model is not very easily interpreted as claudin-7 resides primarily in the stratum corneum. A link to such interpretation may be provided by parallels to psoriasis of the human skin. Skin and rumen are both stratified keratinized epithelia and show marked structural similarity. Tight junction proteins play major roles in barrier function of both skin and rumen epithelia (Kirschner et al., 2010; Stumpff et al., 2011; Kirschner and Brandner, 2012). Dermal psoriasis involves hyper- and parakeratosis as does SARA. For early-stage psoriasis and plaque-type psoriasis, Kirschner et al. (2009) demonstrated alterations of the TJ structure mainly mediated by a downregulation of claudin-1 and claudin-7 in the basal and the uppermost layers of the skin. This may point to the fact that the final stage of differentiation (i.e., keratinization) may be dependent or linked to adequate claudin-7 expression. In contrast to the skin where claudin-7 was found in all cell layers (Brandner, 2009), claudin-7 is primarily located in the nonviable cells of the stratum corneum in the ruminal epithelium (Stumpff et al., 2011). An indication for the possible role of claudin-7 in those nonviable cells of the ruminal stratum corneum may come from the study of Ding et al. (2012) who demonstrated that a depletion of claudin-7 in mice caused intercellular gaps and cell matrix loosening. From this, it is obvious that claudin-7 assumes an essential role for cell adhesion. Transferred to the ruminal epithelium, it seems possible that claudin-7 ensures the integrity of the stratum corneum and plays a prominent role to protect the strata underneath the cornified layer. Based on this assumption, we propose that the absence of claudin-7 at low pH disturbs this protective role of the stratum corneum, which makes the cell layers underneath more vulnerable to injury.

The mechanisms by which SCFA promote TJ injury at low pH could rely on their barrier-breaking properties (Martin, 1963; Hirst, 1989). This concept has previously been discussed as a cause of ulcer development in the nonglandular squamous parts of gastric mucosa in pigs (Argenzio and Eisemann, 1996) and horses (Andrews et al., 2006). In a low pH environment, SCFA will increasingly bind protons, which enhances their ability to cross biological membranes by lipid diffusion. Once in the cytosol, protons are released and acidify intracellular pH. As such, SCFA may serve as shuttles that translocate large amounts of protons from an acidic cell exterior into the cell (weak acid carrier model; Gutknecht, 1987). Similarly, an enhanced exchange of extracellular SCFA anions for intracellular $\text{HCO}_3^-$ due to low luminal pH (Aschenbach et al., 2009) will also result in acidification of intracellular pH. Intracellular acidification and the enhanced influx of SCFA at low pH additionally elicit osmotic effects (cell swelling) and may give rise to inflammation (Argenzio and Eisemann, 1996).

Inflammation is a well-known feature of SARA, occurring both locally in the ruminal epithelium (Liu et al., 2013) and systemically (Gozho et al., 2005). In high-grain-fed goats, Liu et al. (2013) suggested a relationship between the locally increased expression of inflammatory cytokines, tumor necrosis factor-$\alpha$ and IFN-$\gamma$, and altered expression of TJ proteins in ruminal epithelia. Such suggestion is supported by the proven role of cytokines in barrier dysfunction of other
gastrointestinal tissues like Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. In the human gut, IL-13, tumor necrosis factor-α, and IFN-γ reduce barrier function through a change in TJ protein expression (Schulzke et al., 2009). A decreased expression of claudin-5 and claudin-8 was observed in Crohn’s disease and an increased expression of claudin-2 was observed in ulcerative colitis (Heller et al., 2005; Zeissig et al., 2007). So far, claudin-2, claudin-5, and claudin-8 could not be identified in the ruminal epithelium (Stumppf et al., 2011). Therefore, it remains to be finally clarified in further studies inasmuch cytokines are directly involved in altered TJ protein expression and disturbed TJ formation of the rumen.

It may further be considered that SCFA, per se, can also influence TJ protein expression. Generally, low amounts have been suggested to have beneficial effects, whereas high amounts have the ability to disturb barrier function in other tissues (Ohata et al., 2005; Miyoshi et al., 2008; Peng et al., 2009; Plöger et al., 2012).

CONCLUSIONS

Our study provided the first direct evidence that a disturbed TJ barrier of the ruminal epithelium during SARA is caused by the combined action of low pH and high SCFA concentration. The disturbance of barrier function involves downregulation of selected TJ proteins and partial or complete translocation of all tested TJ proteins out of the TJ. Downregulation appeared transcriptional for claudin-7 and posttranscriptional for claudin-4 and occludin. The acknowledgment of interlinked effects of ruminal pH and SCFA concentration on the ruminal epithelial barrier leads to the conclusion that susceptibility to SARA not only depends on ruminal pH, which is a result of H+ release, H+ buffering, and H+ removal, but also crucially depends on ruminal SCFA concentration, which is a result of SCFA production and SCFA removal, the latter primarily by ruminal absorption. This supports our previous postulate that the capacity of the rumen to absorb SCFA from the ruminal content is the key defense mechanism against SARA (Penner et al., 2009). Our results may also provide a better understanding of how various components of dairy cow diets can modify the severity of SARA by taking into account not only their potential to release and buffer H+ during fermentation but also considering their potential to affect ruminal SCFA concentration.
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