ABSTRACT

Bovine mastitis is an important disease in the dairy industry, causing economic losses as a result of withheld milk and treatment costs. Several studies have suggested milk amyloid A (MAA) as a promising biomarker in the diagnosis of mastitis. In the absence of a gold standard for diagnosis of subclinical mastitis, we estimated the diagnostic test accuracy of a commercial MAA-ELISA, somatic cell count (SCC), and bacteriological culture using Bayesian latent class modeling. We divided intramammary infections into 2 classes: those caused by major pathogens (e.g., Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, streptococci, and lacto-/enterococci) and those caused by all pathogens (major pathogens plus Corynebacterium bovis, coagulase-negative staphylococci, Bacillus spp., Streptomyces spp.). We applied the 3 diagnostic tests to all samples. Of 433 composite milk samples included in this study, 275 (63.5%) contained at least 1 colony of any bacterial species; of those, 56 contained major pathogens and 219 contained minor pathogens. The remaining 158 samples (36.5%) were sterile. We determined 2 different thresholds for the MAA-ELISA using Bayesian latent class modeling: 3.9 µg/mL to detect mastitis caused by major pathogens and 1.6 µg/mL to detect mastitis caused by all pathogens. The optimal SCC threshold for identification of subclinical mastitis was 150,000 cells/mL; this threshold led to higher specificity (Sp) than 100,000 cells/mL. Test accuracy for major-pathogen intramammary infections was as follows: SCC, sensitivity (Se) 92.6% and Sp 72.9%; MAA-ELISA, Se 81.4% and Sp 93.4%; bacteriological culture, Se 23.8% and Sp 95.2%. Test accuracy for all-pathogen intramammary infections was as follows: SCC, sensitivity 90.3% and Sp 71.8%; MAA-ELISA, Se 88.0% and Sp 65.2%; bacteriological culture, Se 83.8% and Sp 54.8%. We suggest the use of SCC and MAA-ELISA as a combined screening procedure for situations such as a Staphylococcus aureus control program. With Bayesian latent class analysis, we were able to identify a more differentiated use of the 3 diagnostic tools. The MAA-ELISA is a valuable addition to existing tools for the diagnosis of subclinical mastitis.

Key words: subclinical mastitis, somatic cell count, milk amyloid A, bacteriological culture, Bayesian latent class

INTRODUCTION

Subclinical mastitis is difficult to detect because of a lack of clinical signs that can be easily identified by visual inspection and palpation of the udder. Reliable diagnostic methods are needed to detect subclinical mastitis. In Swiss veterinary practice, the diagnosis of subclinical mastitis is based on the results of a California Mastitis Test, SCC, or bacteriological culture (BC). However, it has been postulated that the California Mastitis Test and SCC are not sensitive enough to identify subclinical infection, and do not qualify as reliable screening tests (Middleton et al., 2004; Safi et al., 2009). As well, SCC usually remains elevated for several weeks after successful treatment (Pyörälä, 1988) and is affected by many physiological factors, such as age, lactation period, parity, stress, season, and intra- or extramammary infection (Jensen and Eberhart, 1981; Bielfeldt et al., 2004; Sharma et al., 2011). Furthermore, the amplitude of the increase in SCC depends on the pathogen. Minor pathogens (e.g., Corynebacterium bovis, CNS) show less of an effect on SCC than major pathogens (e.g., Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus dysgalactiae, and Streptococcus uberis; Djabri et al., 2002). To evaluate diagnostic tests for IMI, most recent studies have applied single-sample BC as the gold standard, despite observations that it is affected by contamination and intermittent bacterial shedding, resulting in a low sensitivity (Se; Sears et al., 1990). The suitability of BC as a gold standard has
been questioned (Grönlund et al., 2005; Andersen et al., 2010) unless 3 consecutive milk samples are used (Dohoo et al., 2011); a referential diagnostic procedure with higher Se and specificity (Sp) is desirable.

A promising approach may be to measure the concentration of acute-phase proteins in milk samples. The acute-phase reaction is part of the innate immune system triggered by challenges such as infection, inflammation, or stress (Grönlund et al., 2005). Unlike in humans, dogs, and pigs, where C-reactive protein makes up most acute-phase proteins (Eckersall, 2000; Eckersall et al., 2006), in cattle the major acute-phase proteins are haptoglobin and serum amyloid A (McDonald et al., 2001; Grönlund et al., 2003; Akerstedt et al., 2007; Kovac et al., 2011). An elevated serum concentration of haptoglobin or serum amyloid A is a nonspecific marker for inflammation anywhere in the animal; it must be present in milk to provide relevant information about udder health (Eckersall et al., 2001). Serum amyloid A migrates passively through the blood-milk-barrier because of the increased permeability of inflamed mammary tissue (Eckersall et al., 2001; Kovac et al., 2011), and it is also locally produced as a particular isoform (M-SAA3) by a restricted population of bovine mammary epithelial cells (McDonald et al., 2001; Gerardi et al., 2009; Molenaar et al., 2009). Serum amyloid A and M-SAA3 together are called milk amyloid A (MAA), which is measurable in milk samples using a commercially available ELISA (Tridelta Development Ltd., Maynooth, Ireland), and MAA has proven to be a reliable biomarker for both subclinical mastitis (Eckersall et al., 2006; Gerardi et al., 2009; Safi et al., 2009; Pyörälä et al., 2011) and clinical mastitis (Molenaar et al., 2009; Kovac et al., 2011; Pyörälä et al., 2011).

As mentioned above, single-sample BC as a gold standard, with sensitivity <100%, is ill suited to evaluate the accuracy of new tests, and tends to underestimate their specificity. An alternative approach is to estimate diagnostic accuracy with Bayesian latent class modeling, using results from at least 3 different diagnostic tests of the same sample (Branscum et al., 2005; Berkvens et al., 2006; Hartnack et al., 2013). The aim of this study was to estimate the diagnostic Se and Sp of SCC, MAA-ELISA, and BC for the diagnosis of subclinical mastitis in dairy cows using Bayesian latent class modeling.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals and Samples**

For the present study, 435 composite milk samples were collected between April and July 2015 as part of a Staph. aureus control program for selected mountain summer pastures in a veterinary practice in Scuol, Switzerland (Clinica Alpina, 5 veterinarians). Only lactating cows with macroscopically healthy udders (i.e., no pathological findings by visual inspection and palpation) were included in the study. All cows from 1 farm that were designated to join the summer mountain pasture program were sampled on the same day. Milk samples were collected aseptically after disinfection of the teat with 70% alcohol on a cotton ball. The first 3 squirts from each quarter were discarded before milking 1 squirt from each teat into the same sterile tube. Samples were taken during the daily veterinary routine and transported at room temperature for 0.5 to 8 h (with random distribution) before BC and SCC at the laboratory in the veterinary practice. Then, samples were stored at −18°C until the MAA assay was performed. The sampled cows belonged to 39 farms, were in different lactation periods (1 to 20 mo after calving, median 6 mo), and were in different parities (first to eleventh lactation, median second lactation). Cows ranged from 1 to 20 yr of age (median 5.5 yr).

**Laboratory Procedures**

**Bacteriological Culture.** The BC were performed by 2 laboratory assistants at Clinica Alpina. Approximately 0.01 mL of each sample was streaked on trypti-case soy agar with sheep blood and on MacConkey agar No.3 (MC3; Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom). Agar plates were evaluated after 24 h of incubation at 37°C, and a first suspicion was determined according to the morphological characteristics of the colonies on trypticase soy agar with sheep blood and MC3, as well as by chemical reactions, such as catalase reaction with H2O2 and Gram stain. Suspicious were confirmed with a second reading 24 h later. Staphylococci without hemolysis on the trypticase soy agar with sheep blood were classified as CNS, and colonies with αβ- or only β-hemolysis were classified as Staph. aureus. Because α-hemolytic staphylococci can represent CNS or Staph. aureus, 1 of these colonies was transferred to a chromogen agar (chromID Staph. aureus agar; BioMérieux, Marcy-l’Étoile, France). This agar identifies Staph. aureus using a green coloration of the colonies depending on the production of α-glucosidase after 18 to 20 h of incubation. It has Se of 96.8% and Sp of 97.4% and was set up with a Staph. aureus—positive control (Perry et al., 2003). Only colonies showing the same phenotype as the positive control were classified as Staph. aureus; colonies with a different phenotype were classified as CNS. Streptococci were further classified as Strep. uberis, Strep. dysgalactiae and Strep. agalactiae by esculin reaction (EscTSASB; Oxoid) and using the
A selective bile acid agar plate for lacto/enterococci was employed to distinguish streptococci from lacto-/enterococci (Oxoid); lacto-/enterococci digest the bile acid of the agar, leading to a brown discoloration, but streptococci induce no discoloration. We examined the esculin reaction, the Christie, Atkins, Munch-Petersen phenomenon, and the bile acid agar after 12 h of incubation. We identified gram-negative pathogens by microscopy of a Gram stain and by indole and oxidase reaction. Cultures with 3 or more different bacterial species were classified as bacteriologically contaminated and excluded from the study.

**Somatic Cell Count.** We measured SCC using a DeLaval cell counter according to the manufacturer's instructions (Cellcounter DCC; DeLaval, Tumba, Sweden). We aspirated 60 µL of sample into a small casette that contained a DNA-specific fluorescent reagent that bound to the SCC nuclei. The machine counted the fluorescent SCC nuclei in milk using an integrated digital camera.

**Milk Amyloid A ELISA.** We determined MAA concentrations using a commercial ELISA according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Phase Milk Amyloid A; Tridelta Development, Maynooth, Ireland). Optical densities were read on an automatic plate reader (Model ELISA Plate Analyzer; Gentaur, Brussels, Belgium) at 450 nm and a reference at 630 nm. The MAA concentrations were calculated based on a standard curve using references provided by the manufacturer. Samples were diluted 1:50 and analyzed in duplicate to account for measurement variability and detect inaccuracies in the working process. Because of the detection limits of the test kit at 0.1 and 7.5 µg/mL, samples with concentrations beyond 7.5 µg/mL should be further diluted and reanalyzed. However, for this study, it was enough to know that the MAA concentrations were higher than 7.5 µg/mL; according to the literature, the cutoff value was expected to be between 0.8 and 7.0 µg/mL (Grönlund et al., 2003; Akerstedt et al., 2011). Therefore, we omitted the dilution step.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were collected in Excel (Microsoft Corp., Redmond, WA), and statistical analysis was conducted in R 3.2.3 (R Core Team, 2015). Bayesian latent class analyses were conducted using JAGS version 4.0.0 (http://mcmc-jags.sourceforge.net/) and the package coda (R Core Team, 2015). The R code for the models is available in Supplemental File S1 (https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-12446). The SCC values were log10-transformed before analysis. We checked data for missing values and normality, and then computed medians and means. The BC results were classified into 3 groups to further analyze the effect of pathogen group on MAA and SCC: (1) cultures with at least 100 cfu/mL of a minor pathogen (CNS, *C. bovis, Bacillus* spp., or *Streptomyces* spp.); (2) cultures with at least 1 colony (100 cfu/mL) of a major pathogen (*E. coli, Staph. aureus, Strep. uberis*, or lacto-/enterococci; Djabri et al., 2002; Gillespie et al., 2009); and (3) sterile samples. We used ANOVA with Tukey’s honest significance difference method to examine the difference in SCC between samples with minor pathogens, samples with major pathogens, and sterile samples. We investigated the same question for MAA using the Kruskal-Wallis test with post hoc Dunn's test, because the data were not normally distributed. We investigated the effects of age, lactation period, and parity on SCC and MAA concentration using a linear mixed effects model, including the adjustment for clustering at the farm level. Statistical significance was considered at *P* < 0.05 for all tests.

**Bayesian Latent Class Analysis**

We estimated diagnostic test accuracy for BC, SCC, and MAA-ELISA using Bayesian latent class analysis. For a detailed model description, see Lewis and Torgerson (2012). Diagnostic Se was defined as the probability that a test would correctly classify true positive samples; diagnostic Sp was defined as the probability that a test would correctly classify true negative samples. For BC, we used the categories “all pathogens” and “major pathogens” (Table 1) to account for the dependence of Se and Sp on defining a positive culture (Dohoo et al., 2011; Reyher and Dohoo, 2011). We investigated 2 basic latent class models and used initial analyses with non-informative priors as β distributions (1,1) for all parameters of both models, as described by Lewis and Torgerson (2012). We set thresholds for SCC and MAA-ELISA at 100,000 cells/mL and at 1 µg/mL, respectively, for both models. We evaluated conditional dependences between the tests by investigating the influence of each covariance term separately. We considered the following 3 pairs of covariance terms for Se and Sp: (1) SCC and MAA-ELISA, (2) SCC and BC, and (3) MAA-ELISA and BC. We included each covariance term in both basic models, first one by one and then 2 terms together. We identified influential covariances by considering the histograms of their posteriors: if the most frequent posterior was at $x = 0$, covariance was considered negligible; in all other cases, it was influential. We specified the best-fitting models for both mastitis definitions based on the deviance in-
formation criteria (Spiegelhalter et al., 2002) for the models, with the influential covariance terms included. The structures of the best-fitting Bayesian latent class models, including covariances between tests, are shown in Table 2 for both BC definitions. Histograms of the posteriors of all influential covariance terms, as well as test accuracy and deviance information criterion values for the alternative models, which include all different combinations of covariances, are reported in the supplementary documentation [Supplemental Figures S1 to S4 (see Supplemental File S2) and Supplemental Table S1 (see Supplemental File S3), respectively; https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-12446]. Considering more than 2 covariance terms in a model resulted in very wide confidence intervals for the posteriors, indicating that these models were not identifiable. We also performed a sensitivity analysis to check the influence of informative priors on posteriors [Supplemental Figures S5 to S46 (see Supplemental File S4); https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-12446].

By means of the best-fitting Bayesian latent class models, we assessed the optimal cutoffs for MAA-ELISA and SCC. Both models were computed first with 70 equidistant cutoff values for MAA between 0 and 7.0 µg/mL. Then, we performed the same procedure for SCC, considering 20 equidistant cutoff values between 25,000 and 2,000,000 cells/mL. We identified the optimal cutoffs for SCC and MAA using receiver operating characteristic curves and a Youden analysis according to Fluss et al. (2005).

For each Bayesian latent class analysis, we rejected the first 20,000 iterations as burn-in and used the following 50,000 iterations for parameterization of the models. We ran 3 chains from 3 different starting points as used by Hartnack et al. (2013) and screened for convergence.

### Table 1. Definitions for culture-positive/mastitis-positive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All pathogens</td>
<td>At least 1 colony (100 cfu/mL) of any species was detectable on the trypticase soy agar with sheep blood or on MacConkey agar no. 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major pathogens</td>
<td>At least 1 single colony (100 cfu/mL) of a major pathogen was detectable on the trypticase soy agar with sheep blood or on MacConkey agar no. 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Cultures showing only minor pathogens were classified as mastitis-negative.

### RESULTS

Of 435 collected milk samples, 2 were excluded because of bacterial contamination. Of the remaining 433 cultures, 275 (63.5%) showed growth of at least 1 colony of any species after 48 h of incubation, and 158 (36.5%) were considered sterile. Of the 275 BC-positive samples, 219 (79.6%) contained only minor pathogens and in 56 (20.4%) contained major pathogens. We identified a monoculture in 225 samples, and detected the growth of 2 different bacterial species in 48 cultures. The most common isolated pathogen was *C. bovis*, followed by CNS and *Staph. aureus*. Other isolated pathogens were *Strep. uberis, Strep. dysgalactiae*, lacto-/enterococci, *E. coli, Bacillus spp.*, and *Streptomyces spp.* (Table 3).

The MAA concentrations and the log 10SCC were significantly different between samples with major pathogens and samples with only minor pathogens or sterile samples; they were also significantly different between samples with minor pathogens and sterile samples (all \(P < 0.01\); Figure 1; Table 3). We found no significant effect of age, lactation period, or lactation number on SCC, MAA concentration, or BC results (\(P > 0.05\)).

The optimal threshold for SCC was 150,000 cells/mL for both BC categories, resulting in 254/433 (58.7%) samples with increased SCC. Using the conventional SCC cutoff of 100,000 cells/mL to detect subclinical mastitis in composite samples (Bludau et al., 2014), 298/433 (68.8%) had an elevated SCC.

Using the best MAA thresholds identified by Bayesian latent class analysis at 1.6 µg/mL (all pathogens) and 3.9 µg/mL (major pathogen), 266/433 (61.4%) and 180/433 (41.6%) samples, respectively, were positive for subclinical mastitis. Applying the cutoff of 1 µg/mL suggested by other authors (Akerstedt et al., 2011; Crosson et al., 2015), 312/433 (72.1%) samples

### Table 2. Structure of the 2 applied Bayesian models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BC interpretation</th>
<th>Covariance 1</th>
<th>Covariance 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All pathogens</td>
<td>Specificity (Sp) of SCC with Sp of MAA</td>
<td>Sp of MAA with Sp of BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major pathogens</td>
<td>Sensitivity (Se) of SCC with Se of MAA</td>
<td>Sp of SCC with Sp of MAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BC = bacteriological culture; MAA = milk amyloid A.*
were positive for subclinical mastitis. The estimated test accuracies for the different cutoff values are shown in Table 4 [density distributions of Se and Sp in Supplemental Figures S47 and S48 (see Supplemental File S5); https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-12446]. Receiver operating characteristic curves for the 70 MAA thresholds and the 20 SCC thresholds can be found in Supplemental Figures S49 and S50 (see Supplemental File S6; https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-12446).

**DISCUSSION**

We suggest cutoff values of 3.9 µg/mL for the MAA-ELISA to detect mastitis from major pathogens and 1.6 µg/mL to detect mastitis from all pathogens when working with defrosted milk samples. A previous study suggested a cutoff of 1 µg/mL, because none of the collected quarter milk samples from clinically healthy cattle exceeded that value (Akerstedt et al., 2011).
### Table 4. Accuracy (%) of all 3 tests, considering different cutoffs for each
test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test threshold</th>
<th>SCC (cells/mL)</th>
<th>BC</th>
<th>MAA (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Prevalence</th>
<th>Se (95% CI)</th>
<th>Sp (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>1 All</td>
<td>79.6 (92.9–79.6)</td>
<td>62.3 (54.9–62.3)</td>
<td>80.3 (79.8–80.8)</td>
<td>98.5 (98.0–99.0)</td>
<td>58.4 (49.5–67.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>90.1 (89.3–90.1)</td>
<td>75.7 (69.4–75.7)</td>
<td>88.2 (87.9–88.2)</td>
<td>98.9 (98.5–99.4)</td>
<td>58.2 (50.1–66.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>1 All</td>
<td>95.5 (95.6–95.5)</td>
<td>75.9 (69.4–75.9)</td>
<td>90.3 (90.1–90.4)</td>
<td>98.5 (98.0–99.1)</td>
<td>58.2 (50.1–66.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>93.8 (93.8–93.8)</td>
<td>74.3 (68.9–74.3)</td>
<td>92.1 (92.0–92.1)</td>
<td>98.1 (97.6–98.1)</td>
<td>57.4 (50.0–65.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>1 All</td>
<td>93.0 (93.0–93.0)</td>
<td>74.5 (69.1–74.5)</td>
<td>91.4 (91.3–91.4)</td>
<td>97.7 (97.2–98.2)</td>
<td>56.0 (50.5–62.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>92.7 (92.7–92.7)</td>
<td>74.3 (69.1–74.3)</td>
<td>91.3 (91.2–91.3)</td>
<td>97.7 (97.2–98.2)</td>
<td>56.0 (50.5–62.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Test threshold:** BC = bacteriological culture; MAA = milk amyloid A; Se = sensitivity; Sp = specificity.

1Model structure and covariances: Sp of SCC and Sp of MAA.

2Model structure and covariances: Se of SCC and Sp of MAA.

3Model structure and covariances: Se of SCC and Se of MAA.

However, that study had a very low sample size of only 10 clinically healthy cattle, and the recommended cutoff came from the observation that 8 of the 10 controls had readings below 1 µg/mL; it did not include a Bayesian latent class approach or receiver operating characteristic curves for estimation of the cutoff. Our data suggest that preselection of healthy cattle with SCC <100,000 cells/mL yields lower MAA concentrations without discriminating for subclinical mastitis. A recent study applied the same threshold of 1 µg/mL to explore whether MAA was suitable as a biomarker for udder health status in cattle before drying off (Crosson et al., 2015). The authors observed the same Se for the MAA-ELISA (94.5%) as we did (94.3%) using similar thresholds (BC all pathogens, SCC cutoff 150,000 cells/mL, and MAA cutoff 1 µg/mL). However, their estimate for Sp (93.0%) was much higher than ours (57.6%). Their gold standard was based on BC of quarter milk samples, which were positive with ≥100 cfu/mL of any pathogen, except for CNS, for which ≥200 cfu/mL was considered positive. Their high Sp can be explained by their more stringent interpretation of cultures with CNS, compared with our “all pathogens” interpretation, as well as because their statistical approach ignored the latent nature of subclinical inflammation (Dohoo et al., 2011). Another study reported that the best threshold for MAA was 13.43 µg/mL, resulting in 100% Se and 100% Sp using clinical mastitis as the gold standard (Hagikhah et al., 2010). In general, measuring mastitis indicators in samples from cows with clinical mastitis is redundant, because the clinical signs are pathognomonic. This approach leads to a highly overestimated performance of the MAA-ELISA, and this threshold has not been confirmed elsewhere.

To identify IMI with any possible pathogen, we suggest an optimal SCC threshold of 150,000 cells/mL. The determined test accuracies for SCC in this study (Table 4) were in agreement with McDermott et al. (1982), who reported Se of 92% and Sp of 53% at a threshold of 100,000 cells/mL. Using a higher threshold of 200,000 cells/mL, they reported Se of 89% and Sp of 75% for subclinical mastitis. Their study population consisted of 719 lactating Holstein and Brown Swiss cows in different lactations and lactation periods. They applied BC as the gold standard and diagnosed mastitis if at least 1 colony of a major pathogen was detected. The 95% confidence intervals we found for the Se and Sp of SCC for mastitis from major pathogens (Table 4) include their estimates. Another study used BC results of triplicate samples as the gold standard and reported similar performance data to ours for SCC (Se 72.6% and Sp 85.5% for mastitis from all pathogens; Se 83.4% and Sp 58.9% for mastitis from major pathogens; Dohoo and Leslie, 1991). Pepe and Janes...
(2007) emphasized that Bayesian latent class analysis often proceeds without a formal clinical definition of disease, leading to prevalence and test accuracy parameters that are not well defined. However, for subclinical mastitis, clinical symptoms are absent and diagnosis relies entirely on laboratory results; in the absence of a gold-standard test, this approach remains the most realistic for estimating test accuracy. The choice of 1 good informative prior is difficult, because the relevant literature on cutoffs for SCC and BC is very heterogeneous. Our sensitivity analysis, which used several different informative priors based on a beta distribution, indicated that the posterior estimates remained unaffected by a wide range of plausible informative priors [Supplemental Figures S5 to S46 (see Supplemental File S4); https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2016-12446].

We did not detect a significant difference in test accuracy between SCC and MAA-ELISA, suggesting that both were equally important nonspecific mastitis indicators. Because both tests were based on different physiological factors, the results required confirmation with BC. According to Sears et al. (1990), this approach required 3 consecutive milk samples to reach 98% Se for detecting major pathogens, especially _Staph. aureus_. For proper reading, each culture must be incubated for at least 24 h, entailing a significant loss of time before mastitis-negative animals can be dismissed. We suggest the use of SCC and MAA-ELISA as a combined screening procedure for IMI caused by major pathogens. As a first step, SCC (cutoff 100,000 cells/mL), with its high diagnostic Se of 96.6%, can be used to detect all udders that are potentially infected with major pathogens. Then, the MAA-ELISA (cutoff 3.9 µg/mL), with its high Sp of 96.6%, can be performed on all SCC-positive samples. This test sequence could produce reliable outcomes in a very short time and result in an overall Se of 82% and a Sp of 98% to detect mastitis caused by major pathogens. Consecutive BC allows for determination of the proper antibiotic for treatment. Because IMI from minor pathogens have a high self-healing potential, BC can be spared in all cases that are negative for SCC and MAA. It is important to note that all diagnostic test performances are population-specific, leading to a spectrum bias. Thus, a careful description of the underlying population is needed (Lijmer et al., 1999; Sackett and Haynes, 2002).

This study evaluated the recently developed MAA-ELISA as a new method for diagnosing subclinical mastitis. To our knowledge, it is the first report on the use of Bayesian latent class modeling to evaluate SCC, MAA-ELISA, and BC simultaneously for the detection of subclinical mastitis. With this holistic evaluation, we identified a differentiated way to use these 3 diagnostic tools in combination. The MAA-ELISA is a valuable addition to existing tools, and its practical applicability would be further enhanced if it were a cow-side test kit.
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