Effect of exosomes from plasma of dairy cows with or without an infected uterus on prostaglandin production by endometrial cell lines

Fatema B. Almughlliq,* Yong Q. Koh,* Hassendrini N. Peiris,* Kanchan Vaswani,* Scott McDougall,† Elizabeth M. Graham,† Chris R. Burke,‡ and Murray D. Mitchell*†


*University of Queensland Centre for Clinical Research, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, 4029, Australia
†Cognosco, Anexa FVC, Morrinsville 3340, New Zealand
‡DairyNZ Ltd., Private Bag 3221, Hamilton 3240, New Zealand

ABSTRACT

A contributing factor to declining fertility in dairy cows is an activated inflammatory system associated with uterine infection. Detecting uterine disease using biomarkers may allow earlier diagnosis and intervention with resultant improvements in fertility. Exosomes are known to participate in intercellular communication, paracrine, and endocrine signaling. Exosomes carry a cargo of proteins, lipids, and nucleic acids that represent specific cellular sources. Prostaglandins are lipids that are critical determinants of bovine fertility. In this study exosomes were isolated from the plasma of cows before (d 0) and during (d 10) the study in healthy animals or those with an induced uterine infection in a 2 × 2 factorial design. Exosomes were characterized for size and number (nanoparticle tracking analysis), exosomal marker expression (Western blot), and morphology (transmission electron microscopy). No significant differences were observed in exosome size or number. The abundance of exosome-enriched markers was confirmed in noninfected and infected animals. Transmission electron microscopy confirmed the morphology of the exosomes. These exosomes were co-incubated with bovine endometrial epithelial and stromal cells. Exosomes from d-10-infected animal plasma decreased PGF2α production in endometrial epithelial but not stromal cells. For future research, the identification of effectors in the cargo may provide a useful basis for early diagnosis of uterine infection using an exosomal characterization approach.
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INTRODUCTION

Maintaining optimal reproductive performance is an important economic driver in dairy cattle production. Poor reproductive performance is associated with extended intervals between calvings, reduced milk production, and increased risk of cows being removed from the population, and thus significant economic losses (Inchaisri et al., 2010). Improved management has increased milk production, but fertility has declined due to increased metabolic pressure (Lucy, 2001; Garnsworthy et al., 2008; Roche et al., 2011).

Bacteria can be isolated from the majority of cows in the early postpartum period. However, many of these infections are self-limiting, but clinical disease is associated with the ongoing presence of Escherichia coli, Trueperella pyogenes, Prevotella spp., Fusobacte- rium spp., or Bacteroides spp. (Sheldon et al., 2002). Prevalence of clinical endometritis (presence of grossly evident purulent material in the vagina or uterus) is estimated to be 15 to 20% in dairy cows, and 30% are affected by subclinical endometritis (inflammation of the uterus as determined using cytology; Sheldon et al., 2009). Uterine inflammation, whether it is grossly evident or not, is associated with decreased conception rates and prolonged days to first service and days open, and it has been demonstrated that subclinical endo- metritis has an effect on survival and quality of the embryo (Kasimanickam et al., 2004; McDougall et al., 2011; Ribeiro et al., 2013).

Uterine disease is currently diagnosed using cow-side tests, such as gloved hand examination of the vaginal contents, vaginoscopy, use of an intravaginal probe to retrieve purulent material (Metricheck device, Simcro, Hamilton, New Zealand), or endometrial cytology among others (de Boer et al., 2014). Although endome- trial cytology appears to have a higher sensitivity than other tests (McDougall et al., 2011), and is a better predictor of reproductive performance than other tests, it is an expensive and time-consuming test that re-
quires laboratory infrastructure. Sensitive and specific, rapid, and cost-effective diagnostic tools for uterine disease need to be developed in dairy cattle, potentially through the use of biomarkers.

Exosomes are highly stable extracellular vesicles (EV) that bud from the cell membrane and are 30 to 120 nm in diameter (Raposo and Stoorvogel, 2013; Sohel et al., 2013). They are involved in cell-to-cell communication and may be useful in diagnosis of several biological conditions (Crookenden et al., 2016; Mitchell et al., 2016). Exosome number and content are altered under physiological pressures (e.g., cancer, pregnancy, and infection; Sabapatha et al., 2006; Silverman et al., 2010; King et al., 2012) and have a role in immunomodulation (Deng et al., 2013). Prostaglandins (PG), as well as being immunomodulatory agents, are key players in bovine fertility. Prostaglandin F2α (PGF2α) and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) are the main PG associated with reproduction. The endometrium release of PGF2α initiates luteolysis, and PGE2 is a temporary luteotropic signal, acting with interferon τ, for pregnancy maintenance (Arosh et al., 2002). During uterine infection in postpartum cows, plasma concentrations of PGF2α, metabolite are increased (Del Vecchio et al., 1994). In vitro studies of endometrial cells have shown PG are produced at differing rates. Stromal cells produce more PGE2 than epithelial cells, with a reversal for PGF2α (Fortier et al., 1988; Danet-Desnoyers et al., 1994; Asselin et al., 1996), whereas in another report secretion of PG was similar by epithelial and stromal cells (Betts and Hansen, 1992). Endometrium explants or cell lines challenged with LPS produced higher PGE2 compared with PGF2α (Herath et al., 2009).

This study aimed to assess whether the number or size of exosomes isolated from the plasma of cows with and without infection of the bovine uterus is altered, and furthermore, whether plasma exosomes from these cows could change PG production by endometrial cells.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

This negative controlled intervention study was conducted using 20 cows collected at d 0 and 10 sourced from a single research herd located in the north of New Zealand (Lye Farm, DairyNZ Vaile Rd., Newstead). Cows were selected based on calving date, freedom from grossly evident peripartum disease, and not having been treated with antimicrobials or nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in the 14 d preceding the commencement of the study.

Cows were synchronized using the G-6-G/OvSynch protocol (that is a sequence of PGF2α, GnRH, GnRH,PGF2α, and GnRH at 2-, 6-, 7-, and 2-d intervals, respectively; Bello et al., 2006), and all cows were treated with an intrauterine antimicrobial (0.5 g of cepahpirin, Metricure, MSD, Upper Hutt, New Zealand) at the time of the first GnRH injection.

At 96 h after the final GnRH of the synchrony protocol (i.e., approximately 3 d after ovulation), cows were blocked by age (2, 3, greater than 3 yr) and then randomly assigned to 2 groups (n = 18 per group). Half the cows were infused with saline, and the remaining half were further randomly (within age) assigned into 2 groups and infused into the uterus with either a dose of 10⁷ cfu or 10⁹ cfu of T. pyogenes. The strain of T. pyogenes and the technique of infusion was the same as that used by Amos et al. (2014).

Prior to infusion, and at d 10 after infusion, duplicate swabs were collected from the body of the uterus using triple guarded uterine catheters. One swab was used to prepare a glass slide for subsequent staining and cytological evaluation (Kasimanickam et al., 2004), and the second was placed in transport media for aerobic and capnophilic bacterial culture. The cows were observed daily for signs of clinical disease including increased rectal temperature, a drop in milk yield, and systemic signs of illness (dullness, dehydration, and so on), and daily transrectal ultrasonography to assess ovarian follicle development was undertaken. Blood samples (8 × 10 mL of EDTA, 2 × 10-mL plain vacutainers) were drawn on the days of uterine sampling from a jugular vein. Plasma progesterone was determined by a validated RIA (ImmunoChem Double Antibody Progesterone RIA Kit from MP Biomedical, Santa Ana, CA, catalog # 07-170105; https://www.mpbio.com/product.php?pid=07170105) in the laboratory of DairyNZ (Newstead, New Zealand). The minimum detectable concentration was 0.18 ng/mL. The within and between assay coefficients of variation were 2.3% and 5.2% for the high (2.1 ± 0.1 ng/mL; mean ± SD) and 3.9% and 10.0% for the low (0.25 ± 0.03 ng/mL) quality assurance standards, respectively.

Following culture, plasma from a subset of 10 cows from the control group with no evidence of infection and 10 cows from the T. pyogenes-treated group, in which heavy bacterial infection was confirmed by culture, were selected for this current study. These cows were all >12/16ths Holstein-Friesian, averaged 2.7 yr of age (SD = 0.9; range 2 to 4), and were an average of 48.2 DIM (SD = 4.1; range 43 to 58) at the time of intrauterine challenge. Cows had an average BW of 422 kg (SD = 47; range 360–526 kg) and a BCS of 4.1 (SD = 0.2; range 3.75–4.5 BCS; on a 1 to 10 scale, Roche et al., 2004) at 5 d before intrauterine challenge. Average daily milk yield over the 7 d preceding challenge was 21.2 L/cow per day (SD = 2.8; range 18.0–27.0...
L/cow per d). Cows were fed on pasture that was predominantly ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) and white clover (*Trifolium repens*), with a new area of pasture offered every 12 h.

**Bacterial Culture of Uterine Swabs**

Uterine swabs were transported to the laboratory on the day of collection. On arrival, swabs were plated onto Columbia agar containing 5% sheep blood, MacConkey agar, and Columbia CNA agar (Fort Richard Laboratories, Auckland, New Zealand). All culture plates were incubated at 37 ± 1°C for up to 48 h. Columbia agar containing 5% sheep blood and MacConkey plates were incubated in air, and CNA plates were incubated under capnophilic conditions. Suspected *T. pyogenes* isolates were submitted externally for identification by MALDI-TOF (PathLab, Tauranga Hospital Campus, Tauranga, NZ).

**Endometrial Cell Culture**

Bovine stromal and epithelial cell lines were kind gifts from Michel A. Fortier (Université Laval, Québec, Canada). Cells were not deposited with the American Type Culture Collection. They were grown in RPMI medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty. Ltd., Scoresby, Vic, Australia) containing exosome depleted 10% fetal bovine serum (Bovorgen, Interpath Services Pty. Ltd., Heidelberg West, Vic, Australia) and 1,000 U/mL antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty. Ltd.) and incubated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ (Asselin et al., 1996). Experiments were conducted in media without fetal bovine serum.

**EV Isolation from Plasma by Ultracentrifugation**

Extracellular vesicles were isolated from 40 plasma samples by successive differential centrifugation steps, conducted as previously described (Mitchell et al., 2016). Briefly, the plasma was centrifuged (4°C) at 2,000 × g for 30 min and then 12,000 × g for 30 min. The supernatant was filtered using a 0.22-µm filter, and then it was ultracentrifuged at 100,000 × g for 2 h at 4°C using a fixed angle ultracentrifuge rotor Type 70.1 Ti (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA). The 100,000 × g pellet (EV) was reconstituted in 500 µL of PBS (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd.) and stored at −80°C.

**Exosome Separation and Purification by Size Exclusion Columns**

Extracellular vesicles obtained from ultracentrifugation were loaded onto qEV size exclusion columns (Izon, Oxford, UK) for exosome isolation, as per manufacturer instructions. This technique allows the separation of particles from EV pellet based on their size into 16 fractions. The 16 fractions were concentrated using a vacuum concentrator for 1.5 h at room temperature.

**Protein Quantification**

Protein concentration of exosomal fractions was quantified by a bicinchoninic acid assay (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) and BSA (Sigma-Aldrich) as standards.

**Immunoblotting**

Exosomes (10 µg of protein) were further characterized using gel electrophoresis (NuPAGE Novex 4–12% Bis-Tris, Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty. Ltd.) for confirmation of exosomal markers flotillin 1 and tumor susceptibility gene 101. The gel was then transferred to a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories Pty. Ltd., Gladesville, New South Wales, Australia) using Trans-Blot Turbo system (Bio-Rad Laboratories Pty. Ltd.). After blocking (5% skim milk powder), membranes were probed overnight with primary antibodies anti-flotillin 1 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) and anti-tumor susceptibility gene 101 (Abcam) at 4°C, followed by secondary anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma-Aldrich) and secondary anti-goat (Sigma-Aldrich), respectively. Membranes were then covered with SuperSignal West Dura-Extended Duration Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty. Ltd.). Targeted proteins were visualized on x-ray films using a Konica SRX101A processor (Konica Minolta Inc. Medical and Graphic, Tokyo, Japan).

**Transmission Electron Microscopy**

Transmission electron microscopy was used to visualize exosome particles from exosomal fractions. A total of 5 µL of sample was added onto formvar-coated copper grids for 2 min and then briefly washed in ultrapure water and negatively stained with 1% uranyl acetate. The samples were then visualized using the JEOL 1010 transmission electron microscope (JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) operated at 80kV, and images were captured using an Olympus Soft Imaging Veleta digital camera (Olympus, Center Valley, PA).

**Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis**

Based on the presence of exosomal biomarkers by immunoblotting, exosomal fractions (10 to 16) were pooled for nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA) measurements using a NanoSight NS500 instrument (Nano-
Sight NTA 3.0 Nanoparticle Tracking and Analysis Release Version Build 0064, Malvern, Malvern, UK) as previously described (Mitchell et al., 2016).

Co-Incubation of Exosomes with Endometrial Cells

Bovine stromal cells (seeding density of 8,000 cells per well) and bovine epithelial cells (seeding density of 35,000 cells per well) were incubated for 24 h, grown in RPMI media (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty. Ltd.) containing exosome depleted 10% fetal bovine serum (Bovorgen, Interpath Services Pty. Ltd.) and 1,000 U/mL antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty. Ltd.). For the coculture experiment (treatment with exosomes), fetal bovine serum–free RPMI media, containing 1,000 U/mL antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd.), was used. From our preliminary results, we determined the time of incubation based on a time course experiment that we carried out for both cell lines, where we stimulated the cells with LPS, tumor necrosis factor α, or IL-1β over a period of 12, 24, and 48 h. Our results showed that the optimal response to the 3 different stimuli was at 24 h; therefore, in the current study we collected media after 24 h of co-incubation. Exosomal dosage was chosen in reference to literature (Franzen et al., 2014). Cells were incubated with RPMI media with no addition of exosomes (No EXO control, for baseline measurements) or treated with plasma exosomes (1 × 10⁸ particles per well) derived from the 4 groups [10 control and 10 infected animals, sampled at 2 time points (d 0 and 10)] for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. We performed 2 well replicates per individual cow at d 0 and 10 (n = 40). Cell culture experiments were performed in duplicate per cell line. Media was collected and stored at −80°C until required for further analyses.

Measurement of PG Production by ELISA

Prostaglandins PGF₂α and PGE₂ (pg/mL) were measured directly from cell culture media using ELISA kits (Cayman Chemicals, Ann Arbor, MI) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The PGF₂α assay has a sensitivity of 10 pg/mL, and the PGE₂ assay has a sensitivity of 30 pg/mL. Both assays had intra-assay variation of 10% per the manufacturer’s description.

Measurement of IL-6 Production by ELISA

The production of IL-6 (ng/mL) was measured directly from cell culture media using a Bovine IL-6 ELISA Kit (Abcam) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The assay has a sensitivity of 0.03 ng/mL and had intra-assay variation of 10% per the manufacturer’s description.

Lactate Dehydrogenase Assay

To determine cell viability and death, lactate dehydrogenase release was measured using the commercially available kit, Pierce LDH Cytotoxicity Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific Australia Pty Ltd.), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Statistical Analyses

Neutrophil percentage was not normally distributed and, therefore, natural log-transformed after addition of one (to account for 0% results). Natural log-transformed neutrophil percentage was analyzed by one-way ANOVA before infusion (i.e., d 0) and at d 10 after infusion. Serum progesterone concentrations were analyzed by one-way ANOVA at d 10 after infusion. Additionally, the d-10 progesterone data were categorized into cows unlikely to have ovulated (i.e., progesterone concentrations ≤1 ng/mL) or to have ovulated (i.e., >1 ng/mL). The difference in proportion ovulating was analyzed by chi-squared between treatment groups. The proportion of animals from which T. pyogenes was isolated at d 10 after infusion was analyzed by chi-squared, with treatment (i.e., infusion vs. control) as the main effect.

Measurements of PGE₂ and PGF₂α from cocultured media were statistically analyzed using Prism software (prism7, GraphPad Inc., La Jolla, CA). Production of PGE₂ and PGF₂α are presented as means ± SEM (n = 10 per group; d 0 noninfected, d 0 infected, d 10 noninfected, and d 10 infected), with comparisons made between groups and basal production from the control data. Nonparametric Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA was used for multiple comparisons between all groups. Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used for paired comparison within the same animals of each group (d 0 infected compared with d 10 infected, and d 0 noninfected compared with d 10 noninfected). Statistical significance was defined as P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Trueperella pyogenes Infection

Infected cows had a higher proportion of nucleated cells that were neutrophils upon endometrial cytology than control cows (30.6 ± 18.3 vs. 4.6 ± 5.0% PMNL, respectively; P < 0.001). Trueperella pyogenes was not isolated from any cow before infusion or from control cows at any stage after
infusion, but it was isolated from all treated cows on d 7 and 10 after infusion (100 vs. 0% for infected vs. control cows, respectively; \( P < 0.001 \)).

The Ln PMNL% did not differ between groups before infusion (0.4 ± 0.54 vs. 0.5 ± 0.2 for infected vs. control cows, respectively; \( P = 0.40 \)). The Ln PMNL% was higher in infected versus control cows at d 10 after infusion (3.3 ± 0.7 vs. 1.3 ± 1.0 for infected vs. control cows, respectively; \( P < 0.001 \)).

Plasma progesterone did not differ as determined on d 10 (2.8 ± 2.6 vs. 2.3 ± 1.6 ng/mL for infected vs. control cows, respectively; \( P = 0.58 \)). The proportion of cows with progesterone concentrations >1 ng/mL at d 10 was 8/10 vs. 7/10 for infected vs. control cows, respectively; \( P = 0.61 \).

**Exosome Characterization**

Exosomes derived from cow plasma were isolated and characterized using immunoblotting NTA, transmission electron microscopy, and Western blot (Figure 1). Figure 1A and 1B shows size distribution and particle number for both groups and time points. No significant treatment or time point differences were observed in exosome size and particle number. Through NTA, we obtained total exosome particle number (particles per mL) from infected (\( n = 10 \)) and noninfected (\( n = 10 \)) groups. The average exosome number of noninfected cows was 2.15 \( \times 10^{10} \) particles/mL, and the median was 2.09 \( \times 10^{10} \) particles/mL. The average exosome number of infected cows was 1.70 particles/mL, and the median was 1.67 \( \times 10^{10} \) particles/mL. The results of protein concentration were obtained. The average exosomal concentration of noninfected cows was 261.59 \( \mu \)g/mL, and the median was 175.15 \( \mu \)g/mL. The average exosomal concentration of infected cows was 175.75 \( \mu \)g/mL, and the median was 149.99 \( \mu \)g/mL. Immunoblotting results show that exosome-enriched markers, flotillin 1 and tumor susceptibility gene 101 proteins, were abundant in noninfected and infected animals (representative Western blot shown in Figure 1C). Transmission electron microscopy confirmed the spherical shaped morphology of the exosomes isolated (representative vesicle shown in Figure 1D).

**PG Production**

Production of PGE\(_2\) and PGF\(_{2\alpha}\) by epithelial cells decreased numerically in response to exosomes derived from d 10 plasma of infected cows (Figure 2A and 2B). No EXO control infected and noninfected animals did not differ at d 0 and 10 for PGE\(_2\) (Figure 2A) production. Production of PGF\(_{2\alpha}\) decreased by 38% in response to plasma exosomes from cows infected on d 10 compared with No EXO control (\( P = 0.03 \)), and by 44% compared with noninfected cows on d 10 (\( P = 0.02 \); Figure 2B). Stromal cell production of PGE\(_2\) (Figure 2C) or PGF\(_{2\alpha}\) (Figure 2D) did not differ between groups or time points. Basal production of PGE\(_2\) was higher than PGF\(_{2\alpha}\) by both epithelial (\( P = 0.0001 \)) and stromal (\( P \leq 0.001 \)) cell lines compared with PGF\(_{2\alpha}\).

Basal PGE\(_2\) production (No EXO) by stromal cells was higher than epithelial cells (\( P \leq 0.001 \)). However, PGF\(_{2\alpha}\) production was similar for epithelial and stromal cells. No differences were observed in the ratio of d 10:d 0 in the noninfected and infected groups for PGE\(_2\) production by epithelial and stromal cells.

We considered the possibility that this response was caused by the presence of inflammation induced by plasma carryovers. Therefore, we measured IL-6 production from culture media, and no differences were found between the groups of both cell lines (Figure 3). We also considered the likelihood of decrease in PGF\(_{2\alpha}\) production by epithelial cells due to cell death caused by the treatment material, but this was not the case because no significant difference was observed in lactate dehydrogenase measurements between d 10 noninfected and d 10 infected (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Our results highlight that circulating exosomes of uterine-infected cows significantly decrease PGF\(_{2\alpha}\) production by epithelial cells but not stromal cells. Our results are similar to previous studies where cultured bovine endometrial cells were shown to produce PGE\(_2\) and PGF\(_{2\alpha}\) when directly challenged with *E. coli* or *T. pyogenes* (Herath et al., 2006; Sheldon et al., 2014). Whereas, endometrial explants challenged with *E. coli* or LPS (Herath et al., 2009) have increased PGE but not PGF production. This study demonstrated that exosomes derived from plasma of infected cows produce similar changes in prostaglandin ratios as those demonstrated in in vitro cell culture systems with direct bacterial infections. This information is critical for future research investigating prostaglandin suppression mechanisms.

Uterine infection was confirmed by isolation of *T. pyogenes* and by an increased proportion of neutrophils upon endometrial cytology. Abnormal estrus cycle lengths, associated with failure of luteolysis, is one mechanism by which uterine disease may affect the probability that cows conceive (Opsomer et al., 1998).
Figure 1. Exosome characterization from bovine plasma for noninfected and infected groups at d 0 and 10. (A) Particle size (nm) distribution of exosomal fractions by nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA). (B) Particle number (particles per mL) of exosomal fractions by NTA. Bars represent 95% confidence. (C) Representative Western blot for exosomal markers flotillin 1 (FLOT1) and tumor susceptibility gene 101 (TSG101) of exosomal fractions 10 to 16 (sample presented is d 10 infected). (D) Electron micrograph of an exosome from exosome-enriched fraction.
Recently, it has been demonstrated that infusion of a pure culture of *T. pyogenes* into the bovine uterus results in establishment of infection in the great majority of cows (Amos et al., 2014). However, we did not detect differences in the number or size of plasma exosomes of cows that did or did not have a uterine infection in this study. Thus, the number and size of exosomes are unlikely to provide the basis of a useful diagnostic test.

Exosomes carry phospholipases, arachidonic acid, prostaglandins, leukotrienes, and enzymes involved
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**Figure 2.** Prostaglandin production by epithelial [(A) prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) and (B) PGF₂₅] and stromal [(C) PGE₂ and (D) PGF₂₅] cells co-incubated with exosomes derived from bovine plasma. Prostaglandin production was measured directly from media with no exosome addition (No EXO) or with the addition of exosomes derived from d 0 noninfected, d 0 infected, d 10 noninfected, or d 10 infected groups. Values are presented as mean ± SEM; n = 10. *P ≤ 0.05.
in the production of these compounds (Subra et al., 2010; Deng et al., 2013; Mitchell et al., 2016). By co-incubating epithelial and stromal endometrial cell lines with exosomes derived from plasma of uterine infected or noninfected dairy cows, we established an in vitro model of endometrium response to systemically available signals during infection. We confirm that the basal production of PGE_2 was higher in stromal cells compared with epithelial cells (Fortier et al., 1988; Betts and Hansen, 1992; Danet-Desnoyers et al., 1994; Asselin et al., 1996), whereas PGF_2α was similar for epithelial and stromal cells (Betts and Hansen, 1992). Our stromal basal production of PGE_2 was higher than PGF_2α, which is consistent with previous papers (Fortier et al., 1988; Betts and Hansen, 1992; Asselin et al., 1996; Herath et al., 2009; Krishnaswamy et al., 2009a). In contrast to Krishnaswamy et al., our epithelial cells basal production for PGE_2 was higher than PGF_2α (Krishnaswamy et al., 2009b), but this is consistent with other literature (Betts and Hansen, 1992; Herath et al., 2009). This variation in results can be due to several factors, such as cell lines used, cell passage number, time of storage, incubation time, and the nature of measurement method.

Exosome numbers did not significantly differ between the groups. Thus, a simple, nonspecific diagnostic could not be based on this property. Under other conditions, infection-like stressors can upregulate exosome release by more than 2-fold, as well as alter cargo protein composition (Silverman et al., 2010). It seems likely that the best opportunity for a diagnostic or prognostic test would be in determining specific differences in exosome cargo obtained under healthy and infected conditions. Circulating exosomes reflect secretion from several tissues within the whole body. The overall change in the property of exosomes with infection (causing decreased PGF_2α secretion by endometrial epithelial cells) may be enhanced by the separation of isolation of exosomes originating from the endometrium alone. If endometrial exosomes were isolated with confidence, we could then better study the specific cargo related to specifically endometrial conditions. This may then allow the development of a useful diagnostic using the biomarkers identified within the content of these specific exosomes. The utility of evaluating exosome cargo and its importance is underscored by the fact that infectious particles contained within exosomes can be 10-fold more infectious on a particle basis than cell-free infectious particles (Wiley and Gummuluru, 2006).

Extracellular vesicles, including exosomes, are known to participate in the intercellular communication, paracrine and endocrine signaling. A recent study demonstrated that the inhibition of PGE receptor, cyclooxygenase-2, and PGE synthase would increase EV production by mammary epithelial cells (Lin et al., 2017). Circulating exosomes might carry signals to decrease prostaglandin production during an infection (e.g., by actions on steroidal hormones or increasing the expression of estrogen receptors).

In conclusion, the number or size of exosomes in plasma from cows that were or were not infected following intrauterine challenge with T. pyogenes did not differ. However, plasma exosomes derived from infected cows significantly reduced PGF_2α production by epithelial cells in culture. It is possible that identification of endometrium-specific exosomal cargos from plasma.

**Figure 3.** Production of IL-6 by endometrial cells co-incubated with exosomes derived from bovine plasma in (A) epithelial and (B) stromal cells. Production was directly measured from media with no exosome addition (No EXO) or with the addition of exosomes derived from d 10 noninfected or d 10 infected groups. Values are presented as mean ± SD; n = 10.
of infected dairy cows may provide a pathway for the development of a sensitive, specific, rapid, and cost-effective diagnostic tools for uterine disease in dairy cattle.
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