Our objectives were to measure serum Ca concentrations in the first 48 h postpartum in cows supplemented with oral Ca or subcutaneous Ca and nonsupplemented cows and evaluate the effect of these treatments on the incidence of metritis, displaced abomasum, mastitis, and early lactation disease (any of the diseases milk fever, retained placenta, metritis, or displaced abomasum), removal from the herd, pregnancy to first insemination, and average daily milk yield for the first 10 wk of lactation. We conducted 2 experiments on 1 commercial herd in New York State. In experiment 1, multiparous Holstein cows (n = 30) were blocked by parity (2 and ≥3) and sequentially assigned at calving to nontreated control (CON, n = 10), subcutaneous administration of 500 mL 23% Ca gluconate at calving (SC, n = 10), or administration of an oral Ca bolus containing 43 g of calcium at calving and again 12 h later (OB, n = 10). Blood was collected before treatment and at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24, and 48 h thereafter for measurement of serum total Ca concentration. In experiment 2, 1,478 multiparous Holstein cows were sequentially assigned by calving date to the same 3 treatments (CON, n = 523; SC, n = 480; OB, n = 475). In experiment 1, SC cows had greater Ca concentrations from 1 through 12 h post-treatment and OB cows had greater Ca concentrations at 1 and 24 h post-treatment compared with CON cows. We found no difference in risk of metritis, displaced abomasum, early lactation disease diagnosis, or pregnancy to first insemination among treatments. Treatment with SC or OB had no effect on average daily milk yield compared with CON cows (CON = 46.7 kg; SC = 47.1 kg; OB = 47.0 kg). Cows treated with SC or OB that had a high relative herd milk rank in the previous lactation were almost half as likely to be diagnosed with mastitis in the first 60 DIM compared with CON cows [risk ratio (RR)SC = 0.57, RR OB = 0.54]; however, we found no difference in risk of mastitis among treatments for cows with low relative herd milk rank. Second-parity cows fed a negative prepartum dietary cation-anion difference ration and treated with SC or OB were more likely to be removed from the herd than CON cows (RRSC = 3.91, RR OB = 4.72); this difference was not observed in second-parity cows fed a neutral prepartum dietary cation-anion difference ration or in parity ≥3 cows. Although Ca supplementation increased serum Ca, this effect did not greatly improve milk production or health and reproductive outcomes.
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### INTRODUCTION

Dairy cows mobilize a large quantity of Ca around the time of calving to meet the demands of colostrum production and lactation, and this increased Ca requirement often results in hypocalcemia in the immediate postpartum period. Whereas clinical hypocalcemia (milk fever; MF) affects approximately 5% of peripar- turient dairy cows (Goff, 2008), subclinical hypocalcemia (SCH), a low serum concentration within 48 h of calving, is much more prevalent, affecting up to 50% of postpartum multiparous cows (Reinhardt et al., 2011). Subclinical hypocalcemia has been associated with hyperketonemia, displaced abomasum (DA), retained placenta, metritis and mastitis, decreased reproductive performance, and decreased milk production (Chapinal et al., 2011, 2012; Martinez et al., 2012). Thus, prevention of SCH represents a sizable opportunity for avoiding other postpartum diseases in dairy cows, thereby improving animal well-being and farm economies.

Testing for SCH is costly and inconvenient, so current efforts have focused on prevention at the herd level rather than identification and treatment of individual animals. The mainstay of prevention is the reduction of the DCAD in prepartum rations (Horst et al., 1997; Leon et al., 2006; Goff, 2008), which has been shown to reduce the incidence of SCH from 50 to 30% (Joyce et al., 1997). Even at a 30% incidence, SCH is estimated
Study Design and Data Collection

Experiment 1. Between December 7 and 20, 2015, 30 cows in their second-or-greater lactation were enrolled to determine the effect of treatment on serum total Ca concentrations over time. All enrolled cows were fed a targeted prepartum ration DCAD of −10 to −15 mEq/100 g of DM. Cows were blocked by parity (parity 2 and ≥3) and sequentially assigned at calving to 1 of 3 groups: nontreated control (CON, n = 10), subcutaneous administration of 500 mL of 23% Ca gluconate (10.7 g of Ca; Radix Labs, Eau Claire, WI; SC, n = 10), or oral administration of 2 Ca boluses containing 43 g of Ca (Bovikalc, Boehringer Ingelheim, St. Joseph, MO; OB, n = 10). Subcutaneous Ca treatments were administered in a single location behind either shoulder via a simplex set and a 14-gauge needle over a period of approximately 5 min. The first oral Ca bolus was given immediately after calving and the second administered 12 h after calving. All treatments were administered by the research team. Initial treatment-sequence order was determined using the random number function in Excel (Microsoft, Redmond, WA) and repeated in that order for the remainder of the enrollment period. For all cows, blood was collected from the coccygeal vessels into an evacuated tube without anticoagulant (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ) immediately before treatment and at 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24, and 48 h thereafter; for cows in the OB group, the 12-h blood sample was collected before the second bolus was administered. Samples were allowed to clot at room temperature, transported to Cornell University, and centrifuged within 12 h of collection for 5 min at 800 × g and 21°C. Serum was harvested and frozen at −80°C. All serum samples were submitted en masse at the end of the experiment to the New York State Animal Health Diagnostic Center (Ithaca, NY) for quantitative measurement of total Ca concentrations (Hitachi Modular P800, Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN).

Experiment 2. Between February 7 and August 15, 2016, 1,548 multiparous cows were enrolled in a field trial to determine the effects of oral or subcutaneous Ca supplementation on the incidence of health events in the first 60 DIM, average daily milk yield for the first 10 wk of lactation, and risk of pregnancy to first AI compared with an untreated control group. Cows...
were sequentially assigned by calving date to the same
groups as in experiment 1. Initial day-sequence order
was determined using the random number function in
Excel and repeated in that order for the remainder of
the enrollment period. Farm employees administered all
treatments. Subcutaneous Ca treatments were given as
described for experiment 1 in a single location behind
either shoulder within 30 min of parturition. The first
oral Ca bolus was given within 30 min of parturition.
The second oral Ca bolus was given after the first
morning milking on the d following calving (7 to 32
h postpartum). To monitor treatment implementation,
herd personnel recorded the time of administration of
all treatments; these records were observed by the re-
search team at least once weekly throughout the study
period. Neither subcutaneous Ca nor oral Ca boluses
were administered on the dairy during the study period
for purposes other than SCH prevention.
Cases of MF, retained placenta, metritis, DA, and
mastitis, as defined by herd protocols, were identified
by farm employees and recorded in an electronic record-
keeping program (DairyComp 305, Valley Agricultural
Software, Tulare, CA). Disease definitions as described
in farm protocols were MF, a down cow within 48 h
postpartum without mastitis or obvious lameness;
retained placenta, a cow with presence of a placenta
at 2 DM; metritis, a cow with foul-smelling vaginal
discharge and a rectal temperature ≥39.7°C; DA, a
cow with a decrease in milk yield and a ping sound on
simultaneous auscultation and percussion over the left
abdomen in a line extending from the tuber coxae to
the oecranon; and mastitis, a cow with altered milk ap-
pearance (either garget present or watery consistency)
with or without a swollen, painful quarter. Additional
data downloaded from the record-keeping program
included daily milk weights (sum of thrice-daily milk-
ing, subsequently averaged into daily means by week),
removal from the herd (died or sold events), outcome
of first AI, calving ease, prepartum pen, and previous
lactation mature-equivalent milk production.

**Analytical Approach**

**Experiment 1.** Our primary outcome of interest
was the difference in mean serum total Ca over the first
48 h postpartum after allocation to group. The sample
size of 10 animals per group was based on an increase in
mean serum Ca concentration of at least 0.25 mmol/L
between each treatment and control group, a power of
80%, an acceptable type I error risk of 5%, and a SD
of serum Ca concentration at calving of 0.125 mmol/L.

Differences in the proportion of cows in each par-
ty group were analyzed using a Fisher’s exact test.
Differences in serum Ca concentration at calving
(pretreatment baseline) were analyzed using mixed
effects ANOVA with treatment and parity group (par-
ty 2 and ≥3) as fixed effects, enrollment block as a
random effect, and adjusting for multiple comparisons
using Tukey’s post hoc test. As a difference in serum
Ca concentration at calving was found among treat-
ments (CON = 2.02 ± 0.05 mmol/L; SC = 1.96 ±
0.05 mmol/L; OB = 1.94 ± 0.05 mmol/L; $P = 0.006$),
baseline serum Ca concentration was used as a covari-
ate in a repeated-measures ANOVA to analyze Ca
concentration over time among treatment. Cow within
group was treated as a random effect, with treatment
as the effect of interest and baseline Ca concentration,
time postpartum, and parity group treated as covari-
ates. Although a univariable association was found
between baseline Ca and parity group ($P = 0.02$), we
included the main effect of parity group as a covariate
along with baseline Ca to account for differences in Ca
dynamics over time not described by the baseline Ca
variable alone. Enrollment block was included as a ran-
dom effect, and the time variable was specified in the
REPEATED statement. Results were analyzed using
an unstructured covariance, and normality of residuals
was tested after each model fit. Variables and their re-
pective interaction terms were considered statistically
significant if $P ≤ 0.05$. Comparisons of differences in
least squares means among treatments over time were
controlled for multiplicity using a Bonferroni correction
for the number of time points compared. All statistical
analyses were performed in SAS (v. 9.4; SAS Institute
Inc., Cary, NC). A graph showing the mean Ca concen-
tration of each treatment at each measured time point
was created using GraphPad (GraphPad Software Inc.,
La Jolla, CA).

**Experiment 2.** Our primary outcome of interest
was the difference in average daily milk yield per cow
calculated in week averages over the first 10 wk of lac-
tation among treatments. A sample size of 485 cows
per group was based on an increase in average milk
yield of at least 2 kg/d (Oetzel and Miller, 2012) among
the treatments, a power of 80%, an acceptable type I
error risk of 5%, and a standard deviation of milk yield
per week of 7.5 kg, with the resulting number of cows
inflated by 10% to account for enrolled cows excluded
from analysis. This number of animals provided us
with 80% power to detect the following differences in
secondary outcomes given a type I error risk of 5%; a 9
and 15% incidence of metritis, a 1 and 4% incidence of
DA, a 9 and 15% incidence of mastitis, a 13 and 20%
incidence of combined early lactation health events, a
3 and 7% incidence of removal from the herd, and a
30 and 39% incidence of pregnancy to first AI. The
incidence risks used for power calculations were based
on historical disease data from the study herd.
Differences in parity group and calving ease (1 = unassisted, 2 = minor assistance, 3 = major assistance) among treatments were analyzed using a Fisher’s exact test. Prepartum pen (pen A or B) and parity group (parity 2 and ≥3) were considered as potential confounders because all parity ≥3 cows and 86% of parity 2 cows were in prepartum pen A, fed a targeted prepartum ration DCAD of −10 to −15 mEq/100 g of DM, and 14% of parity 2 cows were in prepartum pen B, fed a targeted prepartum ration DCAD of 0 mEq/100 g of DM. Parity 2 cows were assigned to prepartum pen by the herd representative responsible for dry cow management based on a subjective assessment of frame size; small-frame cows were assigned to pen B with nulliparous animals. To reduce confounding, a third category was added to the variable parity group (parity group 2A for parity 2 and prepartum pen A, parity group 2B for parity 2 and prepartum pen B, parity group 3 for parity ≥3). The difference in the 3-category parity group variable among treatment groups was also tested using a Fisher’s exact test. Previous gestation length and previous lactation mature-equivalent milk production (PME305) were analyzed using a univariable ANOVA. The average PME305 of enrolled cows was 13,341 kg, and a relative herd milk rank based on the entire milking herd for each enrolled cow was calculated based on the cow’s PME305 divided by the average herd PME305. Cows with a relative herd milk rank >105% were categorized as high relative herd milk rank, and cows with a relative herd milk rank ≤105% were classified as low relative herd milk rank (Oetzel and Miller, 2012). The difference in proportion of cows with high or low relative herd milk rank among groups was analyzed using a Fisher’s exact test. Potential confounding between relative herd milk rank and parity group was tested via a Cohen’s kappa coefficient test and found to be nonimportant (P = 0.29).

Differences in average daily milk production across the first 10 wk of lactation among treatments were analyzed using repeated-measures ANOVA. Approximately 5% of milk weights were misreported due to lost or improperly identified ear tags, and these data were treated as missing values. Cow nested within group was treated as a random effect, with treatment as the variable of interest and week postpartum, parity group, and calving ease included as covariates along with 2-way interaction terms with treatment. The time variable was specified in the REPEATED statement. The model was fitted using backward stepwise elimination of variables considered statistically nonsignificant (P > 0.10); treatment group and parity group were considered important variables a priori and included in the model regardless of statistical significance. Results were analyzed using different covariance structures; an unstructured covariance structure was chosen as it produced the lowest Akaike information criterion, a measure of the relative goodness-of-fit. Normality of residuals was tested after each model fit. Differences among least squares means of variable categories retained in the final model were controlled for multiple comparisons using the Tukey post hoc procedure. All statistical analyses were performed in SAS. A graph of the predicted average daily milk yield averaged by week for each treatment was constructed using GraphPad.

Fixed-effects multivariable Poisson regression was used to assess differences among treatments for the risk of pregnancy to first AI and the risk of the following outcomes occurring within 60 DIM: diagnosis of metritis, diagnosis of DA, diagnosis of mastitis, and removal from the herd. In addition, the incidence of cows having at least 1 early lactation disease diagnosis (any of the diseases MF, retained placenta, metritis, or DA) was analyzed. The potential covariates calving ease and relative herd milk rank were tested for univariable associations with each outcome using a Fisher’s exact test. Covariates with P < 0.20 were included in each model along with the variables treatment and parity group along with 2-way interaction terms with treatment group. The model was fit using backward stepwise elimination of variables considered not statistically significant (P > 0.10); treatment and parity group were considered important variables a priori and included in the model regardless of statistical significance. All cows were considered to be at risk for each outcome regardless of time in the herd, except for the outcome of pregnancy to first AI, for which cows were only included if they were inseminated and had a pregnant or open diagnosis. All statistical analyses were performed in SAS.

RESULTS

Experiment 1

Figure 1 shows the distribution of serum Ca concentration at calving for each treatment. Median parity number was not different among study groups at 2 (range = 2 to 4), 3 (range = 2 to 6), and 2.5 (range = 2 to 5) for CON, SC, and OB groups, respectively (P = 1.0). The mean time from calving to treatment was 28 min (range = 10 to 90 min) for subcutaneous Ca administration, 18 min (range = 10 to 30 min) for the first oral Ca bolus, and 12 h 37 min for the second bolus (range = 11 h 55 min to 14 h). Figure 2 shows the mean serum Ca concentration for each treatment at baseline and 1, 2, 4, 8, 12, 24, and 48 h post-treatment. The effect of treatment on mean serum Ca concentration was dependent on time point (P < 0.001) after
accounting for baseline Ca concentration \((P < 0.001)\), parity group \((P = 0.13)\), and time point \((P < 0.001)\).

Cows treated with SC had greater serum Ca concentrations from 1 through 12 h post-treatment than CON cows. After adjustment for multiple comparisons, OB cows had greater serum Ca concentrations than CON cows only at the 1 and 24 h time points. Mean serum Ca concentration across the total 48-h period was \(1.8 \pm 0.04\), \(2.1 \pm 0.04\), and \(2.0 \pm 0.04\) mmol/L for CON, SC, and OB cows, respectively.

**Experiment 2**

A total of 1,548 multiparous cows were enrolled into experiment 2 at calving. We excluded 70 cows (calving not recorded, \(n = 15\); previous gestation length <260 d, \(n = 51\); died or euthanized at calving, \(n = 2\); received intravenous calcium in the maternity pen, \(n = 2\)), leaving 1,478 cows in our final analyses (CON, \(n = 523\); SC, \(n = 480\); OB, \(n = 475\)), of which 928 were second parity and 550 were third and greater parity. The distribution of parity group, calving ease, previous gestation length, PME305, and relative herd milk rank by treatment are in Table 1; no difference was found among treatments for any of these variables. The mean time from calving to treatment was 38 min (range = 5 min to 3 h) for subcutaneous Ca administration, 37 min (range = 0 min to 5 h 30 min) for the first oral Ca bolus, and 19 h 12 min (range = 7 h to 32 h) for the second bolus. No
adverse effects were reported for cow receiving SC or OB treatment.

**Effect of Treatment on Milk Yield**

We found no difference in average milk yield among treatments ($P = 0.64$), and average daily milk yield during the first 10 wk of lactation was 46.7 ± 0.4, 47.1 ± 0.4, and 47.0 ± 0.4 kg for the CON, SC, and OB groups, respectively, after controlling for parity group ($P = 0.15$) and week of lactation ($P < 0.001$; Figure 3).

**Effect of Treatment on Event Outcomes**

The effect of treatment, incidence of health outcomes and pregnancy to first AI by group, and risk ratio (RR) contrasts from final multivariable Poisson regression models are in Table 2. We found no difference in the risk of cows diagnosed with metritis among treatment ($P = 0.21$) after accounting for parity group ($P = 0.13$) and relative herd milk rank ($P = 0.007$). Cows with a high relative herd milk rank were less likely to be diagnosed with metritis than cows with a low relative herd milk rank (RR = 0.61, 95% CI = 0.42 to 0.89). Similarly, we observed no difference in the risk of cows diagnosed with a DA among groups ($P = 0.51$) after accounting for parity group ($P < 0.001$). Cows in parity group 3 were more likely to develop a DA than cows in parity group 2A (RR = 5.45, 95% CI = 1.99 to 14.96); cows in parity group 2B were no more likely to develop a DA than cows in parity group 2A (RR = 1.26, 95% CI = 0.14 to 11.38).

---

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>P-value $^1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cows, n</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parity group, $^2$ no.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>166</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calving ease, no.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>374</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous gestation length, $^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>276 (5)</td>
<td>276 (5)</td>
<td>276 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PME305, $^3$ kg</td>
<td>13,277 (2,058)</td>
<td>13,459 (2,143)</td>
<td>13,420 (2,123)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative herd milk rank, $^4$ no.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>188</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$P-values are based on Fisher’s exact tests for parity group, calving ease, and relative herd rank and univariable ANOVA for previous gestation length and PME305.

$^2$Parity group: parity group 2A for parity $= 2$ and a targeted prepartum ration DCAD of $-10$ to $-15$ mEq/100 g of DM, parity group 2B for parity $= 2$ and a targeted prepartum ration DCAD of 0 mEq/100 g of DM, parity group 3 for parity $\geq 3$ and a targeted prepartum ration DCAD of $-10$ to $-15$ mEq/100 g.

$^3$Mean (SD).

$^4$Relative herd milk rank: calculated for each cow based on the PME305 divided by the herd average PME305, with cows with a relative herd rank $>$105% or $\leq$105% classified as high or low, respectively.
Table 2. Effect of treatment or treatment interaction, incidence by group, and risk ratio (RR) contrasts from final multivariable Poisson regression models evaluating the effect of treatment on risk of health outcomes within 60 DIM and pregnancy to first AI for Holstein cows randomized by day of calving to groups of no treatment control (CON, n = 523); subcutaneous administration of 500 mL of 23% Ca gluconate immediately after calving (SC, n = 480); or oral Ca bolus (OB, n = 475), where cows received 1 bolus containing 43 g of Ca immediately after calving followed by a second bolus 7 to 32 h later.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome</th>
<th>Effect of treatment1</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>CON</th>
<th>SC</th>
<th>OB</th>
<th>RR&lt;sub&gt;CON&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>RR&lt;sub&gt;CON&lt;/sub&gt;&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt; (95% CI)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Metritis&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>8.1%</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
<td>0.79 (0.53 to 1.17)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.70 (0.47 to 1.06)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>0.65 (0.25 to 1.69)</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.59 (0.22 to 1.61)</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mastitis&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Milk rank&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt; × treatment</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>18.6%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>10.1%</td>
<td>0.57 (0.34 to 0.95)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.54 (0.32 to 0.91)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
<td>(35/188)</td>
<td>(20/190)</td>
<td>(19/188)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
<td>(13.4%)</td>
<td>17.2%</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>1.28 (0.88 to 1.86)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.27 (0.87 to 1.85)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>14.0%</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>0.79 (0.56 to 1.10)</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.76 (0.54 to 1.01)</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herd removal&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Parity group&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt; × treatment</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>3.9 (1.15 to 13.34)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.72 (1.42 to 15.65)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2A</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3/274)</td>
<td>(11/257)</td>
<td>(14/271)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2B</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>0.52 (0.05 to 5.26)</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>— (0.49 to 0.91)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.8%</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
<td>0.79 (0.41 to 1.53)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.04 (0.56 to 1.95)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>29.3%</td>
<td>29.1%</td>
<td>32.2%</td>
<td>0.99 (0.81 to 1.21)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.10 (0.90 to 1.34)</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(138/471)</td>
<td>(123/423)</td>
<td>(136/423)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Final model effect of treatment regardless of P-value or variable interaction with treatment at P ≤ 0.10.
2CON was the reference group for all CON-SC contrasts.
3CON was the reference group for all CON-OB contrasts.
4Metritis final model variables included treatment (P = 0.21), parity group (P = 0.13), and relative herd milk rank (P = 0.007).
5DA = displaced abomasum; final model variables included treatment (P = 0.51) and parity group (P < 0.001).
6Mastitis final model variables included treatment (P = 0.45), parity group (P = 0.91), relative herd milk rank (P = 0.08), and a relative herd milk rank by treatment interaction (P = 0.009).
7Relative herd milk rank = calculated for each cow based on previous lactation mature-equivalent milk production divided by the herd-average previous lactation mature-equivalent milk production, with cows with a relative herd rank >105 or ≤105% classified as high or low, respectively.
8Early lactation disease (any of the diseases milk fever, retained placenta, metritis, or DA) final model variables included treatment (P = 0.21), parity group (P = 0.15), and relative herd milk rank (P = 0.04).
9Herd removal final model variables included treatment (P = 0.63), parity group (P < 0.001), and a parity group by treatment interaction (P = 0.03).
10Parity group: 2A for parity = 2 and a targeted prepartum ration DCAD of −10 to −15 mEq/100 g of DM, parity group 2B for parity = 2 and a targeted prepartum ration DCAD of 0 mEq/100 g of DM, parity group 3 for parity ≥3 and a targeted prepartum ration DCAD of −10 to −15 mEq/100 g.
11RR<sub>OB</sub> could not be calculated due to no herd removal of parity group 2B cows in the OB group.
12Pregnancy to first AI final model variables included treatment (P = 0.54) and parity group (P = 0.14).
The effect of treatment on the risk of mastitis diagnosis was dependent on relative herd milk rank \((P = 0.009)\) after controlling for parity group \((P = 0.91)\). For cows with a high relative herd milk rank, those treated with SC were 43% less likely to be diagnosed with mastitis as CON cows, and those treated with OB were 46% less likely to be diagnosed with mastitis in the first 60 DIM as CON cows. We noted no difference in mastitis risk among treatment for cows with a low relative herd milk rank.

The incidence of MF (CON = 0.2%, SC = 0.0%, OB = 0.6%) and retained placenta (CON = 5.2%, SC = 4.2%, OB = 4.0%) combined with the incidences for metritis and DA produced an incidence of early lactation disease of 14.0, 10.8, and 10.3% for CON, SC, and OB treatment, respectively. The combined risk of cows diagnosed with MF, retained placenta, metritis, or DA within the first 60 DIM did not differ among treatment \((P = 0.21)\) after accounting for parity group \((P = 0.15)\) and relative herd milk rank \((P = 0.04)\). Cows with high relative herd milk rank were less likely to be diagnosed with 1 or more of the early lactation diseases than cows with low relative herd milk rank \((RR = 0.73, 95\% CI = 0.53 \text{ to } 0.99)\).

The effect of treatment on the risk of herd removal was dependent on parity group \((P = 0.03)\). For parity 2A cows, being treated with SC or OB increased the risk of removal compared with CON cows (Table 2). As the parity 2B-OB group did not have any cows removed from the herd in the first 60 DIM, an RR was unable to be calculated for the CON-OB contrast; however, as only 3 of 126 cows in this group were removed from the herd during the study, it is unlikely that this difference is important. We found no difference in the risk of removal among SC or OB cows compared with CON cows for parity group 3.

An outcome of pregnancy to first AI was recorded for 1,317 of the enrolled cows. We observed no difference among treatments in the number of cows missing a recorded outcome of pregnancy to first insemination \((P = 0.71)\). The risk of pregnancy to first AI did not differ among groups \((P = 0.54)\) after accounting for parity group \((P = 0.14)\).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study was designed to determine the effect of oral or subcutaneous Ca supplementation on serum total Ca concentration in the first 48 h after parturition compared with nontreated cows and to evaluate the effect of Ca supplementation on the risk of early lactation disease and herd removal, pregnancy to first AI, and milk production. Both SC and OB treatments increased serum Ca concentration immediately after treatment. As expected, the effect of SC was a large but short-lived increase in serum Ca concentration; mean Ca concentration was greater for SC cows than CON cows from 1 through 12 h post-treatment, but reached a comparable nadir at the 24-h measurement and remained low at the 48-h measurement. A similar but more pronounced spike in serum Ca concentration and subsequent rebound hypocalcemia was shown by Blanc et al. (2014) when administering 500 mL of 23% calcium gluconate intravenously to nonrecumbent cows; this rebound hypocalcemia lasted through the final measurement at 48 h after treatment. It is possible that the transitory increase in serum Ca after administration of subcutaneous Ca does not reach the physiological threshold necessary to interfere with homeostatic mechanisms associated with return to a eucalcemic state, as appeared to occur with intravenous administration. Conversely, although OB treatment produced an increase in Ca concentration over that of CON cows only at 1 and 24 h post-treatment, the effect of OB treatment was evident at the 24-h time point, when Ca concentrations failed to drop to the nadir seen in the other 2 treatments. Given the small sample size in this part of our study, we found it interesting that OB-treated cows had relatively consistent serum Ca concentrations over the entire 48-h measurement period; this same finding for OB treatment was described by Blanc et al. (2014).

Despite the differences in serum Ca concentration among treatments in experiment 1, very few differences in disease incidence, milk production, or reproduction outcomes were found in experiment 2 when the treatments were administered to a much larger number of cows. Although the number of cows enrolled into our study provided enough power to adequately determine there was no difference in average daily milk yield for the first 10 wk of lactation, our sample size may not have been large enough to detect differences among treatments in some of the measure diseases (i.e., MF, retained placenta, metritis, or DA) or pregnancy to first AI. Treatment effects were only found in mastitis diagnosis and herd removal in the first 60 DIM, and for both these outcomes only a subset of animals were affected.

We found that cows with a high relative herd milk rank, those with a PME305 over 5% greater than the herd average PME305 that received either SC or OB were almost half as likely as nonsupplemented cows to be diagnosed with mastitis in the first 60 DIM. Similar results regarding the benefit of postpartum Ca supplementation in cows with a high relative herd milk rank have been shown by Oetzel and Miller (2012), where high-relative herd milk rank cows treated with oral Ca boluses produced 2.9 kg more milk at first DHIA test
than high-relative herd milk rank cows not administered postpartum Ca supplementation. Martinez et al. (2016b) found similar results with increased doses of oral Ca boluses, in that supplemented cows with high herd mean PME305 yielded 0.8 to 2.7 kg more milk per day than cows not supplemented with high herd mean PME305. In addition to cows with a high relative herd milk rank, Oetzel and Miller (2012) also found that lame cows benefited from Ca supplementation by reducing the number of negative health events in early lactation; however, we did not evaluate locomotion score in our study. Target group Ca supplementation strategies have been found to be cost-effective (McArt and Oetzel, 2015); however, the overall economic benefit to producers was dependent on herd lameness prevalence and average milk yield at first DHIA test.

Interestingly, we found that parity 2A cows (parity 2 cows fed a prepartum ration targeting a DCAD of −10 to −15 mEq/100 g of DM) had a greater risk of herd removal in the first 60 DIM if they received either SC or OB supplementation than nonsupplemented parity group 2A cows. As the cows in parity group 2A were on a negative DCAD diet prepartum, it is plausible that these cows had improved physiological adaptation to the normal decline in serum Ca concentration postpartum, as feeding a negative DCAD diet has been shown to reduce SCH (Joyce et al., 1997); however, the administration of additional Ca may have interfered with this inherent adaptation and proved detrimental. This effect of treatment on herd removal was not found in parity 2B cows (parity 2 cows fed a prepartum ration targeting a DCAD of 0 mEq/100 g of DM) nor in parity ≥3 cows. As parity 2 cows were assigned to prepartum pen based on frame size, only 27% of cows in parity group 2B were of high relative herd milk rank, whereas 41.3% of cows in parity group 2A were of the same rank. It is possible that postpartum serum blood Ca concentration in parity group 2B cows was, on average, higher than that of parity group 2A cows, and minimal homeostatic regulation was required to maintain eucalemia; thus, additional Ca supplementation was not detrimental. Although Ca status and regulation throughout early lactation may explain the difference in herd removal incidence seen among parity groups (as parity group was not an important contributor to the effect of postpartum Ca supplementation on milk yield, disease incidence, or pregnancy to first AI), it is difficult to explain the reason of herd removal behind this finding. Our results, in addition to the negative findings of Martinez et al. (2016a,b), when primiparous cows were repeatedly supplemented with Ca postpartum over multiple days, suggest that more research is needed to evaluate Ca homeostasis and response to Ca supplementation in younger cows.

Similar to our lack of treatment effect on metritis, DA, early lactation disease, and pregnancy to first AI outcomes, Miltenburg et al. (2016) reported no effect of a postpartum 2-dose subcutaneous Ca supplementation regimen on the risk of culling or early lactation diseases, early lactation milk yield, or reproductive performance in 984 cows across 7 farms in Canada. In a comparable study by Amanlou et al. (2016) in 375 cows in 1 Iranian herd, cows given 2 infusions of subcutaneous Ca within the first 18 h postpartum were less likely to develop metritis and clinical and subclinical endometritis than nontreated control cows. Although our study evaluated the effect of postpartum Ca supplementation in 1,478 cows, it was limited to enrollment on a single dairy farm. Given the inconsistency of reported effects of postpartum Ca supplementation and the variety of nutritional and management strategies available for prevention of SCH, it is likely that the success of Ca supplementation might vary depending on the herd in which it is used.

CONCLUSIONS

Although our results show that subcutaneous Ca supplementation substantially raised serum Ca concentrations for 12 h and that oral Ca supplementation produced a more modest but prolonged increase at 1 and 24 h over nonsupplemented cows, these effects on serum blood Ca were not enough to create a considerable improvement in health outcomes, milk production, or reproductive success. Though beneficial effects of Ca supplementation were seen in high-relative herd milk rank cows through the reduction of mastitis cases, Ca supplementation also increased herd removal in subset of second-parity cows. Until methods of on-farm SCH detection become more accurate and economical, providing targeted Ca supplementation may have greater benefits than whole-herd supplementation.
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