ABSTRACT

Adiponectin is an insulin-sensitizing hormone produced predominantly by adipose tissue; it circulates as oligomers of 3, 6, 18, or more units. Plasma adiponectin might be involved in the development of insulin resistance in transition dairy cows because it falls to a nadir around parturition. The possibility that this regulation occurs through a post-transcriptional mechanism was suggested in a previous study that showed unchanged adiponectin mRNA abundance combined with reduced expression of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) chaperones implicated in assembly of adiponectin oligomers. Expression of ER chaperones is controlled by x-box binding protein 1 (XBP1) and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6), suggesting a model whereby transcriptional regulation of ER chaperones during the transition period contributes to the regulation of adiponectin production. In support of this model, XBP1 expression in adipose tissue, measured either as the active spliced XBP1 mRNA or as the total of all XBP1 mRNA isoforms, was 45% lower on d 8 of lactation than 4 wk before parturition; ATF6 mRNA abundance remained unchanged over the same period. To assess the functional importance of XBP1, preadipocytes isolated from pregnant cows were differentiated into adipocytes that secrete adiponectin. Infection of differentiating cells with an adenovirus expressing the active spliced version of bovine XBP1 did not alter adiponectin mRNA but increased the expression of ER chaperones 1.5- to 5-fold. Despite the latter, XBP1 overexpression did not affect the total amount of adiponectin secreted in medium. In additional experiments, adiponectin production was dependent on exogenous lipid in the medium and was reduced during incubation with tumor necrosis factor-α (TNFα). Accordingly, we asked whether the repressive effects of these factors on adiponectin production were related to a reduction in the expression of adiponectin or determinants of ER function (XBP1, ATF6, and ER chaperones). Exogenous lipid had no effect on the expression of any of these genes, whereas TNFα repressed adiponectin mRNA abundance by 61% but had little effect on determinants of ER function. Overall, this work shows that XBP1 is a positive regulator of ER chaperone expression in adipose tissue but provides no support for XBP1 and its dependent ER chaperones in the regulation of adiponectin production in bovine adipocytes. Mechanisms accounting for reduced plasma adiponectin in transition cows remain poorly understood.
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INTRODUCTION

Dairy cows in early lactation (EL) suffer from significant deficits in virtually all major classes of organic nutrients, including the lactose precursor glucose (Bell, 1995). Dairy cows cope with this nutritional insufficiency by calling on adaptations such as insulin resistance (IR). Insulin resistance is beneficial in EL because it favors partitioning of glucose to the mammary gland where uptake is independent of insulin (Bell and Bauman, 1997). The IR of EL is likely driven in part by increased plasma growth hormone (GH), given its ability to suppress insulin-stimulated glucose uptake in adipose tissue and skeletal muscle (Bauman and Vernon, 1993; Bell and Bauman, 1997; Block et al., 2001). Increased plasma fatty acids may also be involved through induction of signals interfering with insulin signaling such as ceramide and the cytokine tumor necrosis factor-α (TNFα; Pires et al., 2007; Sadri et al., 2010; Rico et al., 2015). One hormone that has not been extensively studied in the context of the IR of EL is adiponectin. We and others have shown that the plasma concentration of adiponectin drops in parallel with the rise of IR during the transition from late pregnancy (LP) to EL in dairy cows (Giese et al., 2012; Mielenz et al., 2013;
Adiponectin is a 30-kDa protein secreted predominantly by adipose tissue (Kadowaki and Yamauchi, 2005; Dadson et al., 2011; Wang and Scherer, 2016). Unlike most other adipokines, adiponectin circulates as low-, medium-, and high-molecular-weight complexes containing 3, 6, or 18 or more adiponectin monomers (Kadowaki et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008). Adiponectin oligomer assembly occurs within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and is facilitated by interactions with ER chaperones. Endoplasmic reticulum chaperones implicated in adiponectin folding and assembly into oligomers include glucose-regulated protein 78 kDa (GRP78), ER protein 44 (ERP44), ER oxidoreductase 1 α (ERO1α), protein disulfide isomerase family A, member 6 (PDIA6), disulfide bond oxidoreductase A-like protein (DSBA-L), and Golgi associated, gamma adaptin ear containing, ARF binding protein 1 (GGA1) (Wang and Scherer, 2008; Liu and Liu, 2009).

Interestingly, the reduction in plasma adiponectin seen in transition dairy cows was not associated with reduced adiponectin mRNA in white adipose tissue (WAT) but rather with lower expression of the ER chaperones GRP78, ERP44, and PDIA6 (Giesy et al., 2012). Others have also observed a lack of correspondence between changes in plasma adiponectin and adiponectin mRNA abundance in adipose tissue of transition dairy cows (Lemor et al., 2009; Saremi et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2014b). These data suggest that variation in ER chaperone expression may be an important determinant of adiponectin production in transition dairy cows.

Endoplasmic reticulum chaperone expression is regulated in part through 2 master transcription factors known as x-box binding protein 1 (XBP1) and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6; also known as ATF6α; Lee et al., 2003; Shoulders et al., 2013). Notably, plasma adiponectin is increased by approximately 50% in mice overexpressing the active spliced form of XBP1 (XBP1s) specifically in adipose tissue (Sha et al., 2014). Accordingly, we hypothesized that reduced ER chaperone expression in transition dairy cows would be associated with lower expression of these transcription factors. We found this association to be true only for XBP1, leading us to ask whether its overexpression in adipocytes would increase ER chaperone expression and adiponectin secretion. Finally, we asked whether ER chaperone expression is downregulated when bovine adipocytes are exposed to conditions leading to reduced adiponectin secretion.

Source of Adipose Tissue

All experiments were performed on multiparous Holstein cows and were approved by the Cornell Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Gene expression of XBP1 and ATF6 was measured in WAT collected in a previous study reporting on the regulation of ER chaperones during the transition period (Giesy et al., 2012). In brief, WAT was obtained from the subcutaneous tail-head depot of 10 cows in LP (d −29 ± 2, relative to parturition on d 0) and again in EL (d 8). White adipose tissue samples were frozen at −80°C until used for total RNA isolation.

White adipose tissue used for isolation of bovine preadipocytes was obtained from the subcutaneous tail-head depot of Holstein cows at the start of the dry-off period, approximately 7 wk before expected parturition. All cows were of parity ≥1 and were in good body condition (BCS ≥3.0). Immediately after biopsy, WAT was immersed in growth medium [Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM)/F12 (Thermo Fisher), supplemented with 10% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and penicillin-streptomycin (50 U/mL, Thermo Fisher), and amphotericin B (1 μg/mL; Sigma Aldrich), and transported to the laboratory.

Isolation, Differentiation, and Study of Bovine Preadipocytes

Bovine preadipocytes were isolated and differentiated into adipocytes as previously described (Lengi and Corl, 2010). In brief, WAT was rinsed in a solution of 20% betadine and growth medium, followed by a single wash with fresh growth medium. Pieces of WAT (~1 mm3) were placed onto 100-mm dishes and kept in place with a coverslip attached to the growth surface of the cell dish with vacuum grease. After 10 d, fibroblast-like cells growing out of the explants were recovered by trypsinization and seeded into 100-mm dishes. Cells were reseeded when 90% confluent into 60-mm dishes coated with gelatin (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Cells were incubated over the first 48 h with adipocyte differentiation medium [DMEM/F12 + 10 μg/mL insulin (Sigma Aldrich), Rosiglitazone (1 μM; Sigma Aldrich), intralipid (2% vol/vol of Intralipid 20%; Sigma Aldrich), penicillin-streptomycin (50 U/mL; Thermo Fisher), glucose (2 mM; GlutaMAX, Thermo Fisher), and amphotericin B (1 μg/mL; Sigma Aldrich)] supplemented...
with dexamethasone (0.25 μM; Sigma Aldrich) and 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (0.5 mM; Sigma Aldrich), and adipocyte differentiation medium alone thereafter. White adipose tissue explants and cells were kept at 37°C and 5% CO₂ with medium changed every 2 d.

For experiments involving adenoviruses, adipocytes were infected at 1700 h on d 6 of differentiation followed by a medium change 15 h later. Total RNA was collected on d 9 of differentiation. Medium was collected on d 9 or 10 of differentiation following a 24-h period of conditioning. In other studies, adipocytes were incubated between d 6 and 8 with either PBS (control), 100 ng/mL bovine GH (Protiva, St. Louis, MO), or 10 ng/mL of human TNFα (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). Medium and total RNA were collected on d 8 of differentiation.

Oil-red O staining was used to confirm lipid accumulation in differentiating cells. In brief, cells were washed with PBS and fixed with 10% formalin for 1 h at room temperature. Following 2 washes with 60% isopropanol, cells were stained with Oil-red O (Sigma Aldrich) for 10 min at room temperature and analyzed by Axiocant 40 Microscope bright light microscopy (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, Thornwood, NY) at 10× magnification.

**Construction of an Adenovirus Expressing Bovine XBP1s**

Unconventional splicing of mature XBP1 mRNA by inositol-requiring enzyme-1 (IRE1) gives rise to the active spliced XBP1s (Glimcher, 2010). To increase the relative abundance of XBP1s mRNA, bovine MAC-T cells were incubated with 2 mM dithiothreitol for 4 h as described by Wang et al. (2011). Then, cDNAs were generated by reverse transcription of total RNA followed by high-fidelity amplification of the XBP1s cDNA using previously described procedures (Boisclair et al., 1996). The XBP1s cDNA was subcloned into the adenoviral shuttle vector pAd-CMV and shown by sequencing to correspond exactly to bovine XBP1s (Ref Seq NM_001034727.3). The bovine XBP1s adenovirus was generated using the AdEasy system as previously described (Luo et al., 2007). In brief, the plasmid was linearized using the restriction enzyme Pmel and transformed into AdEasyier bacteria containing the adenoviral backbone plasmid pAdEasy-1. As a result, the bovine XBP1s cDNA was recombined into the pAdEasy-1, giving pAdEasy-XBP1s. Adenovirus particles were generated by transfecting human embryonic kidney 293a cells with Pac-I-linearized pAdEasy-XBP1s using Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher). The virus was then amplified via 5 rounds of human embryonic kidney 293a infection. Adenoviruses expressing mCherry under the control of the CMV (cytomegalovirus) promoter (Cherry; Vector Biolabs, Malvern, PA) were amplified in parallel using the exact same procedure.

**Western Blot Analysis**

Medium from 4 individual 60-mm dishes was pooled and concentrated to 100 μL using centrifugal units with a molecular weight cutoff of 10 kDa (Amicon Ultra-4; Merck, Kenilworth, NJ). Total cellular extracts were prepared from bovine adipocytes by lysis with radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonfluryl fluoride, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM NaF, 0.25% sodium deoxycholate, and 10% glycerol, supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (Halt phosphatase inhibitor mixture EDTA-free; Thermo Fisher)]. Protein concentrations of cellular extracts were determined using the bicinchoninic acid assay (Thermo Fisher). Concentrated medium (18 μL) or fixed amounts of cellular extract (20–40 μg) were mixed with Laemmli buffer containing dithiothreitol and mercaptoethanol and boiled for 10 min (Giesy et al., 2012). Proteins were separated on 13% polyacrylamide gels and transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Protran, Schleicher, and Schuell Bioscience, Dassel, Germany). Membranes were blocked in Tris-buffered saline with Tween-20 (0.05 M Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 0.2 M NaCl, and 0.1% Tween-20) containing 5% (w/v) nonfat dried skim milk. Membranes were then immunodecorated with a mouse primary antibody against human adiponectin (a gift from Dr. Tohru Funahashi, Osaka University; Suita, Japan) and a rabbit primary antibody against human β-actin (Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA). In preliminary immunoblotting experiments with bovine adipose tissue and plasma, the human adiponectin antibody yielded a single signal at the expected size for bovine adiponectin (30 kDa); this signal was eliminated when immunoblotting was performed in the presence of purified bovine adiponectin. The human adiponectin antibody was adopted because it has greater affinity for bovine adiponectin than the antibodies used in our previous work (Giesy et al., 2012). As reported by the commercial supplier and in previously published work (Zhou et al., 2008), the human β-actin antibody detected a signal at the expected size for bovine β-actin (45 kDa). Primary antibodies were diluted at 1:1,000 (β-actin) or 1:20,000 (adiponectin) in blocking solution. Signals were developed with 1:20,000 dilution of either IR Dye 800 goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (LI-COR Biotechnology, Lincoln, NE) and visualized with the LI-COR Odyssey infrared imaging system.
RNA Extraction and Analysis of Gene Expression

White adipose tissue biopsies and bovine adipocytes were lysed with Qiazol (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) followed by total RNA purification using RNeasy Mini columns and on-column RNase-free DNase treatment (Qiagen). Reverse transcription reactions were performed with 1 μg of mRNA in a total volume of 20 μL with the high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit and RNase inhibitor (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Gene expression was analyzed with quantitative real-time PCR assays using Power SYBR Green Mix (Applied Biosystems). Real-time PCR assays were performed in duplicate with a total reaction volume of 25 μL containing 500 nM concentrations of each primer and reverse transcribed mRNA (25 ng except for the internal standard gene 18S, 2.5 ng). The sequences of all primers used are given in Supplementary Table S1 (https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-14048). All mRNA data were analyzed using a relative standard curve based on serial dilution of pooled cDNA from bovine adipocytes. Unknown sample expression levels were calculated from the standard curve and adjusted to the geometric mean expression of β-actin and 18S.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed by a mixed model using the fit model procedure of JMP Pro 11.0 statistical software (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). For XBP1s mRNA abundance during the transition period, the model accounted for physiological stage (LP vs. EL) as the fixed effect and animal as the random effect. For the time course of differentiation, the model accounted for time (0, 4, 6, 8, and 10 d) as the fixed effect and cell dish as the random effect. If significant, the effect of time was partitioned into linear, quadratic, and cubic contrasts. For the effect of XBP1s overexpression, the model accounted for adenovirus (Cherry vs. XBP1s) as the fixed effect and cell dish as the random effect. For the intralipid experiment, the model accounted for treatment (− vs. + intralipid) as the fixed effect and cell dish as the random effect. For the GH and TNFα experiments, the model accounted for treatment (control vs. GH or TNFα) as the fixed effect and cell dish as the random effect. The level of statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

RESULTS

Reduced XBP1 Expression in Adipose Tissue of Transition Dairy Cows

In previous work, we showed that plasma adiponectin decreased by 40% between LP and EL (Giesy et al., 2012). This reduction was associated with reduced WAT expression of the ER chaperones GRP78, ERP44, and PDIA6, which are under transcriptional regulation by XBP1 and ATF6 (Shoulders et al., 2013). Accordingly, we asked whether the expression of these transcription factors is regulated in the WAT of these transition dairy cows. White adipose tissue XBP1 expression measured either as the active spliced XBP1s mRNA or as the total of all XBP1 mRNA isoforms was reduced by approximately 45% between LP and EL (Figure 1A, P < 0.001), whereas expression of ATF6 remained unchanged (Figure 1B). Expression of the ATF6 paralogue ATF6B was also not regulated between LP and EL (Figure 1B). These data raise the possibility that XBP1-dependent expression of ER chaperones contributes to reduced plasma adiponectin in EL.

Capacity of Primary Bovine Adipocytes to Secrete Adiponectin

As a first step to evaluate the possibility of a causal relation between XBP1 expression and adiponectin production, we asked whether preadipocytes isolated from adipose tissue could differentiate into adiponectin-secreting adipocytes. Bovine preadipocytes were grown out of WAT explants and upon confluence, incubated in differentiation medium over 10 d. Lipid accumulation was not detected by Oil-red O on d 0 but became obvious by d 6 of differentiation and peaked in abundance and intensity by d 8 (Supplementary Figure S1A; https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-14048). Acquisition of the adipogenic phenotype was confirmed by maximal expression of the adipogenic marker fatty acid binding protein-4 (FABP4) within 4 d of incubation in differentiation medium (Figure 2A, P < 0.01). Leptin and adiponectin mRNA were undetectable on differentiation d 0 but increased in a linear fashion over the next 10 d (Figure 2A, P < 0.05 or less). Finally, we assessed the presence of adiponectin in cells and medium collected during differentiation (Figure 2B). Adiponectin was undetectable at all times in cellular extracts and in medium collected between d 0 and 4 of differentiation. Adiponectin was first detected in medium collected between d 4 and 6 of differentiation and increased in abundance over the next 2 collection periods (Figure 2B). Together, these findings demonstrate that bovine preadipocytes differentiated into adipocytes that produce and secrete adiponectin.

Effect of XBP1 Overexpression on ER Chaperone Expression and Adiponectin Secretion

First, we asked whether adenoviruses could efficiently infect cells undergoing adipogenic differentiation. Bo-
vine cells were infected with adenoviruses expressing Cherry on d 6 of differentiation, followed by determination of fluorescence 96 h later. Fluorescence was detected in virtually all cells and was particularly strong in lipid-accumulating cells (Supplementary Figure S1B; https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-14048).

Next, cells were infected on d 6 of differentiation with adeno- viruses expressing either Cherry or XBP1s and analyzed 72 h later. Overexpression of XBP1s did not alter adiponectin expression (Figure 3A) but caused a 1.5- to 5-fold increase in the expression of the ER chaperones GRP78, ERP44, and PDIA6. (Figure 3B, P < 0.05 or less). Despite the latter, XBP1s overexpression did not affect the amount of adiponectin secreted in medium between d 8 and 9 of differentiation (i.e., between 48 and 72 h following infection; Figure 3C). Similarly, extending the infection for another 24 h did not affect adiponectin secretion in medium collected between d 9 and 10 of differentiation (Figure 3C). Overall, these data show that XBP1s is a positive regulator of GRP78, ERP44, and PDIA6 expression but do not support a role for XBP1s in regulating adiponectin production in bovine adipocytes.

**Variation in Adipocyte Adiponectin Secretion Despite Invariant ER Chaperone Expression**

Next, we investigated whether treatments curtailing adiponectin production by bovine adipocytes caused a parallel reduction in ER chaperone expression. We first asked whether exogenous lipids, mimicked by supplementation with intralipid, affected adiponectin secretion. Preadipocytes were cultured for 8 d in differentiation medium supplemented with or without intralipid. Absence of intralipid abrogated adiponectin secretion in medium (Figure 4A), even though adiponectin mRNA and expression of the adipogenic differentiation marker FABP4 were unaffected (Figure 4B). Similarly, absence of intralipid had no effect on the mRNA abundance of the master transcription factors of ER function (XBP1s and ATF6) and their dependent ER chaperones previously implicated in adiponectin secretion [GRP78, ERP44, ERO1A, PDIA6, GSTK1 (referred to throughout the manuscript as DSBA-L), and GGA1; Figures 4C and 4D]. These data show that intralipid is required for adiponectin production by differentiated bovine adipocytes via an ER chaperone-independent mechanism.

Finally, we assessed the effects of GH and TNFα on adiponectin secretion, as both have been shown to reduce adiponectin secretion in human or murine cell culture systems (Nilsson et al., 2005; Lim et al., 2008). Bovine adipocytes were treated with GH or TNFα between d 6 and 8 of differentiation. Treatment with GH had no effect on FABP4 expression, adiponectin mRNA level, or adiponectin secretion in medium (Figures 5A and 5B). On the other hand, treatment with TNFα caused a 27% reduction in the mRNA abundance of the adipocyte differentiation marker FABP4 (Figure 6A, P < 0.05). Treatment with TNFα decreased adiponectin mRNA abundance by 86% (Figure 6A, P < 0.05) and adiponectin in medium by 29% (Figure 6B, P < 0.01). Treatment with TNFα also reduced expression of GRP78 by 44% (P < 0.05) but had no effect on the expression of other ER chaperones (Figure 6C). These data suggest that the effects of TNFα on adiponectin secretion are mediated through reduced adiponectin mRNA and possibly repression of GRP78 expression.
DISCUSSION

The plasma concentration of adiponectin in transition dairy cows decreases by 40% during the last 2 to 3 wk before parturition and is followed by a gradual increase during lactation (Giesy et al., 2012; Mielenz et al., 2013; Singh et al., 2014a). In late pregnancy and early lactation, however, changes in plasma adiponectin occur in the absence of corresponding changes in adiponectin mRNA expression in subcutaneous WAT (Lemor et al., 2009; Giesy et al., 2012). This is best illustrated by a recent study in which changes in plasma adiponectin and indices of adiponectin production in WAT depots were studied between parturition and d 105 of lactation (Saremi et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2014b). That work showed that adiponectin recovered fully in both plasma and individual depots by d 42 of lactation in complete absence of any changes in mRNA. A lack of relation between changes in adiponectin mRNA and plasma adiponectin is not unique to transition dairy cows. For example, human subjects undergoing extensive weight loss experience increased plasma adiponectin in the absence of changes in adiponectin mRNA (Behre et al., 2007). Longitudinal studies following rhesus monkeys through the development of obesity and type 2 diabetes showed a decrease in plasma adiponectin, independent of changes in adiponectin mRNA (Hotta et al., 2001). Therefore, mechanisms other than changes in WAT adiponectin mRNA are involved in reducing plasma adiponectin concentrations in many conditions, including the transition dairy cow. In this context, there is evidence for a role of ER chaperones in assembling adiponectin into oligomeric complexes (Wang et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2008; Wang and Scherer, 2008). For example, ERP44 promotes adiponectin folding through thiol-mediated retention, whereas ERO1α displace adiponectin from ERP44, allowing formation of medium- and high-molecular-weight adiponectin (Wang et al., 2007). The DSBA-L protein, endowed with disulfide bond oxidoreductase activity, was also shown to be indispensable to adiponectin oligomer formation and secretion (Liu et al., 2008, 2012). Consistent with a reduced capacity of

Figure 2. Capacity of primary bovine adipocytes to secrete adiponectin. Preadipocytes isolated from white adipose tissue were grown to confluence and then incubated in differentiation medium for 0 to 10 d. (A) Total RNA was isolated over the course of differentiation and analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR for expression of fatty acid binding protein-4 (FABP4), leptin, and adiponectin. Each bar represents the mean ± SE of mRNA abundance for the indicated gene (n = 3 per time point; ND = not detectable). The significance levels of the linear (L) and quadratic (Q) effects of time are given. (B) Conditioned medium and cellular extracts were collected on the indicated day of differentiation. Medium was conditioned for 2 d before collection. Medium and cellular extracts were analyzed for adiponectin abundance by Western immunoblotting, with β-actin as a loading control for total cellular extracts. Similar results were observed in a second experiment for variables shown in panels A and B.
ADIPONECTIN SECRETION IN DAIRY COWS

To assemble adiponectin complexes after parturition, we previously showed that expression of the ER chaperones GRP78, ERP44, and PDIA6 was lower in EL than LP in transition dairy cows (Giesy et al., 2012). These and other chaperones are positively regulated by the master regulators of ER homeostasis, XBP1 and ATF6 (Shoulders et al., 2013). Surprisingly, adipose tissue ablation of XBP1 did not result in reduced plasma adiponectin (Gregor et al., 2013), an observation that could relate to maintenance of ER chaperone expression through compensatory mechanisms such as increased ATF6 expression. In contrast, XBP1 overexpression in mouse adipose tissue stimulated ER chaperone expression and led to 2 specific effects on plasma adiponectin: increased relative abundance of the high-molecular-weight oligomer and increased total adiponectin (Sha et al., 2014). Relevance of the first effect to the biology of adiponectin in transition dairy cows is uncertain.

Both medium- and high-molecular-weight adiponectin were reported in bovine plasma obtained in early lactation (Singh et al., 2014b) and in serum collected at an unspecified physiological state (Raffelsieper et al., 2012) when analyzed by nonreducing SDS-PAGE (Singh et al., 2014b). In our previous work (Giesy et al., 2012), we analyzed bovine plasma by both nonreducing SDS-PAGE and by the gold standard method developed for sizing adiponectin complexes (gel filtration chromatography followed by denaturing SDS-PAGE of fractions; Rutkowski and Scherer, 2014). Irrespective of the method used, adiponectin appeared predominantly as a high-molecular-weight complex, with no evidence of a shift in its molecular weight distribution between late pregnancy and early lactation (Giesy et al., 2012). On the other hand, the ability of XBP1 to increase total plasma adiponectin when overexpressed appeared relevant, particularly given our finding that expression of XBP1s was reduced during the transition from LP to EL. These observations prompted us to assess the possibility that XBP1 regulates adiponectin production by modulating ER chaperones involved in adiponectin assembly.

We evaluated this possibility using preadipocytes isolated from white adipose tissue for growth to confluence and then incubated in differentiation medium. Cells were infected on d 6 of differentiation with adenoviruses encoding mCherry (Cherry) or spliced variant XBP1s. (A, B) Total RNA was isolated on d 9 of differentiation and analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR for adiponectin expression or for ER chaperone expression [glucose-regulated protein, 78 kDa (GRP78), endoplasmic reticulum protein 44 (ERP44), endoplasmic reticulum oxidoreductase 1 α (ERO1A), and protein disulfide isomerase family A, member 6 (PDIA6)]. Expression of each gene is relative to Cherry-infected cells. Each bar represents the mean ± SE of mRNA abundance for the indicated gene (n = 4); *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.001. (C) Medium conditioned between d 8 and 9 or between d 9 and 10 of differentiation was analyzed for adiponectin abundance by Western immunoblotting (n = 2 per adenovirus and time point). Similar results were observed in a second experiment for variables shown in panels A to C.
Figure 4. Effect of intralipid on endoplasmic reticulum (ER) chaperone expression and adiponectin secretion in bovine adipocytes. Preadipocytes isolated from white adipose tissue were grown to confluence and then incubated in differentiation medium in the presence (+) or absence (−) of intralipid. Cells and medium were collected on d 8 of differentiation. (A) Medium conditioned between d 6 and 8 of differentiation was analyzed for adiponectin abundance by Western immunoblotting (n = 3 per treatment). (B to D) Total RNA was analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR for expression of fatty acid binding protein-4 \((FABP4)\), adiponectin, spliced x-box binding protein 1 \((XBP1s)\), activating transcription factor 6 \((ATF6)\), and ER chaperones \(\text{GRP78}\), \(\text{ERP44}\), \(\text{ERO1A}\), \(\text{PDIA6}\), \(\text{DSBA-L}\), and \(\text{GGA1}\). Expression is shown relative to intralipid-free treatment. Each bar represents the mean ± SE of mRNA abundance for the indicated gene (n = 3 per treatment). Similar results were observed in a second experiment for variables shown in panels A to D.

Figure 5. Effect of growth hormone (GH) on adiponectin secretion in bovine adipocytes. Preadipocytes isolated from white adipose tissue were grown to confluence and then incubated in differentiation medium for 6 d. Cells were then incubated between d 6 and 8 of differentiation with (+) or without (−) 100 ng/mL bovine GH. Cells and medium were collected on d 8 of differentiation. (A) Total RNA was analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR for expression of fatty acid binding protein-4 \((FABP4)\) and adiponectin. Expression is given relative to GH-free treatment. Each bar represents the mean ± SE of mRNA abundance for the indicated gene (n = 4 per treatment). (B) Left: Medium conditioned between d 6 and 8 of differentiation was analyzed for adiponectin abundance by Western immunoblotting (n = 2 per treatment). Right: The adiponectin signal was quantified and expressed relative to GH-free treatment. Each bar represents the mean ± SE of adiponectin protein abundance (n = 2 per treatment). Similar results were observed in a second experiment for variables shown in panels A to B.
Adiponectin secretion in dairy cows

Overexpressing XBP1s increased the expression of ER chaperones GRP78, ERP44, and PDIA6 1.5- to 5-fold but had no effect on adiponectin mRNA or, more importantly, on the amount of adiponectin secreted in medium. It remains possible that ER chaperone expression in bovine adipocytes is already sufficient for oligomer assembly and secretion of adiponectin and that further increasing their expression has no effect. This question could be answered in future work by asking whether knockdown of XBP1S, ATF6, or both affects adiponectin secretion in this system.

Differentiation of preadipocytes requires a conserved cocktail of reagents across all species (Tchkonia et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2005). Ruminants differ somewhat in that this cocktail is usually supplemented by exogenous lipid such as intralipid (Ortiz-Colón et al., 2009; Lengi and Corl, 2010). This prompted us to ask whether exogenous lipid affected adiponectin production and secretion. Differentiated adipocytes secreted adiponectin in medium only in the presence of intralipid even though adiponectin mRNA was unaffected. We next asked whether intralipid-mediated adiponectin secretion was associated with changes in ER chaperone expression. Intralipid had no effect on the expression of ER chaperones (GRP78, ERP44, ERO1A, PDIA6, DSBA-L, and GGA1) or on the expression of transcription factors regulating their expression (XBP1s and ATF6).

Figure 6. Effect of tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα) on endoplasmic reticulum (ER) chaperone expression and adiponectin secretion in bovine adipocytes. Preadipocytes isolated from white adipose tissue were grown to confluence and then incubated in differentiation medium for 6 d. Cells were then incubated between d 6 and 8 with differentiation medium supplemented with (+) or without (−) 10 ng/mL human TNFα. Cells and medium were collected on d 8 of differentiation. (A) Total RNA was analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR for expression of fatty acid binding protein-4 (FABP4) and adiponectin. Expression is given relative to TNFα-free treatment. Each bar represents the mean ± SE of mRNA abundance for the indicated gene (n = 4 per treatment); *P < 0.05. (B) Left: Medium conditioned between d 6 and 8 of differentiation was analyzed by Western immunoblotting (n = 2 per treatment). Right: The adiponectin signal was quantified and expressed relative to TNFα-free treatment. Each bar represents the mean ± SE of adiponectin protein abundance (n = 2 per treatment); **P < 0.01. (C) Total RNA was analyzed by quantitative real-time PCR for mRNA abundance of ER chaperones [glucose-regulated protein, 78 kDa (GRP78), endoplasmic reticulum protein 44 (ERP44), endoplasmic reticulum oxidoreductase 1 α (ERO1A), protein disulfide isomerase family A, member 6 (PDIA6), and disulfide bond oxidoreductase A-like protein (DSBA-L)]. The expression of each gene is given relative to TNFα-free treatment. Each bar represents the mean ± SE of mRNA abundance for the indicated gene (n = 4 per treatment); *P < 0.05. Similar results were observed in a second experiment for variables shown in panels A to C.
ATF6). In direct agreement with our studies, treatment with fatty acids including palmitic acid, oleic acid, linoleic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid, or docosahexaenoic acid increased adiponectin secretion in the absence of changes in the expression of ER chaperones in 3T3-L1 adipocytes (DeClercq et al., 2015).

The periparturient period is characterized by rapid increases in drivers of IR, including plasma GH and adipose TNFα, suggesting a model whereby either one or both of these hormones is a negative regulator of adiponectin production and secretion (Boisclair et al., 2006; Sadri et al., 2010). We observed that TNFα suppressed adiponectin mRNA by 86% and adiponectin secretion in medium by 29% in bovine adipocytes. The ability of TNFα to inhibit adiponectin mRNA abundance and secretion has also been demonstrated in 3T3-L1 adipocytes and mice (Fasshauer et al., 2002; Chang et al., 2014; He et al., 2016). Moreover, TNFα exerted this effect in part by antagonizing expression of the ER chaperone proteins ERP44 and DSBA-L (He et al., 2016). Accordingly, we asked whether inhibition of adiponectin secretion in medium is exclusively due to inhibition of adiponectin transcription or, in part, due to changes in expression of ER chaperones. However, TNFα failed to inhibit expression of ERP44 and DSBA-L in bovine adipocytes. These data suggest that reduced adiponectin gene transcription, rather than post-transcriptional mechanisms, accounts for the TNFα-induced suppression of adiponectin secretion in bovine adipocytes. In contrast to TNFα-mediated suppression of adiponectin secretion, GH treatment failed to alter adiponectin mRNA or secretion in medium in bovine adipocytes. This result is inconsistent with an inverse relation between GH and adiponectin in rodents and humans (Lam et al., 2004; Kanety et al., 2009; Lubbers et al., 2013) but in agreement with the failure of chronic GH treatment to alter plasma adiponectin in transition dairy cows (Krumm et al., 2017).

In summary, we found that XBP1s expression in adipose tissue decreased from LP to EL in the transition dairy cow. Using an in vitro system based on adipogenic differentiation of bovine preadipocytes, we showed that XBP1s overexpression regulated expression of the ER chaperone proteins GRP78, ERP44, and PDIα but not expression or secretion of adiponectin. Finally, we showed that adiponectin secretion by bovine adipocytes required the presence of exogenous lipid and was suppressed by TNFα; none of these effects, however, were associated with regulation of ER chaperone expression. Overall, our data do not support a role for XBP1s and its dependent set of ER chaperones in accounting for the variation of plasma adiponectin in transition dairy cows. Other factors that could contribute to the lack of correspondence between changes in plasma adiponectin and its expression in adipose tissue in transition dairy cows include variation in the mRNA regulation and production of adiponectin across adipose tissue depots (e.g., subcutaneous vs. internal depots; Saremi et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2014b), translational regulation of the adiponectin transcript (Banga et al., 2009), and variation in the modifications required for efficient secretion (e.g., hydroxylation or glycosylation; Wang et al., 2002).
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