ABSTRACT

Nonfat dry milk is a valuable food and ingredient because it contains proteins, fat, carbohydrates, minerals, and vitamins. When manufactured, this product is classified into high heat (HH) or low heat (LH) depending on the pre-heat treatment used in pasteurization. Radio frequency dielectric heating, if used alone or as part of a dry heat technology, may induce component interactions in milk powders, which could alter or improve functionality. To pursue this objective, LH was subjected to radio frequency dielectric heating to 80, 85, and 90°C with a subsequent hold period of 60 or 90 min in an oven, set at the designated temperature, 80, 85, or 90°C, assessed for functionality in liquid and gel systems, cooled, and subsequently compared with LH and HH. The functionality assessment included heat stability and foaming, emulsion, and gelling properties. For foaming, LH presented a statistically lower overrun and foam stability compared with all dry-heated LH. The LH dry-heated at ≥85°C exhibited significantly greater foaming capacity than did the LH. Emulsification properties were not significantly different as a function of dry heating compared with LH. As gels, water-holding capacity was greater and syneresis was significantly less for all gels made with the dry-heated LH at <85°C. Gel firmness and cohesiveness were not affected by dry heating. The heat coagulation time at native pH was significantly greater for LH that were dry-heated for 90 min compared with LH. At adjusted pH (6.4 to 7.2), the heat stability was improved if the LH was dry-heated. The dry-heated LH had significantly less foaming properties, but greater emulsion activity compared with the HH. Overall, the dry heat treatment conditions of this study did not result in acid-induced gels with equivalent properties as gels made with HH. Syneresis was similar for all gels except for those made from the dry-heated LH to 90°C and held for 60 min, as this gel had significantly more syneresis than did the gels made from HH. However, the heat stability of dry-heated LH at native, 6.8, 7.0, and 7.2 pH was greater compared with the heat stability of HH. The application of a dry heat treatment enhanced the functional properties of LH, opening the opportunity to develop food products that can use this modified nonfat dry milk such as ice cream, bakery, and meat products. Key words: radio frequency dielectric heat treatment, nonfat dry milk, functional properties

INTRODUCTION

The dehydration of milk has been used to facilitate storage and increase appeal when used as an ingredient in foods such as yogurt, baked goods, or sauces. Nonfat dry milk (NDM) is one of the most popular dehydrated milk products in the US market and is manufactured as low heat (LH), medium heat (MH), and high heat (HH; Patel and Patel, 2015). Low heat and HH are predominantly used as ingredients in food as they improve functional properties such as foaming, gelling, emulsification, and heat stability (Sharma et al., 2012; Patel and Patel, 2015).

Milk is a complex system where treatments such as heating or drying affect protein structure, leading to denaturation, aggregation, and insolubility. The extent of these changes depends on the heat conditions applied to milk, the component ratios in the milk, and the moisture content of the milk system. For example, when heating dried milk systems the molecular motions of the components are retarded, thus slowing chemical reactions, in particular protein denaturation and aggregation, compared with heating a rehydrated milk system (Gulzar et al., 2011).

The heating conditions are critical during the manufacture of NDM. The pre-heat treatment applied to the liquid skim milk before spray drying typically controls the degree of whey protein (WP) denaturation, which in turn affects functional properties. Typically, when
making LH the skim milk undergoes a pre-heat treatment at 70°C for 15 s, compared with the skim milk for HH, which is subjected to heat conditions of 90 to 120°C for 1 to 5 min (Kelly et al., 2003). These different process conditions result in different functional properties in the resultant powders. For example, the LH possesses greater solubility and less cooked flavor, whereas the HH has greater gelation properties, heat stability, water binding, and water absorption (Kelly et al., 2003). Whereas LH is used mainly in yogurts, HH is preferred in the baking industry (Sharma et al., 2012). Although markets exist for both products, the manufacture of HH can be a more complicated process as opposed to LH because WP tend to aggregate and agglomerate when denatured in liquid systems (Mulvihill and Donovan, 1987; Singh and Creamer, 1991).

Radio frequency dielectric heating (RFDH) technology is not a new to the food industry, as this technology has been explored for various uses, from a technology to reduce microbial loads in foods to disinfection strategies in nuts and seeds, and from completing the baking process in pre-baked goods to enhanced quality improvements in dried egg products. More specifically, RFDH has been reported to inactivate enzymes in rice bran and wheat germ, thus extending shelf life and improving quality (Ling et al., 2018a,b). In recent years, RFDH has reduced the pathogenic risks in low moisture products, such as NDM and red and black pepper spices, and eliminated pathogens in liquid systems, such as apple cider (Michael et al., 2014; Jeong and Kang, 2014; Hu et al., 2018).

Additionally, RFDH was used to heat NDM to various temperatures, which was then held for various times in a convection oven (preset at the same temperature as the NDM in the RFDH). These RFDH-treated milk powders were reported to have decreased solubility and whey protein nitrogen index (WPNI; Chen et al., 2013). In particular, if these RFDH-treated HH and LH were subjected to a RFDH treatment of ≥80°C, the WPNI was significantly reduced in LH, suggesting that protein denaturation occurred during the overall dry-heating process. As expected, the authors reported that solubility values for the RFDH-treated LH were greater than those of HH, whether the HH was RFDH-treated or not (Chen et al., 2013). Moreover, a similar RFDH-treatment of LH exhibited improved heat stability at 6.4 to 6.8 pH (Sanchez Alan et al., 2017). The authors attributed the improved stability to the partial WP denaturation that occurs during the overall dry-heating process of LH at 75 or 80°C. Egg white powder (EWP) had been treated in a RFDH unit (Boreddy et al., 2016). The RFDH-treated EWP exhibited improved foaming and gelling properties especially as the treatment temperature and time increased. Their study showed that the RFDH-treated EWP (90°C for 24 h) produced maximum foaming capacity that exceeded the traditionally processed EWP (60°C for 10 to 14 d). The authors produced and evaluated gels from the different RFDH-treated EWP. They found that gels made from RFDH-treated EWP at lower temperatures (60 and 70°C) have significantly less water-holding capacity (WHC) compared with gels made from traditionally processed EWP. Nevertheless, in gels made from RFDH-treated EWP at 80 and 90°C, the WHC was similar to the gel made from the traditionally processed EWP. Another advantage was the reduced energy consumption if EWP was treated with RFDH; the total processing time decreased from 14 d to <1 d at 90°C (Boreddy et al., 2016). In these studies on NDM and EWP, the researchers used the RFDH unit to rapidly increase the temperature of the powder (milk or egg white) to the desired temperature, and then transferred the product to a convection oven for a hold period at a consistent temperature.

Considering that markets are feasible for both HH and LH as ingredients, and that the manufacture of HH may be more challenging due to denatured WP, an alternative may be to manufacture LH and use the RFDH technology to produce a product that functions more similar to HH, or perhaps to produce a NDM product with unique functionality. As described in previous literature, we hypothesized that a dry heat treatment consisting of RFDH technology to rapidly heat the powder to a specified temperature combined with a hold period in a convection oven (preset at the designated temperature) could improve the functional properties of LH. Thus, the objectives of this study were to improve the functionality of LH, specifically foaming, gelling, emulsion, and heat stability properties using a dry-heating process, and to determine if the dry-heated LH has similar functionality as the HH.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Samples**

The HH was obtained from ConAgra Foods Inc. (Menomonie, WI). The LH was obtained from Dairy America Inc. (Fresno, CA).

**Compositional Analysis**

The NDM samples were analyzed for composition by proximate analysis. Total protein was determined using the Dumas principle from IDF Standard 185 (IDF, 2002). Fat content was determined by microwave drying followed by nuclear magnetic resonance (SMART Trac Fat and Moisture Analyzer; CEM Corporation, Mat-
Determination of Ash and Moisture Content

Ash content was determined by ignition at 550°C in a muffle furnace (AOAC International, 2002). The moisture content was determined by the oven-drying method (AOAC International, 2002). Carbohydrate content was calculated by the difference (AOAC International, 2002).

Dry Heating

A 6-kW parallel-plate RFDH unit (Monga Strayfield Pvt. Ltd., Pune, India) operating at 27.12 MHz was used to treat the NDM. The dry heating treatment occurred exactly as outlined by Michael et al. (2014), except that the electrode distance (upper electrode to the top surface of the NDM) was 120 mm.

To briefly recap the procedure, ~350 g of LH was placed in a circular, high-density polyethylene tray (0.3 cm thick, 19.0 cm diameter, and 2.7 cm height; Dynalab Corp., Rochester, NY), leveled with a straightedge, and placed uncovered in the RFDH unit. Four fiber-optic probes (T1, Neoptix Inc., Québec, Canada) were placed in the LH at the container’s edge, about 3 cm from the container’s edge, 3 cm from the center, and center. The probes were connected to a data logger (Reflex, Neoptix Inc.) to record the heating profile during the RFDH heating process. The LH was heated to 80, 85, or 90°C in the unit, and when the center probe reached the target temperature, LH was transferred to a convection oven (preset at the target temperature; Thelco, GCA/Precision Scientific, Schaar Scientific Company, Chicago, IL) and held for 60 or 90 min.

After the hold period, the tray was removed from the oven, LH cooled at 23°C ± 1°C, and ~200 g of dry-heated LH was removed from the center of the tray and placed into separate, resealable polyethylene bags (0.93-L Ziploc freezer bags, Racine, WI) and stored at −2 ± 1°C (Equatherm, Lab-Line Instruments Inc., Melrose Park, IL) until assessment.

Based on preliminary research the following temperature and time combinations were studied: RFDH heating to 80 ± 1°C, 85 ± 1°C, and 90 ± 1°C followed by holding in a hot-air oven at 80, 85, or 90°C, respectively, for 60 and 90 min. For ease of understanding, the dry-heated LH will be designated by numbers using the treatment as temperature/time conditions. For example, 80/60 will represent LH heated in the RFDH unit to 80°C and then held for 60 min at 80°C in a convection oven, whereas sample 80/90 represents LH heated in the RFDH unit to 80°C and held for 90 min at 80°C in a convection oven. The same format was applied to the rest of the dry-heated LH: 85/60, 85/90, 90/60, and 90/90. Because of the nature of the study’s objectives, 2 controls were used: HH designated as HH and non-dry-heated LH designated as LH.

Whey Protein Nitrogen Index

The WPNI was calculated from the standard references from the American Dairy Products Institute (ADPI) using Beer’s law. Standard references of LH and HH were obtained from the ADPI; these references were used to construct a standard curve to calculate the concentration [transmittance (T%) vs. WPNI (mg/g)] as described in the WPNI method from ADPI (2009). An equation for the standard curve was determined based on the line that best fit the data points (R² = 0.99; Excel 2013, Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA):

\[
y = -5.81x + 97.9,
\]

where y is the transmittance (T%) and x is the concentration (mg/g).

Foaming Properties

Foaming properties (overrun and foam stability) of rehydrated NDM (3.5% protein (wt/wt)) were examined as reported by Webb et al. (2002) with a few modifications. The overrun was calculated using 100 mL of dispersion that was poured into a 4.3-L stainless steel bowl and whipped for 15 min at a speed setting of 10 at 24 ± 1°C. The overrun was calculated according to the following equation:

\[
\% \text{ overrun} = \frac{\text{Mass of liquid dispersion} - \text{Mass of foam}}{\text{Mass of foam}} \times 100\%.
\]

The foam stability was completed by transferring the foam into a 60-mL soufflé cup (Solo Brand Products Co., Lake Forest, IL) and recording the time in min to account for the 50% of the initial mass of foam to drain at 24 ± 1°C.

Gelling Properties

For the gelling properties, NDM samples were rehydrated to 3.5% protein and were acidified with glucono-delta-lactone (GDL; Acros Organics, Springfield Township, NJ) at 2% (wt/vol) and incubated (model 650D, Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA) at 37 ± 1°C for 3 h and placed at 2 ± 1°C for 12 h according to Bayoumi and Reuter (1989) and Fly et al. (1997). Sodium azide (S2271-1, Fisher Scientific) at 0.1% (wt/vol) was added.
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to the preacidified milk to prevent bacterial growth (Ji et al., 2016). The chemically acidified milk gel was evaluated for WHC according to Parnell-Clunies et al. (1986), gel firmness and cohesiveness according to Luc ey (2001), and syneresis according to Amatayakul et al. (2006) with slight modifications. To measure the WHC, 20 mL of the acidified milk was centrifuged (Fisher Scientific Marathon 21000r) at 13,500 × g for 30 min at 4 ± 1°C. Gel firmness and cohesiveness were measured using a texture analyzer (model TZ-XT2, Stable Micro System, Surrey, UK), with a 25-mm hemispherical acrylic probe and the calibration was performed using a 300-g weight. The following parameters were selected: test velocity of 2.0 mm/s and test distance of 5.0 mm. The Stable Micro System software was used to obtain the values for firmness and cohesiveness.

To measure syneresis, 100-mL sterile specimen containers (Fisher Scientific) were filled with 80 mL of the acidified milk before incubation and gelling. Gels were stored at 2 ± 1°C for 12 h and then maintained for 2 h at an angle of 8° (due to the fragile nature of the GDL-acidified gels). The expelled whey was extracted with a syringe (catalog 14–823–435, Fisher Scientific) within 15 s. The specimen container was weighed empty, with the acidified milk and after the expelled whey was extracted. Syneresis was calculated based on the following equation (Amatayakul et al., 2006):

\[
\text{Syneresis} = \frac{(W_1 - W_2)}{(W_1 - W_0)} \times 100,
\]

where \(W_0\) is the weight (g) of the empty specimen container, \(W_1\) is the weight (g) of the specimen container + sample, and \(W_2\) is the weight (g) of the specimen container + sample after whey was extracted.

**Emulsion Properties**

Rehydrated NDM samples were analyzed by a turbidimetric technique for emulsifying activity index (EAI) and emulsion stability index (ESI) as described by Pearce and Kinsella (1978). For the EAI, emulsions were prepared with 10 mL of peanut oil (Hollywood Enriched Gold Peanut Oil, Boulder, CO), using a homogenizer (CAT X120, PolyScience, Niles, IL) at medium speed for 90 s. The absorbance of the diluted emulsions was measured using a spectrophotometer (Genesys5, Thermo Electron Corporation, Madison, WI) at 500 nm in a 1-cm pathlength cuvette. The oil fraction of the emulsion was calculated by drying 1 mL of the emulsion and 1 mL of the rehydrated NDM (model 750F, Fisher Scientific). The densities of the rehydrated NDM were calculated using a pycnometer (5 × 2.5 cm; cat. 03247Q, Fisher Scientific) at 24 ± 1°C. After this procedure, the emulsions were stored at 4 ± 1°C for 24 h and reanalyzed for EAI as previously described to calculate the ESI.

**Heat Stability**

The heat stability was defined as the heat coagulation time (HCT) of the rehydrated NDM dispersions following the method from Davis and White (1966) with some modifications. Two milliliters of rehydrated NDM samples was placed in an 8-mL glass vial (Wheaton Clear Glass Sample Vials, Fisher Scientific). The vials were fixed to a metal rocker, which was then immersed in an oil bath maintained at 140 ± 1°C. The HCT was recorded as the time elapsed between immersing the samples in the hot oil bath and the beginning of visible clots that suggest coagulation. The HCT was tested for native pH and adjusted pH (range from 6.4 to 7.2).

**Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis**

Most functionality tests on the NDM samples were done in a rehydrated system. Rehydration was done to a 3.5% protein (wt/vol), followed by adjustment to pH 7.0, before assessment of foaming, gelling, and emulsion properties and heat stability. Three measurements were made of each treatment, and the average was reported. All functional testing was completed within 14 d after the dry heat treatment.

A randomized complete block design was used with replication as block factor. Results were assessed using SAS v.9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). To determine differences in the functionality, the means of the LH and the dry-heated LH were compared by 1-way ANOVA. Dry-heated NDM was processed on 3 different days, which were regarded as the 3 replications, and significant means at a level of \(P \leq 0.05\) were differentiated with Tukey’s significant difference test. To compare the functionality of the dry-heated LH against the HH, a 1-way ANOVA was used to compare the dry-heated LH means using Dunnett’s method to obtain significantly different treatments. Again, significance was determined at a \(P \leq 0.05\), blocked by replication \((n = 3)\).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Compositional Analysis**

Table 1 summarizes the compositional analysis of the HH and LH. The composition of HH and LH was similar for all components, but LH had greater amounts of protein and moisture whereas the HH had a greater
amount of carbohydrates. The main difference between these 2 powders is reflected by the WPNI results. The WPNI is an analytical method that indicates the amount of native WP resulting from the application of prior heat treatments. This method is critical to classify the NDM into HH or LH (ADPI, 2017). The results from Table 1 are in accordance with this classification. The LH showed a WPNI of 7.26; theoretically, LH has a WPNI value of 6.0 or greater. On the other hand, the HH showed a WPNI of 1.42, which is also in accordance with the method, where the WPNI has to be lower than 1.5 to be classified as HH.

**RFDH Heating**

One advantage of the RFDH process is its rapid heating (Michael et al., 2014). Times in the RFDH were monitored for all trials and reflect the minutes to increase the temperature of the LH from ~30°C to the target temperature (target temperature was based on the center fiber optic probe, as this probe was typically the last probe to reach the desired temperature of probes, excluding the edge probe. Figure 1 displays the heating profile of a LH heated to 90°C with 3 probes placed at the center, 3 cm from the center, and 3 cm from the edge. These 3 probes record a temperature that is fairly consistent, while the edge probe lags. This heating profile was typical in these experiments and the lower temperature was attributed to the edge effect. This was the reason for the removal of ~200 g of LH from the center of the tray when exiting the convection oven. Boreddy et al. (2016) also reported a 19°C difference in the temperature of the edge and center when heating EWP in the RFDH unit.

The average times in the RFDH unit are shown in Table 2. As can be seen in Table 2, the times to reach the target temperatures of 80, 85, or 90°C were very quick and consistent as the average ranged from 16.5 to 20.5 min (all times based on the fiber-optic probe placed in the center of the cylindrical tray). If considering the amount of time to heat NDM from ~30°C to one of these target temperatures in a hot-air oven, the time would be substantially greater (data not shown).

**Effect of the Dry Heat Treatment on the Functionality of LH**

Table 3 shows the effect of the dry heat treatment on the foaming properties of LH. The foam capacity is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>HH</th>
<th>LH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total protein (%)</td>
<td>36.25 ± 0.02</td>
<td>36.43 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (%)</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.52</td>
<td>3.62 ± 0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat (%)</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash (%)</td>
<td>7.79 ± 0.49</td>
<td>7.54 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbohydrates (%)</td>
<td>52.01 ± 0.95</td>
<td>51.01 ± 1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPNI2</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.61</td>
<td>7.26 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Mean ± SD (n = 3).
2Whey protein denaturation index.
defined as the volume of the foam formed under fixed conditions, and the foam stability refers to the ability of the foam to maintain some of its properties with time (Marinova et al., 2009). Statistically LH exhibited a lower overrun and less foam stability compared with all the dry-heated LH \( (P < 0.05) \). Samples 85/60, 85/90, 90/60, and 90/90 had ~11, 12, 14, and 15% more overrun and ~14, 33, 48, and 49% more foam stability, respectively, compared with LH. Based on these results, it can be concluded that the dry heat treatment of LH improved the foaming properties.

Previous research has shown that preheat treatment affects the foaming properties of reconstituted milks (Augustin and Clarke, 2008). This research investigated the following preheat treatments: 72°C for 30 s and 85°C for 30 min. The authors reported that foaming properties were greater for the reconstituted milk that was treated at 85°C. They also reported that this enhanced foaming properties could be attributed to the denatured WP, which increased the ability to hold water (Augustin and Clarke, 2008).

The effect of a dry heat treatment on the foaming properties of NDM has not been described in published literature; however, the effect was reported using EWP (Boreddy et al., 2016). The foaming properties of RFDH-treated EWP increased with increased temperature and time of the RFDH treatment. They found that a RFDH treatment of 90°C for 24 h produced maximum foaming capacity (Boreddy et al., 2016). This agrees with these results, where the dry heat treatment seemed to improve the foaming properties of the milk proteins when treated at a temperature of 90°C for 60 and 90 min.

The RFDH treatment is considered a dry-heating method and previous research has shown that even minor structural modifications that occur during the dry-heating process can influence the foaming properties of proteins (Desfougères et al., 2008). Desfougères et al. (2008) mentioned one of the advantages of dry heating compared with spray drying is the improvement of foaming properties on hen white egg lysozyme. They showed that dry-heating hen white egg lysozyme at 80°C drastically improved the foaming properties of lysozyme at pH 7.0. The foam density, stability, and drainage rate reached 189, 525, and 7% of the values obtained for native lysozyme, respectively. Other advantages cited were less solubility loss and more industry applications of this heating method.

Table 3 shows the results for the emulsion properties. The EAI indicates the surface area stabilized by a unit weight of protein, representing a function of oil volume fraction, protein concentration, and type of equipment used to produce the emulsion (Pearce and Kinsella, 1978). The ESI depends on the hydrophobic domains oriented toward the oil phase. For this reason, some level of protein denaturation is ideal because the exposure of its hydrophobic domains affects the emulsion properties (Poon et al., 2001). Some WP denaturation is necessary to obtain an adequate EAI and ESI because surface denaturation exposes the protein sulphydryl groups promoting disulfide bonding, which is

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NDM(^1)</th>
<th>Overrun (%)</th>
<th>FS (min)</th>
<th>EAI (m(^2)/g)</th>
<th>ESI (h)</th>
<th>HCT (min) native pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LH</td>
<td>647.34 ± 7.38(^a)</td>
<td>33.30 ± 1.56(^d)</td>
<td>275.85 ± 9.19</td>
<td>59.21 ± 3.49(^a)</td>
<td>9.26 ± 0.08(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80/60</td>
<td>683.24 ± 1.94(^b)</td>
<td>37.56 ± 1.43(^c)</td>
<td>289.18 ± 6.78</td>
<td>52.61 ± 3.33(^ab)</td>
<td>11.25 ± 0.43(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80/90</td>
<td>688.44 ± 6.49(^b)</td>
<td>38.58 ± 2.15(^c)</td>
<td>298.44 ± 39.01</td>
<td>46.08 ± 5.41(^ab)</td>
<td>17.91 ± 1.89(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85/60</td>
<td>719.74 ± 8.73(^c)</td>
<td>37.93 ± 0.41(^b)</td>
<td>311.35 ± 10.15</td>
<td>52.77 ± 1.97(^ab)</td>
<td>7.43 ± 0.10(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85/90</td>
<td>723.77 ± 3.20(^d)</td>
<td>44.27 ± 1.05(^b)</td>
<td>308.91 ± 25.83</td>
<td>41.31 ± 4.39(^d)</td>
<td>18.51 ± 0.32(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90/60</td>
<td>737.37 ± 2.19(^e)</td>
<td>49.16 ± 1.14(^b)</td>
<td>311.62 ± 14.68</td>
<td>55.53 ± 1.86(^b)</td>
<td>9.54 ± 1.36(^d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90/90</td>
<td>742.07 ± 1.13(^f)</td>
<td>49.64 ± 0.32(^a)</td>
<td>301.69 ± 48.51</td>
<td>46.61 ± 1.22(^b)</td>
<td>16.65 ± 0.42(^c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Values within a column with different superscripts differ \( (P < 0.05) \).
\(^1\)Mean ± SE \((n = 3)\).
\(^b\)Treated in radio frequency dielectric heating (RFDH) unit to 80, 85, or 90°C (first number), then placed in a convection oven for 60 or 90 min (second number).
one of the main mechanisms necessary to stabilize the emulsions (Kim et al., 2002).

Overall, the emulsification properties were not improved as a function of the dry heat treatment. Longer exposure time (90 min) seemed to decrease the ESI in the dry-heated LH. Results from previous studies are contradictory. Some studies showed an improvement in the emulsion properties, whereas others showed a decrease in this functionality (Dissanayake and Vasiljevic, 2009; Raikos, 2010; Lam and Nickerson, 2015). The temperature of pretreatments on the emulsifying properties of whey protein isolates (WPI) was studied by Lam and Nickerson (2015). At pH 7.0, they concluded that the EAI declined from 94.7 m²/g at 25°C to 87.4 m²/g at 55°C, and to 69.2 m²/g at 85°C. They attributed these changes to a greater surface hydrophobicity caused by protein unravelling during the heat treatment (Lam and Nickerson, 2015). The ESI was slightly lower at pH 7.0 if the WPI was treated at 85°C. The ESI values declined from 21.3 min at 25°C, to 18.3 min at 55°C, and to 14.9 min at 85°C possibly due to higher surface hydrophobicity, which promoted droplet flocculation (Lam and Nickerson, 2015).

On the other hand, the EAI of WP significantly increased when treated at 90°C for 20 min (Dissanayake and Vasiljevic, 2009). They attributed the enhanced EAI to the exposure of buried hydrophobic groups after the heat treatment. However, the ESI was similar in treated and nontreated samples (Dissanayake and Vasiljevic, 2009). Additionally, pH is an important factor to consider when studying the emulsifying properties. For the present study, the emulsion properties were measured at pH 7.0. As suggested by Dissanayake and Vasiljevic (2009), under neutral pH conditions the WP have a net negative charge, which imparts greater emulsion stability by retarding coalescence through repulsion. They also mentioned that at pH 7.0, the reactivity of free thiol groups in native WP is greater, which caused unfolding of the protein molecules (Dissanayake and Vasiljevic, 2009).

According to literature, the application of heat treatments in milk (as liquid systems), which contain both WP and caseins, needs to be further studied to explain the mechanism for the emulsion formation and its stabilization (Raikos, 2010). For our samples, which were dry-heated, no significant differences were found among the emulsion properties of the dry-heated LH compared with the LH. However, the EAI of the 85/90 was slightly higher than the other dry-heated LH. Changes in the emulsion formation could be due to the protein denaturation of the dry-heated LH. According to literature, large protein aggregates are formed in WP solutions during heat treatments between 60 and 90°C for up to 1,000 s, resulting in aggregates that are unable to efficiently cover the fat droplets in the emulsion, leading to emulsion instability (Raikos, 2010).

Gel properties are presented in Table 4. These results suggest that the rehydrated dry-heated LH produced gels that exhibited improved properties compared with the LH ($P < 0.05$). These gels (9.6% TS) reached a pH between 4.6 to 4.5 in 2.5 h (the initial pH ranged from 6.58 to 6.78). However, these gels were fragile and soft; this could be due to the rapid acidification rate in contrast to the complex network formed during bacteria fermentation. According to literature, physical properties of milk gels made with GDL differ from the milk-based gels produced with bacteria. The main difference is related to the acidification rate; GDL is rapidly hydrolyzed to gluconic acid, dropping the pH faster, whereas the pH decreases slowly with the addition of starter cultures (Fox and McSweeney, 2003).

Water-holding capacity improved for all gels made from the dry-heated LH (between 2.1 to 10.7%); however, syneresis was lower in gels made from the 80/60

| Table 4. Water-holding capacity (WHC), syneresis, firmness, and cohesiveness of gels made from low-heat (LH) nonfat dry milk (NDM) and dry-heated NDM¹² |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| NDM¹ | WHC (%) | Syneresis (%) | Firmness (g) | Cohesiveness (%) |
| LH | 11.66 ± 0.61 | 1.57 ± 0.32ab | 64.80 ± 0.80 | 95.50 ± 6.26 |
| 80/60 | 11.90 ± 0.21 | 1.01 ± 0.34b | 61.00 ± 4.07 | 96.18 ± 5.41 |
| 80/90 | 12.66 ± 0.52 | 0.74 ± 0.04b | 63.14 ± 6.83 | 99.40 ± 9.12 |
| 85/60 | 12.37 ± 0.02 | 1.53 ± 0.24ab | 61.12 ± 4.56 | 96.57 ± 5.78 |
| 85/90 | 12.59 ± 0.28 | 1.73 ± 0.18ab | 65.50 ± 4.04 | 98.94 ± 7.24 |
| 90/60 | 12.71 ± 0.59 | 2.33 ± 0.40a | 60.99 ± 6.52 | 94.76 ± 11.26 |
| 90/90 | 12.91 ± 0.44 | 1.75 ± 0.19ab | 62.52 ± 6.41 | 100.04 ± 10.20 |

¹Values within a column with different superscripts differ ($P < 0.05$).

²Mean ± SE (n = 3).

¹Treated in radio frequency dielectric heating (RFDH) unit to 80, 85, or 90°C (first number), then placed in a convection oven for 60 or 90 min (second number).
Firmness and cohesiveness properties were similar across all LH gels.

The use of a RFDH treatment has been investigated in different protein products, for example, EWP, for its effect on gelling quality. The RFDH-treated EWP produced gels that exhibited improved WHC and firmness, even to the extent that the EWP gel properties were equivalent to high gel EWP. Similar to the results found in our study, the RFDH treatment temperature of 90°C resulted in the greater WHC gels and were similar to the high gel EWP (Boreddy et al., 2016).

The effect of dry heating on the gel properties of WP has been studied (Foegeding, 2006; Gulzar et al., 2012; Lee and Lucey, 2010; Vasbinder et al., 2003). Commercial WPI was heated at 80°C (up to 6 d), 100°C (up to 24 h), and 120°C (up to 3 h) under controlled pH (2.5, 4.5, or 6.5) and water activity (0.23, 0.32, or 0.52). Results showed that the most critical factor that affected the gelling properties was the time. Authors reported the formation of soluble aggregates were, in large part, responsible for the improved gel properties of dry-heated proteins (Gulzar et al., 2012).

In milk, gel formation is complex, as several studies have shown the possible milk protein interactions that occur during this process. During the preparation of yogurt, it is essential to include a heat treatment before acidification so to denature the major WP: β-LG and α-LA (Vasbinder et al., 2003; Foegeding, 2006; Lee and Lucey, 2010). Heating the milk before the gel formation creates a complex mixture of native and denatured WP and casein micelles. The denatured WP can form WP aggregates or can associate with the casein micelles. The heating process also initiates protein unfolding, exposing the reactive groups favoring the intermolecular interactions (Vasbinder et al., 2003; Foegeding, 2006; Lee and Lucey, 2010).

Data for heat stability are shown in Table 3 and Figure 2. At native pH, samples treated for 90 min presented a significantly greater HCT compared with the LH (Table 3). At adjusted pH (6.4 to 7.2), the heat stability seems to be affected positively by the dry heat treatment. Sample 85/90 had greater stability (~51% at pH 6.4 and ~57% at pH 6.6) compared with LH. Literature suggests that β-LG and κ-CN have the greatest impact on the HCT-pH profile. κ-Casein on the surface of casein micelles could be involved in the formation of disulfide-linked complex with β-LG (Singh, 2004). At pH 6.8 and 7.0, the increased HCT of some of the dry-heated LH (80/60, 80/90, and 85/90) could be associated with the β-LG/κ-CN complexes that contribute to a higher stability of the casein micelle (Singh, 2004).

**Figure 2.** Mean (n = 3) heat coagulation time (HCT) at adjusted pH of low-heat nonfat dry milk (NDM). LH represents low-heat NDM (orange); the other NDM samples are LH that was heated in a radio frequency dielectric heating (RFDH) unit to a specific temperature, then held at that temperature in a convection oven for a specified time. 80/60 represents heated to 80°C and held for 60 min (gray); 80/90 represents heated to 80°C and held for 90 min (yellow); 85/60 represents heated to 85°C and held for 60 min (purple); 85/90 represents heated to 85°C and held for 90 min (green); 90/60 represents heated to 90°C and held for 60 min (blue); 90/90 represents heated to 90°C and held for 90 min (brown). Error bars represent the SE.
Moreover, at pH of 6.8 or below, the WP complexes remain associated with the casein micelle. At pH 6.4, literature suggests that some level of WP denaturation could be beneficial to the heat stability (Singh, 2004; Crowley et al., 2014).

Preheating the milk to increase its heat stability is a normal practice in the food industry. These treatments cause heat denaturation of WP and formation of complex aggregates of β-LG and κ-CN. It also causes precipitation of calcium phosphate and shifts in ionic composition of milk serum (Singh and Creamer, 1991). These changes could help to explain why the dry heat treatment improved the heat stability in our study.

When considering all functional testing results conducted on LH-NDM, the dry-heated LH at 85°C for 90 min, 90°C for 60 min, and 90°C for 90 min exhibited significantly greater foaming properties and heat stability compared with LH. This indicates that the dry-heating process, which combined quickly heating LH using the RFDH to a minimum of 85°C and holding LH for a minimum of 90 min at that temperature, improved some functional properties. Although improvements in gelling and emulsion properties were not attained, it is important to note that these properties were not detrimentally affected by the dry heat treatment. The one exception is the 85/90 LH, which exhibited a significantly lower ESI than did the LH. Perhaps the optimum dry-heating conditions for improvements in emulsification and gelling properties of LH were outside the scope of this study. Thus, it will be interesting to determine if the dry-heated LH can function as HH.

**Functionality of the RFDH-Treated Samples Compared with the HH**

**Foaming Properties.** As expected, foaming properties are greater in HH compared with LH (Dissanayake and Vasiljevic, 2009). This fact has been shown in previous research where WP retentate samples that were heated to at least 55°C at pH 7.0 exhibited improved foaming properties. However, the heat treatment must be controlled because at temperatures ≥55°C, protein aggregation can occur, decreasing protein functionality (Dissanayake and Vasiljevic, 2009).

Although the dry heat treatment of LH increased overrun and foam stability, these values were significantly less than the HH (Table 5; P < 0.05). If comparing 85/90, 90/60, and 90/90 with the HH, these foams exhibited ~18, 16, and 15% less overrun and ~29, 21, and 20% less foam stability, respectively.

**Emulsifying Properties.** All dry-heated LH exhibited significantly greater EAI than did the HH (P < 0.05). Samples 85/60 and 85/90 increased by 43 and 52%, whereas samples 90/60 and 90/90 increased by 43 and 41%, respectively, compared with the HH (Table 5). Perhaps the cause of the differences in EAI between the dry-heated LH and the HH is the fat droplet size, which increases with heat treatment. If this happened, then this increased size in the HH sample might lead to inefficient coverage of fat droplets, causing emulsion instability (Millqvist-Fureby et al., 2001).

The emulsifying properties of thermally denatured proteins have been studied with other protein products. Voutsinas et al. (1983) conducted research on the emulsifying properties of soy proteins. Their results showed that the EAI of samples heated to ~80 to 100°C were slightly greater than nonheated samples.

**Gel Properties.** Results for the GDL acidified gels are shown in Table 6. Results showed that the WHC was lower for all the dry-heated LH compared with the HH; however, there was a constant increase in WHC with an increase in RFDH temperature and exposure time if the HH is not considered. Syneresis seemed to follow the opposite trend, with syneresis being greater than LH treated in radio frequency dielectric heating unit to 80, 85, or 90°C (first number), then placed in a convection oven for 60 or 90 min (second number).

*Values within a column differ compared with HH (P < 0.05).
in gels made from 90/90. Firmness and cohesiveness were significantly less in the gels made from dry-heated LH compared with the gels made from the HH. These results could be explained by the denaturation of WP during the heat treatments. According to literature, the extent of denaturation directly affects the firmness and viscosity of acid milk gels (Lee and Lucey, 2010). Another study reported that the properties of yogurt were affected by the heat processing system type and exposure time. The authors concluded that a temperature of ~90 to 98°C and shorter times (<2 min) resulted in gels with the greatest firmness and apparent viscosity if the milks were processed using the HTST heating system (Parnell-Clunies et al., 1986).

**Heat Stability.** The heat stability of HH and dry-heated LH was assessed at native and adjusted pH. Heat stability values for native and adjusted pH are shown in Table 5 and Figure 3. At native pH (6.58 to 6.78), all dry-heated LH had greater heat stability than the HH, except for the 85/60 (P < 0.05). All the LH treated for 90 min exhibited significantly greater HCT than the HH.

The HCT at adjusted pH (from 6.4 to 7.2) is shown in Figure 3. At pH 6.4 the dry-heated LH had significantly greater HCT (on average 65%), except for the 90/60 and 90/90. At pH 6.8, 7.0, and 7.2, all dry-heated LH had greater HCT than the HH. At pH 7.2 all the samples had a greater heat stability compared with the other pH adjustments, in accordance with a study that revealed that the higher the pH of the sample (7.2), the greater the heat stability (Vyas and Tong, 2004). Variations in the results of this study can be attributed to the NDM. A study conducted in 2010 concluded that the heat stability is influenced by the type of NDM, either LH, MH, or HH, and that a combination of LH and permeate powder can help to ensure a good heat stability (Sikand et al., 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NDM2</th>
<th>WHC (%)</th>
<th>Syneresis (%)</th>
<th>Firmness (g)</th>
<th>Cohesiveness (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HH</td>
<td>15.74 ± 0.62</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.10</td>
<td>77.73 ± 2.22</td>
<td>128.82 ± 9.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80/60</td>
<td>11.90 ± 0.21*</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.34</td>
<td>61.00 ± 4.07*</td>
<td>96.18 ± 5.41*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80/90</td>
<td>12.66 ± 0.52*</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.04</td>
<td>63.14 ± 6.83*</td>
<td>99.40 ± 9.12*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85/60</td>
<td>12.37 ± 0.02*</td>
<td>1.53 ± 0.24</td>
<td>61.12 ± 4.56*</td>
<td>96.57 ± 5.78*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85/90</td>
<td>12.59 ± 0.28*</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.18</td>
<td>65.50 ± 4.04*</td>
<td>98.94 ± 7.24*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90/60</td>
<td>12.71 ± 0.59*</td>
<td>2.33 ± 0.40*</td>
<td>60.99 ± 6.52*</td>
<td>94.76 ± 11.26*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90/90</td>
<td>12.91 ± 0.44*</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.19</td>
<td>62.52 ± 6.41*</td>
<td>100.04 ± 10.20*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Mean ± SE (n = 3).
2LH treated in radio frequency dielectric heating unit to 80, 85, or 90°C (first number), then placed in a convection oven for 60 or 90 min (second number).
*Values within a column differ compared with HH (P < 0.05).

Figure 3. Mean (n = 3) heat coagulation time (HCT) at adjusted pH of nonfat dry milk (NDM). HH represents high-heat NDM (blue); the other NDM samples are low-heat NDM that was heated in a radio frequency dielectric heating unit to a specific temperature, then held at that temperature in a convection oven for a specified time. 80/60 represents heated to 80°C and held for 60 min (gray); 80/90 represents heated to 80°C and held for 90 min (yellow); 85/60 represents heated to 85°C and held for 60 min (purple); 85/90 represents heated to 85°C and held for 90 min (green); 90/60 represents heated to 90°C and held for 60 min (darker blue); 90/90 represents heated to 90°C and held for 90 min (brown). Error bars represent the SE.
When considering all functional testing results conducted on dry-heated LH in comparison to HH, a dry heat treatment of LH at 80°C resulted in improved EAI; however, ESI was not affected. Heat stability was also greater in the dry-heated LH given the treatment time was 90 min. Although improvements in foaming were obtained compared with LH, the dry-heated LH only exhibited about 85 and 80% of the overrun and foam stability capability in comparison to HH. When considering the foaming and gelling properties as an entity, at most these dry-heated LH seemed to provide a maximum functionality that was only about 0.8 capacity of the HH. Based on these results, we suspect that the quick heating of LH in the RFDH unit combined with a hold period even 90 min allowed for some WP denaturation, which contributed to the improved functionality of the LH.

Overall, the process of dry-heating LH quickly using the RFDH to at least 85°C and holding for at least 90 min improved some functional properties, thus providing evidence that this technology may allow for tailored NDM products that have unique functionality.

CONCLUSIONS

Dry heat treatments could be used as a process step to improve the functional properties of LH NDM. The dry heat treatment of LH improved the foaming properties and heat stability. Compared with the HH functionality, the dry-heated LH exhibited improved emulsifying and heat stability properties to the extent that they were similar or exceeded the properties of the HH product. The HH produced superior gels in the terms of firmness and cohesiveness than did the dry-heated LH. The RFDH technology opens the opportunity to develop new ingredients with enhanced characteristics, which can be attributed to the modified functionality of the dry-heated LH. Future work involves extending the hold times to determine if greater improvement of the functional properties of LH could occur as well as to understand the protein denaturation mechanism in a dry system.
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