ABSTRACT

This study aimed to evaluate the effect of exopolysaccharide (EPS)-producing *Lactobacillus plantarum* JLK0142 on the ripening characteristics and in vitro health-promoting benefits of low-fat Cheddar cheese. Three batches of cheese were made by employing a non-EPS–producing cheese starter (control), in combination with *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 as an adjunct and the purified EPS as an ingredient. *Lactobacillus plantarum* JLK0142 survived well in cheese, with counts of 7.99 log cfu/g after 90 d of ripening. All experimental cheeses (with adjunct culture or EPS ingredient) had higher moisture, proteolysis, and sensory scores, and lower hardness and cohesiveness compared with the control cheese. Water-soluble extracts from the experimental cheeses outperformed that of the control in scavenging 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), and hydroxyl radicals, and inhibiting α-amylase, angiotensin-converting enzyme, and HT-29 tumor cell growth. Therefore, incorporation of the EPS-producing culture of *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 is promising for improvement of low-fat cheese quality and bioactivities.
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INTRODUCTION

Consumption of low-fat foods is increasing every year, as ingestion of excess fat may result in an increase in obesity, cancer, and cardiovascular disease. During the last decade, cheese has become one of the most widely used food ingredients, and various types of low-fat cheeses that possess health-promoting benefits beyond their nutritional value have become a way of life for consumers around the world, especially in Western countries and the Chinese mainland (Oluk et al., 2014). However, reducing fat content in cheese by half was found to cause rubbery texture, a lack of flavor, and poor functional properties of cheese (Demers-Mathieu et al., 2016). Although some new technologies were used to improve flavor, texture, and functionality of cheese, research has mainly focused on soft low-fat and fresh cheeses (Romeih et al., 2012). Low-fat, semi-hard, and hard ripened cheeses have not been well studied.

Generally, manufacturing procedures developed to improve low-fat, semi-hard, and hard ripened cheeses include processing techniques, use of additives, and starter culture selection (Amelia et al., 2013; Di Cagno et al., 2014; Khanal et al., 2018). However, technological improvements to cheesemaking processes do not necessarily apply to all cheese plants or cheese types, and they may incur financial costs. The use of additives, such as emulsifying and thickening agents, could improve cheese texture, but they might have adverse effects on flavor formation (Dabour et al., 2005).

Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) are generally used to produce cheese owing to their proteolytic, lipolytic, and glycolytic activities, which are conducive to flavor, texture, nutritional value, and the health-promoting benefits of cheese (Blaya et al., 2018). Many LAB strains are able to produce exopolysaccharides (EPS), which are extracellular high-molecular-weight polymers either attached to the bacterial surface (capsular EPS) or released to the growth environment (ropy EPS; Wang et al., 2015b). The EPS produced by LAB play an important role in the fermentation of dairy products because of their positive effects on rheological and textural properties. For example, the EPS have been used as a thickening agent or stabilizer in low-fat and half-fat cheeses to improve textural and rheological properties (Dabour et al., 2006). The use of EPS-producing starters resulted in higher moisture levels, greater rates of proteolysis, and softer textures than those of low-fat.
cheese without EPS-producing starters (Lynch et al., 2014). Ayyash et al. (2018) manufactured low-fat Akawi cheeses with EPS-producing *Lactobacillus plantarum* starter cultures, which significantly improved the rheological, textural, and sensory properties of the cheese. Costa et al. (2010) showed that cheese made with EPS-producing starter had improved texture and cooking properties without negative effects on the flavor profile of the cheese. In addition to their technological properties, LAB EPS have also attracted much attention owing to their various health-promoting potentials, such as antioxidant, immunoregulatory, antitumor, antibacterial, antiangiogenic, and cholesterol-lowering activities (Patten et al., 2014; Dilha et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016; Rajoka et al., 2018).

*Lactobacillus plantarum* exists in a wide range of food niches, including fermented vegetable, plant, dairy, and meat products. Some EPS-producing *Lb. plantarum* strains isolated from traditional Chinese sauerkraut, Inner Mongolian hurood cheese, and Tibetan kefir have been evaluated for their probiotic properties, as well as their viability after production and storage (Li et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2013a; Wang et al., 2014). In recent years, different EPS-producing LAB, including streptococci, lactobacilli, lactococci, and bifidobacteria, were used as starter or adjunct cultures to manufacture low-fat cheeses including Cheddar, Mozzarella, Tulum, and Akawi (Zisu and Shah, 2007; Oluk et al., 2014; Soda, 2014; Ryan et al., 2015; Al-Dhaheri et al., 2017; Ayyash et al., 2018). However, to our knowledge, the fermentation characteristics and health-promoting benefits of low-fat Cheddar cheese as affected by EPS-producing *Lb. plantarum* during ripening have not been reported.

In our previous study, a strain designated *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142, with robust probiotic properties and EPS-production capability, was isolated from traditional fermented dairy tofu in the Inner Mongolia region of China. Previous results demonstrated that its EPS had strong hydroxyl radical-scavenging activity and could induce apoptosis in human colon cancer HT-29 cells, effectively improving immunomodulatory activity of RAW 264.7 cells to stimulate the immune systems of cyclophosphamide-induced immunosuppressed mice (Wang et al., 2018a).

The objective of the current study was to investigate the effect of using EPS-producing *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 as an adjunct culture on various properties of low-fat Cheddar cheese, including chemical and microbiological composition, proteolysis, textural, and sensory properties. We also investigated its health-promoting potentials in vitro, namely antioxidant, α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibition, angiotensin-converting en-

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

The EPS-producing *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 used in our study was stocked in 40% glycerol at −80°C. Before cheese manufacture, *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 was subcultured twice consecutively at 37°C overnight in 12% (wt/vol) sterile reconstituted skim milk and was adjusted to 10^6 cfu/mL of cheese milk. A non-EPS-producing *Lactococcus lactis* ST25 preserved in our laboratory was used as cheese starter culture, and it was activated by growing at least twice consecutively for 7 h at 30°C in 12% (wt/vol) sterile reconstituted skim milk.

#### Cheese Manufacture

Low-fat Cheddar cheeses were produced according to the method of Demers-Mathieu et al. (2016) with slight modifications. Briefly, the homogenized and pasteurized (74.5°C for 18 s) low-fat (1.3%) bovine milk was maintained at 32°C. Calcium chloride solution (45%, vol/vol) was then added (0.26 mL/kg) into the milk. Three batches of cheese were prepared in this study: (A) control cheese made with 1.5% (wt/vol) inoculum of the cheese starter culture alone; (B) experimental cheese made with 1.5% (wt/vol) inoculum of the cheese starter culture and 0.2% (wt/vol) of the purified EPS ingredient from *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142; and (C) experimental cheese made with 1.5% (wt/vol) inoculum of the cheese starter culture and *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 (10^6 cfu/mL of cheese milk). After 40 min of ripening, double-strength rennet (Chy-Max; Chr. Hansen, Hørsholm, Denmark) dissolved in cold water was added at 0.077 mL/kg. The curd was cut after 40 min into cubes of approximately 1-cm³ using cheese knives. After 10 min of rest, the cooking step was initiated by gradually raising the temperature to 38°C and holding it for 25 min until the pH of the whey reached 6.2. The whey was then drawn off and the temperature was maintained at 37°C during the cheddaring step. During cheddaring, the curd portion was piled and turned over 3 times at 30-min intervals until the pH reached 5.6. The curds were milled and salted (2%, wt/wt) before being put into cheese molds and pressed at 4.5 kg pressure for 15 min, and then pressed at 18.14 kg pressure overnight.

After pressing, ~500-g blocks of Cheddar cheese were vacuum-packaged and ripened at 4°C for 3 mo (Zhang...
et al., 2013b; Liu et al., 2018b). Cheeses were sampled at 0, 7, 14, 30, 60, and 90 d of storage.

**Gross Composition, Water Activity, and pH Value**

The protein content was determined by the macro-Kjeldahl method and fat content by the Gerber method, according to AOAC International (2005). Cheese was further analyzed for moisture and salt (Fox, 1963). Water activity was measured by a water activity meter (MB120, Rotronic, Bassersdorf, Switzerland). The pH of a slurry, prepared by macerating 10 g of grated cheese with 12 mL of cold deionized water, was measured by using a calibrated pH meter (FE20 pH meter, Mettler Toledo, Columbus, OH).

**Microbiological Analysis**

Five grams of cheese samples was diluted in 45 mL of sterile 2% (wt/vol) trisodium citrate at 40°C and homogenized for 2 min at high speed in a beaker to obtain a slurry for the first dilution; subsequent serial dilutions were performed in 0.09% (wt/vol) saline solution. A volume of 0.1 mL of the dilution was spread plated in triplicate on the M17 medium and *Lb. plantarum*-selective medium. *Lactococcus lactis* ST25 was enumerated on M17 agar under aerobic condition at 30°C for 72 h. *Lactobacillus plantarum* JLK0142 was enumerated on a *Lb. plantarum*-selective medium under anaerobic incubation at 37°C for 72 h (Bujalance et al., 2006).

**Assessment of Proteolysis**

*Preparation of Water-Soluble Extracts.* Water-soluble extracts (WSE) were prepared according to Kuchroo and Fox (1982) by homogenizing 50 g of grated cheese sample with 100 mL of deionized-distilled water. The slurries were centrifuged at 8,000 × *g* for 15 min at 4°C, after which they were filtered through a 0.22-µm pore size filter to obtain WSE. The WSE was then lyophilized and stored at −20°C for further analysis.

*Water-Soluble Nitrogen.* Ten milliliters of WSE was quantitatively estimated in a digestion bottle by the Kjeldahl determination method. The results were expressed as percentages of total nitrogen in cheese: pH 4.6-soluble N = pH 4.6 soluble N content/total nitrogen content.

*Total Free Amino Acids.* Total free amino acids (TFAA) was analyzed by using an S433 amino acid analyzer (Sykam GmbH, Eresing, Germany) with an LCA K07/Li cation-exchange column. A mixture of basic, acid, and neutral AA of known concentration was added to glutamic acid and used as the standard. Amino acids were derivatized postcolumn with ninhydrin reagent and detected by absorbance at 440 (proline and hydroxyproline) or 570 nm (all other AA).

**Analysis of Texture Profile**

Texture profile analysis of cheeses was carried out using Brookfield CT3 Texture Analyzer (AMETEK Brookfield, Middleboro, MA). Samples were removed from the middle of the cheese and cut into 15-mm cubes with a sharp knife, wrapped in plastic to prevent water loss, and maintained at room temperature for 1 h before testing. The analysis conditions were as follows: TA11/1000 cylinder probe, test speed 0.4 mm/s, pretest speed 1 mm/s, compression 50% of initial height, and 2 compression cycles.

**Sensory Evaluation**

Sensory evaluation was performed according to the method of Wang et al. (2015c). Twenty professional panelists (10 women, 10 men, aged 21 to 45 yr) comprising the laboratory staff and graduate students with over 150 h of training in cheese evaluation assessed the 3 batches of cheeses at 90 d of ripening time by texture (1–10 points), appearance (1–10 points), flavor (1–10 points), and overall acceptance (1–10 points).

**Antioxidant Activity**

Before the antioxidant assay for 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), and hydroxyl radical scavenging activity, stored WSE was diluted to a concentration of 50 mg/mL with deionized-distilled water.

**DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity.** Briefly, 200 µL of WSE was mixed with 800 µL of 0.2 mM freshly prepared DPPH methanol solution. The mixture was then mixed vigorously and incubated at room temperature in the dark for 40 min. Afterward, the absorbance of the mixture was measured at 517 nm. The DPPH radical scavenging ability was calculated as

\[
\text{Scavenging rate (\%)} = \left[1 - \frac{(A_1 - A_i)}{A_0}\right] \times 100,
\]

where *Ai* was the absorbance of the sample mixed with DPPH, *A1* was the absorbance of the sample mixed with methanol, and *A0* was the absorbance of mixture solution without sample.

**ABTS Radical Scavenging Activity.** The ABTS•⁺ radical scavenging activity was measured using the method described by Wang et al. (2017).
**Hydroxyl Radical Scavenging Activity.** The hydroxyl radical scavenging activity was measured with the Fenton reaction. Briefly, 1 mL of WSE, 1 mL of brilliant green (0.435 mM), 2 mL of FeSO₄ (0.5 mM), and 1.5 mL of H₂O₂ (3%, wt/vol) were added to tubes and mixed to initiate the reaction. The tubes were incubated at 37°C for 30 min. The absorbance was then measured at 624 nm. The hydroxyl radical scavenging activity was calculated as

\[
\text{Scavenging rate (\%) } = \frac{[(A_1 - A_0)/(A_0 - A_i)] \times 100,}
\]

where \(A_1\) was the absorbance of mixture solution with sample, \(A_0\) was the absorbance of mixture solution without sample, and \(A_i\) was the absorbance of mixture solution without sample and Fenton reaction system.

**α-Amylase and α-Glucosidase Inhibitory Activities**

Before the assay, stored WSE was diluted to a concentration of 50 mg/mL with deionized-distilled water. The α-amylase inhibition assay was carried out according to the method described by Al-Dhaheri et al. (2017). Briefly, 100 µL of α-amylase from human salivary glands (1.0 unit/mL, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was premixed with 100 µL of WSE. After incubation at 37°C for 5 min, 250 µL of 1% starch was added as a substrate in PBS (pH 6.8) to start the reaction. The reaction was performed at 37°C for 5 min and terminated by the addition of 200 µL of DNS reagent (1% 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid and 12% sodium potassium tartrate in 0.4 M NaOH). The reaction mixture was heated for 15 min at 100°C and diluted with 2 mL of distilled water in an ice bath. α-Amylase activity was determined by measuring absorbance at 540 nm.

The α-glucosidase inhibition assays were carried out according to the method described by Wang et al. (2018b). Briefly, 5 µL of α-glucosidase solution (1.0 U/mL), 20 µL of WSE, and 165 µL of sodium phosphate buffer (0.1 mM, pH 6.8) were mixed and incubated in a 96-well plate at 37°C for 10 min. Every 5 s, 10 µL of the sample solution was measured at 228 µM of distilled water and the absorbance of the solution was measured at 228 nm. The ACE inhibitory activity was calculated as

\[
\text{Inhibition (\%) } = \frac{[(A − C + D)/(A − B)] \times 100,}
\]

where \(A\) was the absorbance without the addition of sample solution (buffer solution added instead of sample), \(B\) was the absorbance in the presence of ACE and the sample solution, and \(C\) was the absorbance of the blank (HCl was added before the addition of ACE).

**ACE Inhibitory Activity**

Before the assay, stored WSE was diluted to a concentration of 50 mg/mL with deionized-distilled water. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition was evaluated using the method described by Liu et al. (2018a). Briefly, 10 µL of sample solution (containing the sample in 0.1 M borate buffer supplemented with 0.3 M NaCl, pH 8.3) with 45 µL of hippuryl-L-histidyl-L-leucine solution [6.5 mM HHL (Sigma-Aldrich) in 0.1 M borate buffer supplemented with 0.3 M NaCl, pH 8.3] was preincubated at 37°C for 5 min, and subsequently incubated with 10 µL of ACE (0.1 U/mL) in 0.1 M borate buffer supplemented with 0.3 M NaCl (pH 8.3) at 37°C for 30 min. The reaction was terminated by adding 85 µL of 1 M HCl to all samples, except for the blank control (before preincubation, 85 µL of 1 M HCl was added). The hippuric acid formed was extracted using 1 mL of ethyl acetate. Subsequently, 800 µL of the ethyl acetate layer was collected and evaporated for 30 min in a drying oven at 100°C. The residue was dissolved in 800 µL of distilled water and the absorbance of the solution was measured at 228 nm. The ACE inhibitory activity was calculated as

\[
\text{Inhibition (\%) } = \frac{[(A − B)/(A − C)] \times 100,}
\]

where \(A\) was the absorbance without the addition of sample solution (buffer solution added instead of sample), \(B\) was the absorbance in the presence of ACE and the sample solution, and \(C\) was the absorbance of the blank (HCl was added before the addition of ACE).

**Antitumor Activity**

Before the assay, stored WSE was diluted to a concentration of 50 mg/mL with deionized-distilled water. The WSE was then filtered through Macrosep Advance Spin Filter 3 kD (Pall Corporation, Port Washington, NY). Filtrates were assayed for antiproliferative activity on human colon cancer HT-29 according to the method described by Wang et al. (2015a).

**Statistical Analysis**

One-way ANOVA was performed with the Tukey–Kramer post-hoc test to compare the results, and all data were expressed as means ± standard deviation. Differences at \(P < 0.05\) were considered statistically significant.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Gross Composition, pH Values, and Water Activity

The chemical compositions of all cheeses at 0 d of ripening are summarized in Table 1. We found no significant differences ($P > 0.05$) in protein, fat-in-dry matter, or water activity levels between the experimental cheeses (B and C) and the control cheese (A). Cheeses B and C showed slightly and significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher moisture and salt contents than the control cheese, respectively. The higher moisture content in the experimental cheeses might be attributed to the EPS, which increased the water retention capacity of cheese via hydrogen bonding (Lynch et al., 2014). Meanwhile, the increased moisture content might cause the cheese to absorb more salt during the brining period (Ayyash et al., 2018). Previously, addition of EPS-producing strains to low-fat Cheddar cheese was shown to increase moisture and salt contents (Dabour et al., 2006; Ryan et al., 2015). Costa et al. (2010) found that low-fat Cheddar cheese manufactured with the EPS-producing starter \textit{Lactococcus lactis} ssp. \textit{cremoris} DPC6532 had higher moisture levels and yields than those of cheese made with a non-EPS-producing variant (\textit{Lactococcus lactis} ssp. \textit{cremoris} DPC6533). In addition, we also found no significant differences ($P > 0.05$) in pH values between the experimental cheeses (B and C) and the control cheese (A) (Table 1) during the ripening period. The pH drop might be mainly due to lactose fermentation by LAB in all cheeses.

Microbiological Analysis

The viabilities of microorganisms in cheese samples during 90 d of ripening at 4°C are shown in Figure 1. The viable counts of \textit{Lc. lactis} ST25 (approximately 8.6 log cfu/g at 0 d) in all cheese samples showed a significant trend to decrease with increasing ripening time. By 90 d of ripening, populations of \textit{Lc. lactis} ST25 decreased to approximately 8.0 log cfu/g of cheese, which might be due to death, autolysis, or unfavorable conditions, such as acidic matrix, low water activity, or low storage temperature (O’Donovan et al., 1996; Ganesan et al., 2014). It was interesting to note that the counts of \textit{Lc. lactis} ST25 in cheeses B and C were both significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) than that of the control cheese at 90 d of ripening. This might be due to the existence of EPS, which could protect \textit{Lc. lactis} ST25 against the unfavorable conditions in cheese; however, the mechanism underlying the protective action by EPS needs further investigation. In contrast, \textit{Lb. plantarum} JLK0142 showed a slowly increasing trend up to 90 d of ripening, reaching a final value of 7.99 log cfu/g of cheese at the end of ripening. The slow growth of \textit{Lb. plantarum} JLK0142 might be either due to its enrichment with proteases required to degrade milk protein to produce AA and peptides for its own growth (Solieri et al., 2015) or to the protective effect of EPS in cheese. The results indicated that \textit{Lb. plantarum} JLK0142 could survive well in low-fat Cheddar cheese during ripening at 4°C and that its EPS might be beneficial to the starter culture.

Proteolysis Analysis

As shown in Figure 2, the levels of water-soluble nitrogen (WSN) and TFAA in all cheeses increased progressively throughout the ripening period, and at 0 d they were relatively low with no significant difference ($P > 0.05$) between the control and the experimental

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Gross composition (d 0), water activity (d 90), and pH of low-fat Cheddar cheese (mean ± SD; n = 3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture (g/100 g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein (g/100 g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt (g/100 g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDM$^2$ (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water activity (d 90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d 90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a, b$Means for pH in the same column followed by different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

$^{a, b}$Means in the same row followed by different superscripts are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

$^1$Cheese: A = control cheese; B = cheese made with addition of purified exopolysaccharide ingredient; C = cheese made with exopolysaccharide-producing \textit{Lactobacillus plantarum} JLK0142 as an adjunct culture.

$^2$FDM = fat-in-dry matter.
cheeses. However, after 90 d of ripening, WSN and TFFA in all cheeses increased significantly ($P < 0.05$), and the cheeses made with *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 had similar levels of WSN and TFFA to those produced with EPS, but they all had significantly higher ($P < 0.05$) levels than the control cheeses. The WSN and TFAA were considered to represent indicator variables for proteolysis that affected cheese quality parameters (especially texture, taste, and flavor) and bioactivities (Ayyash et al., 2018). McSweeney (2004) reported that the nitrogen fractions soluble at pH 4.6 or water increased during the ripening period because of the breakdown of casein into peptides and AA by the action of residual chymosin, plasmin, and bacterial cultures. In the present study, the higher WSN and TFAA in the experimental cheeses might be due to accelerated primary proteolysis, along with high proteolytic activities of the EPS-producing *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142. Our results were consistent with those of Madkor et al. (2000), who found that the major role of adjunct lactobacilli in milled curd Cheddar cheese was to increase free AA levels. However, no differences in primary proteolysis were observed in Cheddar cheeses made with and without adjunct EPS-producing *Lactobacillus reuteri* cc2 cultures after 3 mo of ripening (Lynch et al., 2014), suggesting that the *Lactobacillus* cultures did not contribute to primary proteolysis. These microorganisms were known to be weakly proteolytic, and therefore did not contribute considerably to the hydrolysis of casein during cheese ripening (Sousa et al., 2001).

In our study, the significantly higher levels of primary proteolysis in the experimental cheese indicated that *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 could greatly alter the peptide profiles of the cheese. This might represent a promising feature of this strain in cheese production based on the possible presence of bioactive peptides in cheese. Further studies on the peptide profile and mechanism of the peptide formation and action during the cheese ripening would be of interest to elucidate the roles of the peptides in cheese flavor, texture, and functional properties. A few reports are available demonstrating the effect of adjunct EPS-producing *Lb. plantarum* on peptide profiles, including formation of bioactive peptides in low-fat Cheddar cheese. Furthermore, the highest TFAA found in the cheese with *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 as an adjunct indicated the ability of this
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**Figure 1.** Viability of *Lactococcus lactis* ST25 and *Lactobacillus plantarum* JLK0142 in low-fat Cheddar cheese during 90 d of ripening at 4°C. A = control cheese; B = experimental cheese made with the addition of purified exopolysaccharide ingredient; C = experimental cheese made with the addition of exopolysaccharide-producing *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142. *P < 0.05 vs. control. Values shown are mean ± SD (n = 3).
Figure 2. (A) Nitrogen soluble in water at pH 4.6 (pH 4.6-SN) as a percent of total N (TN) and (B) total free amino acids (TFAA) of low-fat Cheddar cheese during 90 d of ripening at 4°C. A = control cheese; B = experimental cheese made with the addition of purified exopolysaccharide ingredient; C = experimental cheese made with the addition of exopolysaccharide-producing Lactobacillus plantarum JLK0142. Means among different ripening times with different lowercase letters (a–e) are significantly different ($P < 0.05$); means among different cheeses with uppercase letters (A–C) are significantly different ($P < 0.05$). Values shown are mean ± SD ($n = 3$).
strain to promote secondary proteolysis and its potential contribution to cheese flavor. Free AA levels were also observed to be higher in cheeses containing adjunct lactobacilli (Al-Dhaheri et al., 2017). The use of EPS-producing *Weissella cibaria* MG1 as adjuncts to manufacture Cheddar cheese resulted in significant increase in formation of free AA after 90 d of ripening (Lynch et al., 2014). The proteolytic activity of adjuncts is often considered to be significant and sometimes desired, as free AA can serve as precursors to flavor and aroma compounds in cheese (Bintsis and Robinson, 2004).

**Texture Profile Analysis**

Table 2 shows the changes in hardness, cohesiveness, springiness, and chewiness of the low-fat Cheddar cheese during 90 d of ripening at 4°C. The four texture parameters significantly decreased (P < 0.05) throughout the ripening period. The hardness and cohesiveness of the cheeses produced with *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 were close to those of the cheeses produced with EPS and both significantly lower (P < 0.05) than those of the control cheese at 0 and 90 d of ripening. The lower hardness in the experimental cheeses might be attributed to their higher moisture content compared with the control cheese (Table 1), and the lower cohesiveness might be due to breakage of internal bonds caused by their higher primary proteolysis activities (Figure 2). Our results were similar to the findings of Dabour et al. (2006), who found that reduced-fat Cheddar cheeses with ropy EPS-producing culture had lower hardness and cohesiveness compared with non-EPS-producing cultures. In the present study, springiness of the control cheese was significantly lower (P < 0.05) than the experimental cheeses at the end of ripening, which might be attributed to the lower moisture content of the control cheese (Table 1). Moreover, the trend toward a change in cheese chewiness during ripening was similar to that of hardness. Cheese hardness was positively correlated with chewiness (Wang et al., 2015c); hence, the control cheese with higher hardness was significantly chewier (P < 0.05) than the experimental cheeses at the end of the ripening period.

**Sensory Analysis**

The average scores for sensory evaluation of the low-fat Cheddar cheeses at 90 d of ripening are shown in Table 3. We found no differences between the experimental and the control cheeses in terms of appearance and overall acceptability; however, cheese C had a significantly higher (P < 0.05) texture score than cheeses A or B. Moreover, cheeses B and C showed similar flavor scores, both of which were significantly higher (P < 0.05) than that of cheese A. Many different factors, including degree of proteolysis, concentration of free AA and fat, milk source, type of starter culture, and technological conditions, could influence the sensory characteristics of cheese (Ahmed et al., 2005; Hou et al., 2014). Milesi et al. (2009) reported that the sensory characteristics of soft and semi-hard cheeses were improved by using *Lactobacillus casei* I90 and *Lb.*
I91, which possessed peptidolytic activity as adjunct cultures. The supplementation of cheeses with probiotic bacteria could promote proteolysis and break the initial network structure of casein to increase the TFAA content and the formation of flavor and aromatic compounds, as well as soften the cheese (Cruz et al., 2009). We therefore concluded that the elevated texture and flavor scores of cheeses made with Lb. plantarum JLK0142 might be because of higher moisture content (Table 1) and proteolysis activity (Figure 2).

Antioxidant Activity

The antioxidant activity of the low-fat Cheddar cheese was determined during 90 d of ripening at 4°C by analyzing its scavenging ability on DPPH, hydroxyl, and ABTS radicals. As shown in Figure 3, all the scavenging rates increased significantly \((P < 0.05)\) with prolonged storage. In particular, the scavenging rates of DPPH, hydroxyl, and ABTS radicals in the control cheese A increased from 30.63 ± 0.95, 15.62 ± 3.56, and 26.54 ± 3.25% to 38.17 ± 2.36, 32.45 ± 5.68, and 49.23 ± 3.87%, respectively. The corresponding rates in experimental cheese B increased from 35.21 ± 2.72, 16.85 ± 4.12, and 40.15 ± 3.21% to 48.62 ± 1.65, 38.62 ± 6.85, and 68.34 ± 6.13%, respectively; in experimental cheese C from 35.62 ± 3.65, 15.96 ± 5.62, and 38.46 ± 4.38% to 45.88 ± 2.56, 36.63 ± 4.94, and 67.56 ± 5.69%, respectively. Scavenging activities of the experimental cheeses were significantly higher \((P < 0.05)\) than those of the control cheeses. Cheeses made with Lb. plantarum JLK0142 exhibited comparable antioxidant activity to those made with EPS. The DPPH, hydroxyl, and ABTS radical scavenging abilities of cheese were found to correlate with the degree of proteolysis (Liu et al., 2018b). Therefore, incorporation of EPS-producing probiotics in foods, especially fermented dairy products, may be a promising technological strategy to supply dietary antioxidants. However, further study should be performed to clarify the relevant antioxidant mechanism.

\(\alpha\)-Amylase and \(\alpha\)-Glucosidase Inhibitory Activities

The \(\alpha\)-amylase and \(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibition results for low-fat Cheddar cheese during 90 d of ripening at 4°C are illustrated in Figure 4A and 4B, respectively. The \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibition rates of all cheese samples ranged from >21% at 0 d to ~45% at 90 d of storage, representing a significant \((P < 0.05)\) increase with prolonged storage. The experimental cheeses (B and C) showed higher \((P < 0.05)\) \(\alpha\)-amylase inhibition rates than the control cheese (A) after 30 d of storage. The highest rate was observed in the cheese made with Lb. plantarum JLK0142 (45.63 ± 2.05%), followed by the cheese made with the EPS (41.03 ± 1.35%) and the control cheese (33.62 ± 1.82%) at 90 d of storage. Addition of Lb. plantarum JLK0142 could increase proteolysis of cheese (Figure 2), which might result in formation of more antidiabetic peptides. These bioactive peptides could be released from the parent protein structure, thus increasing antidiabetic activity of cheese (Abadía-García et al., 2013). Similar to the trends in \(\alpha\)-amylase and \(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibitory activities of all cheese samples also significantly \((P < 0.05)\) increased with prolonged storage. The \(\alpha\)-glucosidase inhibition activity of the experimental cheeses (B and C) was significantly higher than that of the control cheese (A) after 30 d of storage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cheese(^1)</th>
<th>Texture</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
<th>Flavor</th>
<th>Overall acceptance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.7(^a)</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.9(^a)</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.5(^a)</td>
<td>7.4 ± 0.2(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>6.5 ± 0.2(^a)</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.7(^b)</td>
<td>7.6 ± 0.3(^a)</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.5(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>7.2 ± 0.6(^b)</td>
<td>7.3 ± 0.5(^b)</td>
<td>7.5 ± 0.4(^a)</td>
<td>7.7 ± 0.3(^a)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a,b\)Means in the same column followed by different superscripts are significantly different \((P < 0.05)\).

\(^1\)Cheese: A = control cheese; B = cheese made with addition of purified exopolysaccharide ingredient; C = cheese made with exopolysaccharide-producing Lactobacillus plantarum JLK0142 as an adjunct culture.
rates ranged from >40% at 0 d to ~56% at 90 d of storage. Although the α-glucosidase inhibition rates of the experimental cheeses were higher than that of the control cheese after 90 d storage, the difference was not significant ($P > 0.05$).

The inhibition of α-amylase and α-glucosidase enzymes was considered as an effective approach to control diabetes by diminishing carbohydrate hydrolysis (Ye et al., 2010). Inhibition of both α-amylase and α-glucosidase enzymes might be attributable to bioactive peptides, particularly smaller ones produced as a result of proteolytic enzymes secreted by cheese.
cultures (Cruz et al., 2009). As indicated in the proteolysis assessment (WSN and TFAA assays) in our study, more bioactive peptides might be formed in the experimental cheeses that correlated with increased α-amylose and α-glucosidase inhibition rates. In addition, the bioactive EPS produced by Lb. plantarum might also contribute to the inhibition of α-amylose and α-glucosidase enzymes (Sasikumar et al., 2017). Therefore, we assumed that the higher α-amylose- and α-glucosidase-inhibitory activities of the experimental cheeses might be attributed to the bioactive peptides and the EPS released by Lb. plantarum JLK0142. However, the mechanisms of α-amylose and α-glucosidase inhibition by EPS require further investigation.

**ACE Inhibitory Activity**

As shown in Figure 5, after 90 d of ripening, the ACE inhibitory activities of all cheese samples increased significantly ($P < 0.05$). The cheese made with Lb. plantarum JLK0142 showed the highest ACE inhibitory rate (69.47% ± 4.68%), followed by the cheese made with EPS (64.22% ± 3.69%) and the control cheese (50.64% ± 3.53%), suggesting that addition of Lb. plantarum JLK0142 might be conducive to generation of ACE inhibitory peptides. Inhibition of ACE activity was considered as an in vitro indicator of the antihypertensive activities of many fermented milk products (Al-Dhaheri et al., 2017). Studies on ACE-inhibiting peptides and the ACE inhibitory activities of different cheese varieties have already been conducted (Bernabucci et al., 2014; Stuknyté et al., 2015). However, to date, no study has been performed on the ACE inhibitory activity of low-fat Cheddar cheese. In the present study, the higher ACE inhibitory activities of the experimental cheeses might be attributed to the combined effects of the EPS and Lb. plantarum JLK0142. These results were consistent with that of Al-Dhaheri et al. (2017), who found that EPS-producing cultures were capable of enhancing the ACE inhibitory activity of low-fat Akawi cheese compared with non-EPS-producing cultures. According to Ayyash et al. (2012), the ACE inhibitory activity of fermented dairy products might be primarily attributed to the biologically active peptides produced by the action of proteinases and peptidases from adjunct cultures during fermentation. Donkor et al. (2007) reported that some probiotic strains possessed proteolytic activity in milk-based media and could produce potent ACE inhibitory peptides during fermentation. Therefore, we postulated that Lb. plantarum JLK0142 and its EPS in low-fat Cheddar cheeses may have contributed to their ACE inhibitory activities.

**Antitumor Activity**

The antitumor activity of low-fat Cheddar cheese was determined during a 90-d ripening period at 4°C. As shown in Figure 6, all the cheese samples exhibited inhibitory activities against HT-29 cells in a ripening time-dependent manner. The experimental cheeses (B and C) displayed higher inhibition rates than those of the control cheese throughout the ripening period. At 90 d of ripening, the highest inhibition rate (34.12 ± 3.52%) was observed for the cheese made with EPS, followed by the cheese made with Lb. plantarum JLK0142 (33.25 ± 2.36%) and the control cheese (28.15 ± 1.61%). Fermented dairy products were reported to possess antitumor activities due to bioactive milk peptides or EPS produced by cultures (Al-Dhaheri et al., 2017). Wang et al. (2015a) reported that the EPS from Lb. plantarum YW32 showed strong in vitro inhibitory activity against colon cancer HT-29 cells. The EPS from Lb. plantarum WLPL04 and Lb. plantarum 70810 also exhibited antiproliferative effects on HT-29 cells (Wang et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2017). Therefore, the EPS produced by Lb. plantarum JLK0142 in the low-fat Cheddar cheese might contribute to the antitumor activity. As Lb. plantarum JLK0142 also affected significantly the primary proteolysis of cheese (Figure 2), the resulting bioactive peptides formed during the 90 d of ripening might cause the increased bioactivities such as antitumor, antioxidant, ACE inhibition, and
α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibition, as described above. Previously, 3-mo-old probiotic Cheddar cheese produced by *Lb. plantarum* K25 as an adjunct culture was shown to reduce serum cholesterol levels in mice fed a high-fat diet (Zhang et al., 2013b). The low-fat Cheddar cheese with beneficial health properties was made with probiotic *Bifidobacterium animalis* ssp. *lactis, Lactobacillus rhamnosus, Lactobacillus paracasei* casei, and *Lb. plantarum* by ripening at 4°C for 90 d (Demers-Mathieu et al., 2016). Although significant changes in the physicochemical, microbiological, and functional properties of cheese might take place in the first 3 mo of ripening (Zhang et al., 2013b; Lynch et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2018c), it would be of interest to study changes of different bioactivities and the related mechanisms in the low-fat Cheddar cheese upon longer storage than 3 mo.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results of our study confirmed the improvement of the ripening characteristics and in vitro health-promoting benefits of low-fat Cheddar cheese made with EPS-producing *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 as an adjunct or its purified EPS as an ingredient. Our findings demonstrated that use of this strain and its EPS improved moisture retention, proteolysis, and microbiological characteristics, as well as textural and sensory properties of low-fat Cheddar cheese. The cheese made with *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 or its EPS as an ingredient also exhibited significantly increased antioxidant activity and inhibition on α-amylase, α-glucosidase, ACE, and tumor growth compared with the control cheese without use of this strain or EPS. However, correlations of the improved bioactivities of the cheese with the use of the EPS and proteolysis activities of *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 and the related molecular mechanisms need to be further studied. The results of this study suggest that the use of EPS-producing *Lb. plantarum* JLK0142 is a novel approach for improvement of both the ripening characteristics and bioactivities of low-fat Cheddar cheese.
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