Emergence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) ST8 in raw milk and traditional dairy products in the Tizi Ouzou area of Algeria
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**ABSTRACT**

*Staphylococcus aureus* is one of the leading causes of food-borne illness worldwide. Raw milk and dairy products are often contaminated with enterotoxigenic strains of this bacterium. Some of these strains carry antimicrobial resistance, leading to a potential risk for consumers. The aim of this study was to characterize *S. aureus* strains circulating in raw milk and traditional dairy products for carriage of staphylococcal enterotoxin (*se*) genes and antimicrobial resistance. Overall, 62 out of 270 samples (23%) were contaminated with *S. aureus*, and 69 *S. aureus* strains were identified. We studied the enterotoxin genes using 2 multiplex PCR targeting 11 *se* genes. Seventeen (24.6%) isolates carried one or more genes encoding for staphylococcal enterotoxins. The most commonly detected *se* genes were *seb* and *sep*, followed by *seh*, *sea*, and *see*. Using the disk diffusion method, we found that resistance to penicillin G and tetracycline was the most common. Eleven isolates of methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA) carried the *mecA* gene. All MRSA isolates belonged to the same *spa* type (t024) and sequence type (ST8), and carried the *seb* and *sep* enterotoxin genes. However, none of them carried the Panton Valentine leukocidin gene (*lukF/S-PV*). The presence of enterotoxogenic *S. aureus* strains, including MRSA, in raw milk and dairy products, raises a serious public health concern, because these strains may cause food poisoning outbreaks, be disseminated to the population, or both. **Key words:** raw milk, dairy product, enterotoxin gene, *Staphylococcus aureus*, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA)

**INTRODUCTION**

*Staphylococcus aureus* is a human and animal bacterial pathogen involved in multiple disease processes. It is the cause of a variety of diseases ranging in severity from slight skin infections to more severe diseases, such as pneumonia, endocarditis, osteomyelitis, septicemia, or toxic shock syndrome, among others (Lowy, 1998). This broad range of clinical syndromes results from a variety of extracellular components, including surface proteins, capsule, enzymes, and toxins (Lowy, 1998). *S. aureus* produces a wide array of toxins. Staphylococcal enterotoxins are a family of at least 23 serological types of heat-stable enterotoxins that belong to the larger family of pyrogenic toxin superantigens (Argudin et al., 2010; Kadariya et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2018). Pyrogenic toxins cause superantigenic activity such as immunosuppression and nonspecific T-cell proliferation. A few hours after ingestion of food contaminated with staphylococcal enterotoxins, people experience intense diarrhea, vomiting, and abdominal pain. The emetic response in particular is characteristic of staphylococcal food poisoning (Le Loir et al., 2003; Hennekinne et al., 2012). Five staphylococcal enterotoxin types (A, B, C, D, and E) responsible for the symptoms of staphylococcal food poisoning were first described in the 1960s (Argudin et al., 2010). Since then, several other variants of staphylococcal enterotoxins or *Staphylococcus*-like toxins have been described (Argudin et al., 2010). Foods that have been frequently implicated in staphylococcal food poisoning are milk and cream, cream-filled pastries, butter, ham, cheeses, sausages, canned meat, salads, cooked meals, and sandwich fillings (Le Loir et al., 2003).

Antimicrobial agents are widely used in human and veterinary medicine, and also in animal husbandry and other agricultural activities (Kluymans, 2010). They are administrated to animals to promote growth (in some countries), and to treat bacterial infections. However, excessive application of these agents has led to the
emergence of resistant bacterial strains as a growing problem in developed countries (Jamali et al., 2015). In recent decades, the increasing prevalence of antimicrobial-resistant \( S. \text{aureus} \) is receiving widespread attention. Strains of methicillin-resistant \( S. \text{aureus} \) (MRSA) are of concern, given that they represent a significant cause of morbidity and mortality (Castro et al., 2016). Methicillin resistance is conferred by the presence of the \( mecA \) gene (or its homologue \( mecC \)) encoding for the production of an altered penicillin binding protein (PBP2a), with a low affinity for all \( \beta \)-lactam antimicrobials (Visciano et al., 2014).

In cattle, MRSA is an important cause of mastitis (Vanderhaeghen et al., 2010). Numerous reports have described the prevalence of MRSA in bovine milk and the transmission of MRSA between people and dairy cattle (Lee, 2003; Haran et al., 2012; Antoci et al., 2013; Caruso et al., 2016). The emerging problem of MRSA colonization and the links with human infection have an effect on both food production and on the health of people who work with animals, with possible risks of disease for the general population (Visciano et al., 2014). Recent studies have highlighted the potential role of food in the spread of MRSA lineages in humans (Oniciuc et al., 2017). Bulk tank milk has been identified as a source of MRSA, demonstrating the potential food safety risk for contaminated milk and dairy products entering the human food chain (Kreausukon et al., 2012). However, data on the prevalence of MRSA in food remain scarce. Surveillance and monitoring of MRSA in humans, food-producing animals, and food is therefore recommended.

Few data are available on the prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of \( S. \text{aureus} \) in food in Algeria. Further detailed investigations might provide useful information for the more efficient treatment of infections and a decrease in the use of antimicrobial agents. The objectives of this study were (1) to evaluate the prevalence of \( S. \text{aureus} \) in raw milk and traditional dairy products; (2) to determine the occurrence of enterotoxin genes; and (3) to create a snapshot of the antimicrobial resistance of \( S. \text{aureus} \) isolates in a designated area of Algeria.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample Collection**

Samples were collected during the dry periods (April to September) in 2 years (2014 and 2015). These samples included raw cow milk and traditional dairy products made from raw milk, collected at 4 levels (farms, collectors, dairy units, and market points) where milk was handled in the Tizi Ouzou area of Algeria. We collected samples from 25 dairy farms, 25 milk tanks, 5 dairy units, and 4 local markets. The farms were selected based on their membership in 5 different dairies (i.e., 5 farms for each dairy unit). The farms were located within a radius of 25 km, in the regions of Freha and Azazga. The milk tanks were used to collect raw milk produced on the farms (5 milk tanks for each dairy unit). The market points were located in the city of Tizi Ouzou. Samples included raw milk (190) and traditional dairy products, including butter (24), cheese (3), and 2 acidified milks, \( rayeb \) (24) and \( l’ben \) (29). The small number of cheese samples was due to their availability during the sampling period. The traditional dairy products were produced with raw milk in factories located mostly in urban areas, and then distributed to market points. All samples were collected aseptically in sterile boxes, transferred immediately to the laboratory with ice packs, and analyzed within 1 to 2 h after sampling.

**S. aureus Isolation and Biochemical Identification**

To isolate \( S. \text{aureus} \), 10 mL (raw milk) or 10 g (butter, cheese, or acidified milk) of each sample was added to 90 mL of buffered peptone water (Conda Pronadisa, Madrid, Spain) and homogenized. The resulting suspensions were diluted 1:10 in Giolitti Cantoni broth (Conda Pronadisa) supplemented with potassium tellurite (Pasteur Institute, Alger, Algeria) and incubated for enrichment at 37°C for 24 h. A loopful of each enriched broth was then streaked onto mannitol salt agar (Conda Pronadisa). The plates were incubated for 24 to 48 h at 37°C and observed for bacterial growth. Then, 1 or 2 suspected colonies (depending on their macroscopic structure and their charge in agar plates) were subcultured onto brain heart infusion agar (Biokar, Beauvais, France) to obtain pure cultures. These were submitted to Gram stain to confirm coccus morphology. The identification of isolates was completed using the following biochemical tests: fermentation of mannitol, catalase test, coagulase test, DNase (thermonuclease) test, and Voges–Proskauer test for acetoin production. The 2 reference strains used for microbiological analysis were \( S. \text{aureus} \) ATCC 25923 and \( S. \text{aureus} \) ATCC 43300. After identification, all isolates were stored in brain heart infusion broth (Biokar) with glycerol (30% vol/vol) at −20°C for further analysis.

**Molecular Characterization of S. aureus Isolates**

**DNA Extraction.** Strains were grown overnight in brain heart infusion broth at 37°C and streaked onto a milk plate count agar (Bio-Rad, Marnes la Coquette, France) incubated at 37°C for 24 h, before DNA extraction. DNA was extracted using the InstaGene Kit.
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Detection of Enterotoxin Genes by Multiplex PCR. Two multiplex PCR assays were used to detect se genes. The first reaction was performed with 6 primer pairs and allowed for the detection of sea, seb, sec, sed, see, and ser genes. The second reaction was performed with 5 primer pairs and allowed for the detection of seg, seh, sei, sej, and sep genes. We performed PCR amplification and electrophoresis of PCR products as described previously (Roussel et al., 2015) according to the method developed and validated by the European Union Reference Laboratory for Coagulase Positive Staphylococci (EURL CPS). We used 5 reference S. aureus strains (FRIS6, 374F, FR137, FR1326, and FRI361) as positive controls.

Detection of the mecA, mecC, spa, and lukS/F-PV Genes. A multiplex PCR was performed as described by Stegger et al. (2012), with modifications, to detect mecA (162 pb), mecC (138 pb), Panton Valentine toxin (lukF/S-PV; 85 pb), and spa (200–600 pb). A 1-kb DNA ladder (Promega, Lyon, France) was used as a molecular weight standard. The reference strain FRI361 was used as a positive control.

Characterization of MRSA Strains by spa Typing, Multilocus Sequence Typing, and Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis. The polymorphic X-region of the protein A gene (spa) was amplified by PCR using primers spa-1113f (5’-TAAAGACGATCCTTCGGTGAGC-3’) and spa-1514r (5’-CAGCAGTGGTCGGTTTCGTT-3’) (Aires de Sousa et al., 2006). The PCR products were electrophoresed in a 2% agarose gel and visualized using the Gel Doc EQ apparatus (Bio-Rad). They were further sequenced by Eurofins (Esberg, Germany), on both DNA strands. We determined spa types from the resulting DNA sequences using BioNumerics version 7.1 (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latem, Belgium).

We performed multilocus sequence typing in MRSA strains as previously described (Lozano et al., 2012); we obtained the allelic profile of each isolate by sequencing internal fragments of 7 housekeeping genes (arcC, aroE, glpF, gmk, pta, tpi, and yqil), allowing us to determine the sequence type, using the multilocus sequence typing database (http://saureus.mlst.net/).

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis was performed using Smal as the restriction enzyme, according to the EURL CPS method (Roussel et al., 2015).

Antimicrobial Susceptibility of S. aureus Isolates

The disk diffusion method was used to examine the antimicrobial resistance of S. aureus isolates on Mueller-Hinton agar (Biokar) according to the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2018). The antibiotic disks from Liofilchem (Roeseto, Italy) are listed as follows (antibiotic concentration in µg, unless otherwise specified): penicillin G (10 IU), cefoxitin (30), gentamicin (10), amikacin (30), kanamycin (30), tobramycin (10), neomycin (30), tetracycline (30), erythromycin (15), spiramycin (100), lincomycin (15), ofloxacin (15), norfloxacin (15), clindamycin (2), chloramphenicol (30), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (1.25/23.75), fosfomycin (50), fusidic acid (10), novobiocin (30), and bacitracin (10 IU). We used S. aureus ATCC 25923 in susceptibility testing as a control strain.

The minimal inhibitory concentration (MIC) of oxacillin was determined by using the agar dilution method (CLSI, 2018) for S. aureus isolates that showed cefoxitin resistance by disk diffusion. We determined MIC in Mueller-Hinton agar (Biokar) plus 2% NaCl (wt/vol; Scharlau, Barcelona, Spain). We used S. aureus ATCC 29213 as a control. Phenotypic detection of MRSA was performed by cefoxitin disk diffusion test or by oxacillin MIC determination.

Detection of Antimicrobial Resistance Genes and the scn Gene of the Immune-Evasion Cluster in MRSA Strains

We used PCR to determine the presence of the tet(K), tet(M) and tet(L) genes, which confer resistance to tetracycline, and the blaZ gene, which confers resistance to penicillin (Lozano et al., 2012). All MRSA strains were tested by PCR for the scn gene, marker of the immune evasion cluster system (Lozano et al., 2012).

RESULTS

Prevalence of S. aureus

Of 270 samples of raw milk and traditional dairy products made from raw milk, 62 were contaminated
with \textit{S. aureus} (23%; Table 1). The frequency of \textit{S. aureus} contamination varied by the type of product and also by the sampling point: it was higher in raw milk (19.9%) than in milk products (13.8%), and higher in milk tanks (24%) and market points (25.2%) than in farms (17.3%). A total of 51 (18.9%) raw milk samples and 11 (4.1%) acidified raw milk samples were contaminated; we observed no contamination with \textit{S. aureus} for butter and cheese samples made from raw milk.

We obtained a total of 69 \textit{S. aureus} isolates from the 62 positive samples [61 isolates from raw milk and 8 from acidified milk (l’ben or rayeb)]. One \textit{S. aureus} isolate was retrieved from 55 samples, and 2 isolates from the remaining 7 samples.

**Occurrence of Enterotoxin Genes**

Of the 69 recovered isolates, 17 (24.6%) carried at least 1 gene encoding for staphylococcal enterotoxin [15 isolates from raw milk and the remaining 2 from acidified milk (l’ben)]. Overall, we detected 5 \textit{se} genes (i.e., \textit{sea}, \textit{seb}, \textit{sec}, \textit{sed}, and \textit{see})). Four isolates carried only 1 gene (\textit{sea}, \textit{sec}, \textit{sed}, or \textit{see})), and 13 carried 2 genes (\textit{seb} and \textit{see})). None of the isolates contained the \textit{sec} or \textit{sed} genes.

**Antimicrobial Resistance of \textit{S. aureus} Isolates**

The highest resistance rates were to penicillin G (91.3%) and tetracycline (47.8%; Table 2). All isolates were susceptible to gentamicin, amikacin, chloramphenicol, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, and novobiocin. However, we observed low resistance rates to cefoxitin/oxacillin (15.9%), ofloxacin/norfloxacin (15.9%), erythromycin (2.9%), spiramycin (1.4%), lincomycin/clindamycin (1.4%), tobramycin (2.9%), and kanamycin (1.4%). Sixteen \textit{S. aureus} isolates (23.18%) were resistant to at least 3 different classes of antimicrobial agents, and we observed 6 phenotypes of multidrug resistance (Table 3).

**Detection and Characterization of MRSA**

We identified 11 MRSA strains (cefoxitin/oxacillin-resistant), and they harbored the \textit{mecA} gene, representing a low prevalence among collected samples (4.1%). Nine strains were isolated from raw milk and 2 from acidified milk (l’ben), obtained from 2 collectors, 1 farm and 3 local markets (Figure 1). None of the MRSA strains carried the Panton Valentine toxin (\textit{lukF/S-PV}) gene. Typing of the MRSA strains showed that they all belonged to the same \textit{spa} type (t024), sequence type (ST8), and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis pulsotype; they harbored the \textit{blaZ} and \textit{tetK} genes (encoding for penicillin and tetracycline resistance, respectively) and enterotoxin genes, as well as the \textit{scn} gene (immune eva-
DISCUSSION

In our study, the *S. aureus* contamination rate of raw milk was lower than previously observed in Algeria by Adjalne-Kaouche et al. (2014); these authors reported a prevalence of 33.3 and 56.7% in raw milk samples collected at farms and dairy units, respectively. Moreover, Chaalal et al. (2018) described a *S. aureus* prevalence of 32.6 and 9.1% in raw milk and pasteurized milk samples, respectively. A high prevalence of *S. aureus* in raw milk and dairy products has also been reported in other countries (Kamal et al., 2013; Jamali et al., 2015; Rola et al., 2015; Giacinetti et al., 2017; Papadopoulos et al., 2018). The contamination of dairy products by *S. aureus* might have been caused by the contamination of raw milk used for production, but also by cross-contamination during the manufacturing process. Cows with mastitis represented the major source of *S. aureus* contamination of milk and dairy products, as demonstrated by others (Kümmele et al., 2016).

Our results differ from those reported elsewhere for raw milk and dairy products, in which a higher prevalence of enterotoxigenic *S. aureus* was observed.

### Figure 1. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis analysis of the 17 enterotoxin gene-positive *Staphylococcus aureus* strains recovered from raw milk and acidified milk, using the *Sma* I restriction enzyme. Only bands with a high-intensity peak were assigned, marked with red lines, and considered for comparison of patterns. High-molecular-weight bands outside the range of the reference band sizes were not considered. We obtained a dendrogram of similarity using the Dice coefficient and the unweighted-pair group method, using arithmetic averages with 1% optimization and 1% tolerance. NT = not tested; ST = sequence type; CD = clindamycin; E = erythromycin; FOX = cefoxitin; MY = lincomycin; NOR = norfloxacin; OFX = ofloxacin; OX = oxacillin; P = penicillin; SP = spiramycin; TE = tetracycline.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Sample type</th>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>Spa-type</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>scn</th>
<th>Toxin genes profile</th>
<th>Phenotype of resistance</th>
<th>Antimicrobial resistance genes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S45</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>Milk tank 4</td>
<td>t2112</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>sea</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S51</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>Milk tank 9</td>
<td>t7234</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>sep</td>
<td>MY-SP-CD-P-E</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S60</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>farm 15</td>
<td>t267</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>see</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>Milk tank 6</td>
<td>t1112</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S25</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>farm 3</td>
<td>t521</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>seh</td>
<td>TE-P</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S77</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>Milk tank 5</td>
<td>t11511</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>Susceptible</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S24</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>Milk tank 6</td>
<td>t024</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>P-FOX-OX-TE-OFX-NOR</td>
<td>tet (K), blaZ, mecA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S35</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>Milk tank 3</td>
<td>t024</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>P-FOX-OX-TE-OFX-NOR</td>
<td>tet (K), blaZ, mecA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S48</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>farm 15</td>
<td>t024</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>P-FOX-OX-TE-OFX-NOR</td>
<td>tet (K), blaZ, mecA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S61</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>local market 2</td>
<td>t024</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>P-FOX-OX-TE-OFX-NOR</td>
<td>tet (K), blaZ, mecA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S63</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>local market 2</td>
<td>t024</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>P-FOX-OX-TE-OFX-NOR</td>
<td>tet (K), blaZ, mecA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S66</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>local market 1</td>
<td>t024</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>P-FOX-OX-TE-OFX-NOR</td>
<td>tet (K), blaZ, mecA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S84</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>local market 4</td>
<td>t024</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>P-FOX-OX-TE-OFX-NOR</td>
<td>tet (K), blaZ, mecA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S95</td>
<td>acidified milk</td>
<td>local market 4</td>
<td>t024</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>P-FOX-OX-TE-OFX-NOR</td>
<td>tet (K), blaZ, mecA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S96</td>
<td>acidified milk</td>
<td>local market 4</td>
<td>t024</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>P-FOX-OX-TE-OFX-NOR</td>
<td>tet (K), blaZ, mecA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>local market 4</td>
<td>t024</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>P-FOX-OX-TE-OFX-NOR</td>
<td>tet (K), blaZ, mecA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S101</td>
<td>raw milk</td>
<td>local market 4</td>
<td>t024</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>seh, sep</td>
<td>P-FOX-OX-TE-OFX-NOR</td>
<td>tet (K), blaZ, mecA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Randi et al., 2007; Rall et al., 2008; Mehli et al., 2017). Although sec and sed are the most reported enterotoxin genes in food, we did not observe them in our study. In contrast, seb and sep were the most prevalent enterotoxin genes in our study, detected in almost all isolates. The staphylococcal enterotoxins most commonly involved in cases of staphylococcal food poisoning are A and D, followed by B. Staphylococcal enterotoxin C has been recognized as an important cause of staphylococcal food poisoning associated with the consumption of dairy products (Normanno et al., 2007).

We identified a high resistance rate to penicillin and tetracycline. Similar results have been observed for strains isolated from milk, dairy products, and dairy workers (Tan et al., 2014; Visciano et al., 2014; Jamali et al., 2015; Castro et al., 2016; Chaalal et al., 2018; Papadopoulos et al., 2018). Increasing resistance levels are known to derive from the use of antibiotics in various sectors, such as human communities, hospitals, farms, and companion animals (Davies and Davies, 2010; Gonzalez-Candelas et al., 2017). All isolates were susceptible to gentamicin, amikacin, chloramphenicol, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, and novobiocin. The lack of resistance to chloramphenicol, an antibiotic prohibited in the European Union for animals intended for human consumption, contrasts with reports from many countries (Pereira et al., 2009; Tan et al., 2014; Castro et al., 2016). However, residues of chloramphenicol detected in animal tissues in Poland may suggest its use in veterinary practice (EFSA, 2014). The absence of or slight resistance to aminoglycosides (i.e., gentamicin, kanamycin, and tobramycin) that we observed agrees with previous studies (Yesim-Can and Haluk-Celik, 2012; Jamali et al., 2015; Rola et al., 2015). Jamali et al. (2015) reported a low resistance to erythromycin and lincomycin for strains isolated from bovine milk, sheep, and traditional cheeses. A low resistance to fluoroquinolone (ciprofloxacin) was observed by Shahraz et al. (2012) for strains isolated from hamburger. Results of our cefoxitin disk diffusion test were in concordance with the PCR-based detection of the mecA gene, so this method was very suitable for the detection of MRSA. Several studies have found cefoxitin to be superior to oxacillin in detecting mecA-mediated resistance, especially for S. aureus strains with low-level resistance. (Felten et al., 2002; Hung et al., 2011).

In our survey, the prevalence of MRSA was low. Only a few studies have been conducted in Algeria to assess the prevalence of MRSA in food, providing little information on the genetic types of the strains isolated. Chaalal et al. (2014) reported a prevalence of 3.5% in raw milk and meat, and Akkou et al. (2015) isolated 4 MRSA strains from workers in contact with animals. A study conducted by Chaalal et al. (2018) revealed an MRSA prevalence of 21.5% in various food products, including raw milk and meat. Studies from Malaysia, Egypt, and Turkey have reported prevalence of 2, 5.3, and 4.7%, respectively (Aras et al., 2012; Kamal et al., 2013; Jamali et al., 2015). A study in Minnesota dairy farms found 2 MRSA-positive samples out of 150 pooled bulk tank milk samples (Haran et al., 2012). In Italy, the frequency of MRSA isolation from milk and dairy products differed between studies, which might reflect the heterogeneity of the methods used and factors such as the type of tested samples (geographical origin, manufacturing technology, use of pasteurized vs. raw milk, sample storage and handling). Six MRSA strains (0.4%) were isolated from 1634 milk and cheese samples (Normanno et al., 2007), and prevalences ranging from 0.7 to 1.2 and 8.3% were reported in other studies (Basanisi et al., 2015; Carfora et al., 2015; Cortimiglia et al., 2015; Caruso et al., 2016; Basanisi et al., 2017; Giacinti et al., 2017). In Greece, 11 samples (3%) of milk and dairy products were contaminated by MRSA carrying the mecA gene (Papadopoulos et al., 2018).

All 11 MRSA isolates in our study were resistant to antimicrobial agents other than β-lactams, such as fluoroquinolones and tetracycline, indicating a multidrug-resistant phenotype, as in other studies (Haran et al., 2012; Kreauasukon et al., 2012; Parisi et al., 2016; Basanisi et al., 2017; Papadopoulos et al., 2018); nevertheless, none of the MRSA strains carried the Panton Valentine toxin (lukF/S-PV) gene, an important virulent factor associated with pathogenicity. This contrasted with other studies, where pvl-positive MRSA was obtained from bovine milk and raw milk in Algeria (Chaalal et al., 2018), Korea (Kwon et al., 2005), and China (Wang et al., 2014); from bulk tank milk in the United States (Haran et al., 2012) and Italy (Mancini et al., 2015; Basanisi et al., 2017); and from raw milk fresh cheese in Colombia (Herrera et al., 2016).

The presence of se genes in MRSA isolates contaminating raw milk has been previously reported (Normanno et al., 2007; Haran et al., 2012; Parisi et al., 2016). However, few data are available on the occurrence of MRSA in staphylococcal food poisoning. Jones et al. (2002) described the first report of an outbreak of gastrointestinal illness caused by community-acquired MRSA, and Kérouanton et al. (2007) identified 2 MRSA out of 33 S. aureus strains recovered from staphylococcal food poisoning. Transmission of MRSA to humans through contact with farm animals has been well documented. The first zoonotic transmission episodes from pig to human were reported in the last decade in the Netherlands (Voss et al., 2005). Since then, the prevalence of CC398 MRSA in food-producing animals has increased,
CONCLUSIONS

The raw milk and dairy products analyzed in this study had risk factors associated with their consumption because of the high presence of *S. aureus*, confirming them as vehicles for the transmission of potential pathogenic bacteria. The association of enterotoxigenic and multidrug-resistant properties in *S. aureus* isolates points out a serious public health risk, because enterotoxins might be present in food and MRSA could be disseminated to the population. Spread to other countries may also occur through global animal and food trading, or through international travel of healthy carriers. Continuous monitoring and further improvement of the hygienic quality of raw milk and dairy products is necessary. For this, the application of good manufacturing practices and hazard analysis and critical control point (HACCP) systems are crucial for ensuring the microbial safety and quality of food products.
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